

California Department of Water Resources

ERRATA

AMENDMENTS TO THE WATER DESALINATION GRANT PROGRAM ROUND 4
PROPOSAL SOLICITATION PACKAGE DATED JUNE 16, 2017

Approved by DWR Director March 12, 2018

1. Section 3.1, footnote 1, revise as follows: “~~Projects~~ A project proposed by a public utilities utility that is regulated by the Public Utility Utilities Commission and or a mutual water companies company ~~must~~ shall have a clear and definite public purpose and ~~must~~ shall benefit the water system customers of the water system and ~~not the investors.~~ (CWC section 79712)”
2. Section 4.1, 2nd paragraph, revise the first sentence as follows: “Funding caps are considered maximum amounts that may be awarded per project in the applicable project type.”
3. Section 4.3, third set of bulleted text, 4th bullet, revise text as follows: “Project construction, fabrication, installation, and improvement of facilities. Capital outlay expenditures shall be tied immediately and exclusively to the achievement of the project purposes. Facilities must be and remain owned by the Grantee ~~unless otherwise approved by DWR, which must be notified for any change of ownership~~ during the useful life of the facility. Ownership transfer from the Grantee would be considered only if to an entity originally considered eligible under this PSP. DWR must be notified before and approve any change of ownership during the useful life of the facility.”

4. Section 9, Table 3, replace with the following:

Date	Activity/Milestone
April 3, 2017	Draft PSP posted online
May 8, 2017	Public Workshop for Draft PSP – Southern California
May 9, 2017	Public Workshop for Draft PSP – Central Valley, California
May 10, 2017	Public Workshop for Draft PSP – Northern California
May 18, 2017	Comments on Draft PSP Due
June 16, 2017	Final PSP Release
August 1, 2017	Public Workshops for Final PSP – Los Angeles, CA (Southern)
August 3, 2017	Public Workshops for Final PSP – Monterey, CA (Central Coast)
September 1, 2017	Proposals Due, 5 pm PDT
January 24, 2018	Announce Desalination Draft Funding Recommendation
February 5, 2018	Public Workshop for Draft Funding Recommendation – Los Angeles
March 9, 2018*	Announce Desalination Final Funding Decision ¹
April 1, 2018*	Desalination Agreement Negotiations Begin
Spring-Summer 2018*	Desalination Agreements Executed
March 12, 2018	GRanTS open for Continuous Application data entry
March 30, 2018	Beginning for Continuous Application submittals

* Dates are approximate.

¹ This is considered the Award Date Referred to in Section 4.3.

5. Section 11.2, Applicant Information, insert a second bullet as follows: “Authorized Representative. Provide the name, title, and contact information of the person authorized to submit a grant application in the resolution or other authorizing document provided in Attachment 2. If the authorizing document specifies a person by title only, provide the name of the person currently holding this title in this part of the application.”
6. Section 11.3, Question 5, revise item a as follows: “Yes (provide details in space below and in Attachment 22)”
7. Attachment 1, revise 6th bullet in template as follows: “The Applicant has legal authority to enter into an agreement with the State using ~~a state provided agreement~~ provided by the Department of Water Resources, not an Applicant’s generated agreement.”
8. Attachment 2, revise last sentence of 1st paragraph as follows: “If the proposed project involves the participation of other entities, resolutions from each of the participating entities ~~are also to~~ should be submitted as part of Attachments 4, 5 or 21 as appropriate.”
9. Attachment 2, revise 2nd resolved paragraph as follows: “BE IT RESOLVED that the (Agency, City, County, etc.) hereby agrees and further does authorize the aforementioned representative or his/her designee to certify that the (Agency, City, County, etc.) has and

will comply ~~will~~ with all applicable state and federal statutory and regulatory requirements related to any federal and state funds received, and”.

10. Attachment 14, revise second line as follows: “Word file or Excel file of no more than 4 ~~page~~ 5 pages (12 point, 1 inch margins)”.
11. Attachment 22, insert new item 22.1 as follows and renumber existing items accordingly: “22.1 Is the applicant requesting that the Funding Match be suspended or reduced? (Yes or No)”.
12. Appendix J, Construction Project Scoring Criteria, criterion 13, 1st scoring tier, revise Scoring Standards as follows: “The schedule is consistent with the work plan and budget, and is reasonable, ~~and demonstrates a readiness to begin the project within 3 to 6 months of contracting.~~”
13. Appendix J, Construction Project Scoring Criteria, criterion 13, 2nd scoring tier, revise Scoring Standards as follows: “The schedule is consistent with the work plan and budget, and is reasonable, ~~and but beginning the project will be more than 6 months after contracting is complete.~~”
14. Appendix J, Construction Project Scoring Criteria, criterion 14, 3rd scoring tier, revise Scoring Standards as follows: “The project is likely to provide a moderate level of benefit in relation to cost and this finding is supported by detailed, high-quality analysis and clear and complete documentation. Attachment 20 is ~~not~~ complete but or an adequate alternative economic analysis is provided to substantiate benefit relative to cost.”
15. Appendix J, Design Pilot Project Scoring Criteria, criterion 2, revise the criterion as follows: “2. Is the proposal typed as a Design Pilot Construction Project as given in Table 1 in Section 3.3 of the PSP and is the application complete?”
16. Appendix J, Environmental Documentation Scoring Criteria, criterion 8, revise the criterion as follows: “8. Does the project team have the experience, ability, appropriate licenses, and availability to complete the project as described in the application-? For Applicants that previously received State or federal funding, was performance of the agreement and the project completed satisfactorily?”
17. Appendix J, Research Pilot Scoring Criteria, criterion 2, revise the criterion as follows: “2. Is the proposal typed as a ~~Pilot~~ Research Pilot Project as a as given in Section 3.3 of the PSP and is the application complete?”
18. Appendix J, Research Pilot Scoring Criteria, criterion 5, 1st scoring tier, ~~revise~~ correct the score as follows: “~~6-8~~ 4-5”.
19. Appendix J, Research Pilot Scoring Criteria, criterion 5, 2nd scoring tier, ~~revise~~ correct the score as follows: “~~2-5~~ 2-3”.