

Shasta County - Redding GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	9.5
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-2.2
Average Change GWE (ft)	2.3
Average Well Depth (ft)	458
Number of Wells Monitored	3

Tehama County - Redding GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	0.5
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-1.2
Average Change GWE (ft)	-0.7
Average Well Depth (ft)	456
Number of Wells Monitored	5

Tehama County - Sacramento Valley GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	0.1
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-4.9
Average Change GWE (ft)	-1.9
Average Well Depth (ft)	388
Number of Wells Monitored	31

Butte County - Sacramento Valley GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	4.1
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-6.2
Average Change GWE (ft)	-1.6
Average Well Depth (ft)	487
Number of Wells Monitored	25

Glenn County - Sacramento Valley GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	NA
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-20.4
Average Change GWE (ft)	-4.9
Average Well Depth (ft)	418
Number of Wells Monitored	35

Colusa County - Sacramento Valley GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWL(ft)	NA
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-11.0
Average Change GWE (ft)	-2.8
Average Well Depth (ft)	383
Number of Wells Monitored	20

Summary Results for Spring 2011 to Spring 2012
Change in Groundwater Elevation

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	9.5
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-20.4
Average Change GWE (ft)	-2.7
Average Well Depth (ft)	421
Number of Wells Monitored	119

NOTES

Note 1: A positive number indicates that groundwater elevations were higher in the current year than in the previous year. A negative number indicates that groundwater elevations were lower in the current year than in the previous year.

Note 2: Statistical analysis is based on the number of wells monitored within each county. Summary results are based on the total number of wells monitored, not averages of the statistical analysis of individual counties.

Note 3: This map may not use all the color ranges shown in table above. Not all wells will be visible on map due to the close proximity to each other.

Note 4: Groundwater level changes are based on groundwater level measurements taken from wells constructed in the intermediate aquifer zone at similar dates of different years. These wells include those that have screened intervals and well depths that are generally greater than 200 ft and less than 600 ft.

Note 5: Change in groundwater elevations are based on the actual measured levels of the hydrostatic level (piezometric surface) of the groundwater at individual wel locations.Contoured color ramping and change in groundwater elevation estimates between monitoring wells is a computer generated calculation based on the inverse distance weighted method using the availability and proximity of surrounding monitoring well measurements. As such, the calculated change in groundwater elevation between individual monitoring wells should be considered approximate. The accuracy of the estimated contour is directly related to the spacing and the distribution of nearby monitoring wells, the similarity of nearby monitoring well construction, and the local changes or similarities in aquifer characteristics.

Note 6: GWE - Groundwater Elevation
bgs - below ground surface

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THE RESOURCES AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
NORTHERN REGION OFFICE
2440 Main Street
Red Bluff, California 96080
(530) 529-7300

NORTHERN SACRAMENTO VALLEY CHANGE IN GROUNDWATER ELEVATION MAP SPRING 2011 TO SPRING 2012

INTERMEDIATE AQUIFER ZONE

(Wells generally greater than 200 ft and less than 600 ft bgs)

PLATE 1I-A

Date: January 2013

BY: G. Gordon

http://www.water.ca.gov/groundwater/data_and_monitoring/northern_region/GroundwaterLevel/gw_level_monitoring.cfm