



## Worksheet 2: References

The CVFPP will benefit from many previous studies and ongoing work that address the planning area. Even so, not all previous work is equally applicable or valued. The study team seeks your assessment of references they have already gathered. During today’s session they seek your assistance in a first pass review of the materials that will be followed by a homework assignment to consider the references in more depth.

For the first pass review, your small group will be given a subset of the list the team has compiled. As an individual, take a quick look at the list you are given. After that, review as a table and complete the attached form. The form asks you to indicate the value you assign to the reference for this study and the reason why. If you find you have a difference of opinion regarding the reference, simply note the different opinions. If the information is not familiar to you, simply mark unknown. We prefer that you actively mark the item rather than skip it so the transcriber knows it was not just an oversight. The study team is more interested in completing the first pass review than reconciling individual differences. If your group finishes a batch of references, you may request another batch.

### INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE FORM

The form contains the categories and a section for the narrative instructions on the “why.”

CODE	CATEGORY	WHY - NARRATIVE
MUST	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT, MUST INCLUDE	If you select this category, provide a sentence indicating the reason it will be essential.
GOOD	GOOD GENERAL REFERENCE	Note anything particular about the reference you think would be useful to your region.
USE	USE – BUT WITH CAUTION	Explain what parts are useful and the reason for concerns – for example, you disagree with study conclusions but some of the data is valid; or some but not all models used in a study may be applicable.
SUP	SUPERSEDED BY LATER DOCUMENTS/STUDIES	Please indicate the name of the updated version and how to obtain.
IRR	IRRELEVANT	Note any particular reason for you to dismiss the reference. For example, it addresses an area outside of your region.
NO	NOT ACCEPTABLE	Please indicate why this reference is unacceptable. For example, there are fundamental flaws in analyzing base data.
UNK	UNKNOWN	No narrative required.



## Worksheet 2: References

### CATEGORY CODES

MUST	Extremely important, must include
GOOD	Good general reference
USE	Use – but with caution

IRR	Irrelevant
NO	Not acceptable

SUP	Superseded by later documents/studies
UNK	Unknown

REFERENCE NAME	CATEGORY	NARRATIVE
DWR. 2005. White Paper. Flood Warnings: Responding to California’s Flood Crisis. January.		
DWR. 2006. Progress on Incorporating Climate Change into Planning and Managing California's Water Resources.		



## Worksheet 2: References

REFERENCE NAME	CATEGORY	NARRATIVE
DWR. 2008. Draft FloodSAFE Strategic Plan, May.		
USACE and Reclamation Board. 1999. Sacramento-San Joaquin River Basins Comprehensive Study Phase I Documentation Report. March.		
USACE and Reclamation Board. 2002b. Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins California Comprehensive Study, Interim Report. 2002.		



## Worksheet 2: References

REFERENCE NAME	CATEGORY	NARRATIVE
<p>USACE. 1955. Sacramento District. Standard Operation and Maintenance Manual for the Sacramento River Flood Control Project. Revised May.</p>		
<p>USACE. 1959. Sacramento District. Standard Operation and Maintenance Manual for the Lower San Joaquin River and Tributaries Project, California. April.</p>		
<p>USACE. 1978. Maps, River and Harbor, Flood Control and California Debris Commission. Sacramento District, Civil Works Projects.</p>		



## Worksheet 2: References

REFERENCE NAME	CATEGORY	NARRATIVE
<p>USACE. 1999. Post-Flood Assessment for 1983, 1986, 1995, and 1997 Central Valley, California. Sacramento District.</p>		
<p>Mount, Jeffery F. 1995. California Rivers and Streams: The Conflict Between Fluvial Process and Land Use.</p>		
<p>USACE and Reclamation Board. 1953. Memorandum of Understanding Respecting the Sacramento River Flood Control Project. November 30.</p>		



## Worksheet 2: References

REFERENCE NAME	CATEGORY	NARRATIVE
U.S. Congress. 1944. Flood Control Act of 1944. Public Law 534.		
U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation). 1949. Central Valley Basin – A Comprehensive Departmental Report on the Development of the Water and Related Resources of the Central Valley Basin, and Comments from the State of California and Federal Agencies. August.		
DWR. 2009. Delta Risk Management Strategy Phase 1 Report. February.		



## Worksheet 2: References

REFERENCE NAME	CATEGORY	NARRATIVE
Blue Ribbon Task Force. 2007. Delta Vision: Our Vision for the California Delta		
USACE, YCWA, DWR, and NOAA. 2008. Forecast-Coordinated Operations of Lake Oroville and New Bullards Bar Reservoir for Managing Major Flood Events. January.		
Interagency Levee Policy Review Committee. 2006. The National Levee Challenge: Levees and the FEMA Map Modernization Initiative. September.		



## Worksheet 2: References

REFERENCE NAME	CATEGORY	NARRATIVE
Reference List. 2009. Stream Restoration Information.		
USGS. 1977. Magnitude and Frequency of Floods in California. Water-Resources Investigations 77-21.		
National Research Council. 2000. Risk Analysis and Uncertainty in Flood Damage Reduction Studies, National Academy Press, Washington DC.		



## Worksheet 2: References

REFERENCE NAME	CATEGORY	NARRATIVE
USACE and Reclamation Board. 2002. Sacramento-San Joaquin River Basins Comprehensive Study, Technical Studies Documentation. December.		
2009. Flood Plain Dialog. Dialog on Future Development in the Flood Plain. University of the Pacific.		
An Overview of the Draft Conservation Strategy for the Bay Delta Conservation Plan. California Bay-Delta Authority January 12, 2009		



## Worksheet 2: References

REFERENCE NAME	CATEGORY	NARRATIVE
<p>Bay Delta Conservation Plan. A Collaborative Approach to Restore the Delta Ecosystem and Protect Water Supplies. An Overview and Update. California Bay-Delta Authority March, 2009</p>		
<p>Delta Protection Commission (DPC). 2009. Land Use and Resource Management Plan for the Primary Zone of the Delta. Preliminary Draft Text for Review. January 22, 2009</p>		

**NOTE – DURING THE HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT YOU WILL BE ASKED TO LIST OTHER REFERENCES NOT DISCUSSED EARLIER TODAY THAT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED.**