



Central Valley Flood Protection Plan Environmental Stewardship Scope Definition Work Group Meeting #3

Worksheet 1: References

The CVFPP will benefit from many previous studies and ongoing work that address the planning area. Even so, not all previous work is equally applicable or valued. The study team seeks your assessment of certain references they have already gathered. This list of references includes broad planning documents that provide insight and context for the integration of environmental stewardship into the CVFPP. Many other technical or site-specific documents related to environmental stewardship will be included in the overall CVFPP reference list, but are not included in this list for the Environmental Stewardship Scope Definition Working Group. During today’s meeting, we will only introduce the concept of the homework exercise. We will send the actual reference list electronically for your use after the meeting.

For illustrative purposes, two references are included in this worksheet. Please take a quick look at the reference list provided to you. After that, review the instruction table below and then complete the attached form. The form asks you to indicate the value you assign to particular references and the reason why. If you find you have multiple views regarding any particular reference, simply note the different views. If the reference is not familiar to you, simply mark unknown. We prefer that you actively mark the item rather than skip it so the transcriber knows it was not just an oversight.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE FORM

The form contains the categories and a section for the narrative instructions on the “why.”

CODE	CATEGORY	WHY - NARRATIVE
MUST	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT, MUST INCLUDE	If you select this category, provide a sentence indicating the reason it will be essential.
GOOD	GOOD GENERAL REFERENCE	Note anything particular about the reference you think would be useful to your region.
USE	USE – BUT WITH CAUTION	Explain what parts are useful and the reason for concerns – for example, you disagree with study conclusions but some of the data is valid; or some but not all models used in a study may be applicable.
SUP	SUPERSEDED BY LATER DOCUMENTS/STUDIES	Please indicate the name of the updated version and how to obtain.
IRR	IRRELEVANT	Note any particular reason for you to dismiss the reference. For example, it addresses an area outside of your region.
NO	NOT ACCEPTABLE	Please indicate why this reference is unacceptable. For example, there are fundamental flaws in analyzing base data.
UNK	UNKNOWN	No narrative required.



Central Valley Flood Protection Plan Environmental Stewardship Scope Definition Work Group Meeting #3

Worksheet 1: References

CATEGORY CODES

MUST	Extremely important, must include
GOOD	Good general reference
USE	Use – but with caution

IRR	Irrelevant
NO	Not acceptable

SUP	Superseded by later documents/studies
UNK	Unknown

REFERENCE NAME	CATEGORY	NARRATIVE
Bay Delta Conservation Plan. 2009. A Collaborative Approach to Restore the Delta Ecosystem and Protect Water Supplies. An Overview and Update. California Bay-Delta Authority. March.		
Bunn et al. (2004) California Wildlife Action Plan. UC Davis Wildlife Action Center.		

NOTE – DURING THE HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO LIST OTHER REFERENCES ON ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP-RELATED ISSUES THAT THE TEAM SHOULD USE, OR BE AWARE OF. PLEASE ALSO PROVIDE US WITH AN ELECTRONIC OR HARD COPY OF THE REFERENCE OR A WEB LINK WHERE IT CAN BE LOCATED.