Shasta County - Redding GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	5.9
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-2.1
Average Change GWE (ft)	0.6
Average Well Depth (ft)	227
Number of Wells Monitored	16

Tehama County - Redding GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	1.3
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-4.9
Average Change GWE (ft)	-0.6
Average Well Depth (ft)	226
Number of Wells Monitored	6

Tehama County - Sacramento Valley GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	24.3
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-8.3
Average Change GWE (ft)	-1.0
Average Well Depth (ft)	268
Number of Wells Monitored	55

Glenn County - Sacramento Valley GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	6.3
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-17.0
Average Change GWE (ft)	-3.7
Average Well Depth (ft)	241
Number of Wells Monitored	45

Colusa County - Sacramento Valley GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWL(ft)	4.5
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-17.7
Average Change GWE (ft)	-3.6
Average Well Depth (ft)	272
Number of Wells Monitored	30

Butte County - Sacramento Valley GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	10.5
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-17.3
Average Change GWE (ft)	-2.5
Average Well Depth (ft)	263
Number of Wells Monitored	44

Summary Results for Summer 2014 to Summer 2015
Change in Groundwater Elevation

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	24.3
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-17.7
Average Change GWE (ft)	-2.2
Average Well Depth (ft)	257
Number of Wells Monitored	196

Monitoring Well
County Boundaries
Redding GW Basin
Sacramento Valley GW Basin

N

4.5 0 4.5 9
Miles

Groundwater Elevation Change

- > 40 feet higher
- > 35 to 40 feet higher
- > 30 to 35 feet higher
- > 25 to 30 feet higher
- > 20 to 25 feet higher
- > 15 to 20 feet higher
- > 10 to 15 feet higher
- > 5 to 10 feet higher
- 0 to 5 feet higher
- > 0 to 5 feet lower
- > 5 to 10 feet lower
- > 10 to 15 feet lower
- > 15 to 20 feet lower
- > 20 to 25 feet lower
- > 25 to 30 feet lower
- > 30 to 35 feet lower
- > 35 to 40 feet lower
- > 40 feet lower

SHASTA
TEHAMA
BUTTE
GLENN
COLUSA

Redding
Cottonwood
Red Bluff
Tehama
Corning
Orland
Chico
Parad
Willows
Oroville
Gridley
Live Oak
Colusa
Williams
Clearlake

NOTES

Note 1: A positive number indicates that groundwater elevations were higher in the current year than in the previous year. A negative number indicates that groundwater elevations were lower in the current year than in the previous year.

Note 2: Statistical analysis is based on the number of wells monitored within each county. Summary results are based on the total number of wells monitored, not averages of the statistical analysis of individual counties.

Note 3: This map may not use all the color ranges shown in table above. Some wells may not be visible on map due to the close proximity to each other.

Note 4: Groundwater level changes are based on groundwater level measurements taken from wells that have screened intervals greater than 100 ft and less than 450 ft at similar dates of different years.

Note 5: Change in groundwater elevations are based on the actual measured levels of the hydrostatic level (piezometric surface) of the groundwater at individual well locations.Contoured color ramping is interpolated from these measurements and should be considered approximate. The accuracy of the estimated contour is directly related to the timing of the measurements, spacing and the distribution of nearby monitoring wells, well construction, and aquifer characteristics.

Note 6: GWE - Groundwater Elevation
bgs - below ground surface

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THE RESOURCES AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
NORTHERN REGION OFFICE
2440 Main Street
Red Bluff, California 96080
(530) 529-7300

NORTHERN SACRAMENTO VALLEY
CHANGE IN GROUNDWATER ELEVATION MAP
SUMMER 2014 TO SUMMER 2015
100 to 450 ft Well Depths
(Well depths greater than 100 ft and less than 450 ft deep bgs)

PLATE 2C-A

Date: December 2015

BY: G. Gordon

http://www.water.ca.gov/groundwater/data_and_monitoring/northern_region/GroundwaterLevel/gw_level_monitoring.cfm

Path: \\asgisp01\EntGIS\gw_gis\GW_GIS\Projects\NRO\ChangeMaps\Summer2015\Sum15_100t450\Sum15_100t450\Sum15_100t450\Summer2014to2015_FINAL.mxd