

Attachment 1
Authorization and Eligibility Requirements

Appendix 1
Resolution No. 5429 of the City Council of the City of Carpinteria

RESOLUTION NO. 5429

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CARPINTERIA, CALIFORNIA, AUTHORIZING SUBMITTAL OF AN APPLICATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES FOR STORM WATER FLOOD MANAGEMENT GRANT FUNDING.

WHEREAS, the City of Carpinteria is committed to improving water quality through implementation of local storm water and water quality projects; and

WHEREAS, the California Department of Water Resources is accepting applications for the Stormwater Flood Management Grant Funding Program pursuant to the Disaster Preparedness and Flood Prevention Bond Act of 2006 (Public Resources Code Section 5096.800 *et seq*); and

WHEREAS, the City of Carpinteria is authorized to enter into an agreement with the California Department of Water Resources to receive grant funding for the Ash Avenue Improvements Project;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CARPINTERIA HEREBY RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The application be made to the California Department of Water Resources to obtain Stormwater Flood Management grant funding pursuant to the Disaster Preparedness and Flood Prevention Bond Act of 2006 (Public Resources Code Section 5096.800 *et seq*), and enter into an agreement to receive a grant for the Ash Avenue Improvements Project.

SECTION 2. The Public Works Director or their designee is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary data, conduct investigation, to file such application, and execute a grant agreement with the California Department of Water Resources.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 28th day of January 2013, by the following called vote:

AYES: COUNCILMEMBER: CLARK, NOMURA, CARTY

NOES: COUNCILMEMBER: NONE

ABSENT: COUNCILMEMBER NONE

ABSTAIN: COUNCILMEMBER: SHAW, STEIN



Mayor, City of Carpinteria

ATTEST:



City Clerk, City of Carpinteria

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was duly and regularly introduced and adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Carpinteria held the 28th day of January 2013.



City Clerk, City of Carpinteria

APPROVED AS TO FORM:



City Attorney

Attachment 1
Authorization and Eligibility Requirements

Appendix 2

Meeting Minutes

SB IRWMP 2013 Update: Cooperating Partners and Steering Committee Meeting

Subject: CP and Steering Committee Meeting

Prepared For: SB IRWMP – Cooperating Partners/Steering Committee

Prepared By: Kathy Caldwell, RMC Water and Environment

Date/Time: June 27, 2012 at 2:30pm – 4:30pm

Location: CCWA

Attendees: Bruce Mowry, Julie Fallon, Susan Segovia, Lisa Long, Cynthia Allen, Bret Stewart, Matt Naftaly, Tom Fayram, Martin Wilder, Brooke Welch, Chris Rich, Drew Dudley, David Brummond, David Chang, Rose Hess, Sherman Hansen, Teresa Lancy.

1. Purpose of Meeting

- Present the IRWM Plan 2013 components and the importance of IRWM Planning
- Review the organizational distribution for the IRWM Plan
- Present workgroups updates and review responsibilities of the committee
- Discuss issues, objectives and targets
- Assess project integration and development
- Explain Project Selection Process
- Present timeline and agree on next steps

2. Discussion Summary

Below is a summary of the items discussed during the CP and Steering Committee Meeting:

- A. Introduction: As the meeting started, attendees introduced themselves to the group.
- B. Approve May Meeting Notes: The notes of the last May meeting were approved by the committee without any changes.
- C. Proceedings: Kathy Caldwell initiated the meeting with the presentation of the key components of the IRWM Plan 2013:
 - Stakeholder involvement and coordination
 - Objectives and targets
 - Data management
 - Project review and prioritization process
 - Salt and nutrient planning (Santa Maria Valley Groundwater Basin)
 - South Coast recycled water planning

She also mentioned other components that are an important part of the plan, such as:

- Impacts and benefits, plan performance and monitoring
- Finance and technical analysis
- Governance and regional description
- Relation to land use planning
- Climate change

SB IRWMP 2013 Update: Cooperating Partners and CP Steering Committee Meeting

Meeting Minutes

Ms. Caldwell went on highlighting the importance of the IRWM Planning for the Santa Barbara Region. Among the multiple benefits the county has received, she underlined the grant funding obtained, the growth of the regional database, and the increase and improvement of collaboration and communication between the jurisdictions involved, among others.

Next, she went on to explain the organizational structure of the IRWM Plan project. The Steering Committee members are volunteers who are representatives of the Cooperating Partners. The Steering Committee will work together with consultants, the County Water Agency, and the different workgroups to develop the final IRWM Plan Update.

Stakeholder outreach was discussed next. At this point, Bret Stewart and Matt Naftaly from the County Water Agency gave a brief update of the activities done to meet the stakeholders' outreach goals. They said that since August 2011, presentations and individual meetings with members of agricultural community have been made. They are also working with San Luis Obispo and Ventura County on outreach and coordination.

Ms. Caldwell then presented to the group the upcoming grant funding opportunities and continued with the technical workgroups updates. At this point, the different workgroups summarized about the activities that are currently underway.

- The Climate Change Workgroup is at this time working on the vulnerabilities of the region and will then prioritize them. The next step will include the identification of the vulnerability strategies.
- The Salt and Nutrient Workgroup is currently working with the agencies that provided study records from 1992 to assess the Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for salt and nutrients.
- The South Coast Recycled Water Workgroup is focusing on compiling the data.

Next, the discussion turned to the review of the issues, objectives, and targets established during the Objectives, Targets, and Project Selection Workgroup meeting. Ms. Caldwell emphasized that the targets need to be achievable and implementable. She also clarified that the targets could be quantitative or qualitative, depending on the specific objective. The following are the changes the group made to the targets:

- Under the target title: "Increase groundwater supplies" the group changed the target by 2035 as follows: "Increase groundwater ~~supplies~~ yield by 2,500 AFY".
- Under the target title: "Habitat conservation" the group added the verb protect, as follows: "Conserve, preserve, restore and **protect** 30 miles of stream in 20 years".
- Under the target title: "Water and wastewater infrastructure improvements and repairs" the group changed the target by 2035 as follows: "~~Increase~~ Implement reliability **improvement** to ~~45%~~ 30% of customers within water and wastewater agency service areas by 2035".

After reviewing and agreeing on the issues, objectives, and targets, Ms. Caldwell continued with the presentation of the approach for the Project prioritization for Plan, which is defined below:

- First, the selection process begins with a Project Screening by the consultants for whether the project accomplishes an objective, whether it is water related, and whether it has a disproportionately negative impact on a DAC.
- Secondly, the consultants will score all projects using data entered by project proponents into the data base (OPTI). The criteria will likely be:
 - Multiple objectives
 - Multiple benefits

**SB IRWMP 2013 Update: Cooperating Partners and CP Steering
Committee Meeting**

Meeting Minutes

- Resource management strategies.
- Linked to other project
- Benefits DACs/Tribes

Projects that meet the criteria, will be further reviewed by members of the Objectives, Targets, Projects (OTP Workgroup) to assure accuracy of the project proponents scoring and the consultants scoring. The Steering Committee will have an opportunity to review the scoring. Both the Steering Committee and the OTP workgroup will have a chance to request a reconsideration of project scoring prior to a public meeting on the project scoring and prioritization.

Project proponents will have the opportunity to make a presentation about their project to the OTP workgroup at a future meeting. The selected project should tell a part of the region's story.

The group agreed upon the strategy proposed by Ms. Caldwell.

Ms. Caldwell continued the meeting calling for the submission of projects through IRWM project database named OPTI. She encouraged the proponents to start using the database and gave them a handout with concise instructions of how to register and use the OPTI database.

D. Public comments: There were no public comments at the end of the meeting.

E. Next steps:

- Submit project info into IRWM Database (OPTI)
- Provide additional project information to workgroup, if requested.
- Project selection process
- Attend public workshops
- Review of draft IRWM Plan 2013
- Review of final IRWM Plan 2013

Prop 84 Implementation Grant, Round 2 Project Selection Process Kick-Off Meeting



November 28, 2012

RMC


Water and the Environment

Agenda

- Ad Hoc Committee
- The Region's IRWM Accomplishments
- Keep in Mind
- Lessons Learned
- Chartering
- Process
 - 1st Meeting
 - 2nd Meeting
 - 3rd Meeting


What Santa Barbara has Accomplished

- \$28.5 million+ to Region
- IRWM Plan – 2007
- Prop 50 Grant - \$25 M
- RAP Process
- Biennial Review and Project Selection Process – 2010
- Prop 84 Grants – Planning (\$556,000) and Project Implementation (+\$3M)



Keep in Mind...

- \$7.6 M for Funding Area (6 regions)
- Cost of \$20,000 to \$25,000 per project
- Ap must be HIGHLY competitive
- Need agency to manage grant application



Lessons Learned – Round 1

- Last process was too costly and time intensive
- Stick to the schedule
- Conduct initial benefit:cost analysis BEFORE selecting
- Meet with sponsors to screen projects
 - Assume project is “not-ready” until proven “ready”
 - Require all information at meeting – no exceptions
 - Set sponsor expectations (grant ap info and project follow-thru)
 - Resolution required to certify matching funds obligated

Chartering

Decision-Making

- Levels of Consensus
- Considerations for Voting Rules and Consensus

Operating Guidelines

- Agree on Vision, Goals, Objectives
- Discuss Committee and individual responsibilities
- Identify critical success factors
- Problem? Let's discuss internally

Ahead of Time

- Agency/organization asked to submit top 1 or 2 projects
 - Must be on list of 114 eligible projects
 - Ready-to-go by Sept 30, 2013
- Rank projects per criteria used in project “prioritization” for Plan 2013
 - Draw line at natural break point to get top 40 projects
 - Distribute to Ad Hoc Committee

Criteria

- Achieves multiple regional objectives
- Achieves multiple benefits
- Multiple strategies
- Status of design
- High percent of matching funds
- Matching funds are committed
- Matching funds are identified
- Benefits a DAC
- Integration between multiple organizations

Meeting Schedule

1st Meeting:

Agree on Process
Select Top Tier (40)

2nd Meeting:

Select 10 – 14 Projects
Answer Key Questions
Approve Assessment Form

3rd Meeting:

Prior Review of
Assessments
Meet with Sponsors
Select Final Projects

1st Meeting

- Chartering: Decision-Making and Operating Guidelines
- Agree on Process
 - Agency/organization submits top 1 or 2 “ready-to-go” projects
 - Score projects based on Plan 2013 criteria
 - Select top tier (40) projects
 - Narrow down list to 10 – 14 projects
 - Answer key questions:
 - Are we ready to field a HIGHLY competitive application?
 - What agency leads grant application?
 - What agency is lead agency for administration of grant?
 - Interview Project Proponents
 - Select final projects

2nd Meeting

- Approaches to Selecting top 10 – 14 Projects
 - Ready-to-go analysis (status of design, matching funds committed, etc.)
 - Compare agency “top picks” to 40 projects and reduce number of projects
 - Have separate list of DAC projects (score of above 3) and make sure top ones are on list
 - Consider geography – 3 sub-regions
 - Review list of objectives to make sure key objectives met
 - Review RMC “straw man” list of Dream Team
- Answer Key Questions
 - Vote on whether to proceed with Round 2
 - Identify agency/organization to manage Round 2 Grant Application
 - What entity will be Lead Agency, if successful
- Agree on questions for the Project Assessment Form and (1 week) send out
- Plan 3rd Meeting (interviews of project proponents)

3rd Meeting

- Send out Project Assessment Form
- Ad Hoc Committee reviews Project Assessment Forms and scoring PRIOR to meeting
- Interview Project Proponents
- Select projects for Round 2

Meeting Schedule

1st Meeting:

Agree on Process
Select Top Tier (40)

2nd Meeting:

Select 10 – 14 Projects
Answer Key Questions
Approve Assessment Form

3rd Meeting:

Prior Review of
Assessments
Meet with Sponsors
Select Final Projects

Odds 'n Ends

- Inter-regional coordination
- How much should we ask for?
- Appeal process?
- Next meetings – in person - 1 -4 pm at CCWA on 12/5 and 12/12
- Other

Contact Information

Kathy Caldwell - 310.566.6474
kcaldwell@rmcwater.com

RMC

Water and the Environment

Santa Barbara County IRWM Plan 2013 – Ad Hoc Committee



Chartering

November 28, 2012

Chartering will guide the Ad Hoc Committee through the process of defining the team. During the chartering process, vision, purpose, goals, objectives, team and individual responsibilities, critical success factors, and operating guidelines will be agreed upon. This collaboration will increase the chances of our success and the probability of ending up with the high quality, relevant, and useful planning document.

Vision

The Committee will select projects that are appropriate and highly competitive for the IRWM grant (as a rule, we will not include O&M type projects) application in order to successfully secure Prop 84 Implementation grant funding.

Goals

Goals describe the end states you plan to achieve and are outcome oriented. Goals are outcome oriented, but often broad enough that they may be somewhat difficult to measure. Goals answer broadly the question of WHAT your organization hopes to accomplish.

- Identify and utilize a process to select integrated projects for Round 2 that implement the Plan's objectives and apply related Resources Management Strategies (RMS).

Objectives

Objectives break up the goal(s) into manageable "chunks" of work that can be accomplished within your Committee. Objectives answer the question of WHAT combination of measurable results or outcomes will ensure that a goal will be met.

- Agree on the process of selecting projects
- Decide if the region should apply for Round 2 funding based on review of the final 10 projects
- Identify an agency or organization that is willing to manage the process of writing the Round 2 grant
- Identify an agency that would be willing to serve as grant administrator, if we are successful in obtaining grant money
- If appropriate, select a small number of projects to be submitted for Prop 84 Round 2 funding

Ad Hoc Committee and Individual Responsibilities

Ad Hoc Committee Responsibility – The Ad Hoc Committee reports to the Santa Barbara County Region IRWM Cooperating Partners (Cooperating Partners) through the Cooperating Partners Steering Committee. The Cooperating Partners are represented by the County Water Agency. The Ad Hoc Committee is responsible for conducting three meetings, overseeing the fulfillment of individual responsibilities, and meeting Committee goals and objectives.

Individual Responsibility

- Committee member **MUST** attend all three meetings (11/28, 12/5, and 12/12)
- Agency/organization participants – must have clear authority to represent agency or organization, provide expertise and provide required information in a timely manner (adhering to project deadlines and schedule)
- Committee members will represent the region first and their agency or organization second.
- If a Committee member is displeased with any aspect of the Committee process, it is hoped that the member will bring the issue before the Committee or ask the facilitator to address the issue openly with the Committee. The Committee will make a concerted effort to deal with issues internally.

Length of Time of Commitment

- Committee responsibilities will take approximately three weeks to complete

Critical Success Factors

- Regular meeting attendance
- Agency participants have decision-making authority and communicate frequently with agency boards

Ground Rules

1. Listen as an ally
2. Put the region first, your agency second
3. All perspectives are valued; everyone has an opportunity to participate
4. Focus on new input
5. Be concise

Internal and External Communications

- General communications (meeting or task related) will be managed by and directed through RMC (kcaldwell@rmcwater.com).
- Meetings will be both face-to-face and through electronic media (conference call or Go to Meeting)
- Document sharing will be through email, the County IRWM website <http://www.countyofsb.org/pwd/pwwater.aspx?id=16852> and a sharepoint (FTP) site <http://sharepoint.rmcwater.com/sb-irwm> (additional information will be forthcoming)

Meeting Protocol

- Meeting agendas will be prepared by Consultant and distributed 24 hours prior to the meeting
- Meetings will be coordinated by RMC team members

Decision-Making

- Ad Hoc Committee members will be voting members of the Committee.

Consensus and Non-Consensus Decisions

- The Committee will strive to achieve consensus whenever possible.
- The Committee will make non-consensus decisions through an open vote. A simple majority will be sufficient for moving the topic at hand forward.
- **Levels of Consensus**
 1. I can say an **unqualified “yes”** to the decision. I am satisfied that the decision is an express of wisdom of the group.
 2. I find the decision **perfectly acceptable**. It is the best of the real options that are available.
 3. I can **live with** the decision. However, I am not especially enthusiastic about it.
 4. I do not fully agree with the decision and need to register my view about it. However, I do not choose to block the decision and will **stand aside**. I am willing to support the decision because I trust the wisdom of the group.
 5. I **do not agree** with the decision and request that it be noted that the decision was not made by consensus.
 6. I feel that we have no clear sense of unity in the group. **We need to do more work** before consensus can be achieved.
- **Considerations for Voting Rules and Consensus**
 - The Committee should strive to achieve consensus, which is defined as all Committee members voting at Consensus Levels 1 through 4
 - If Committee members are not in consensus (one or more members vote at Consensus Level 5 or 6), the Committee should continue discussion in an attempt to reach consensus.
 - The Consultant will be responsible for deciding when the group is at an impasse, and will call for a vote at that point.
 - The Committee will make non-consensus decisions by vote. A simple majority will be sufficient for moving the topic at hand forward.

SB IRWM: Reprioritized Projects (11/27/2012)

SB IRWMP: Reprioritize Projects (11/27/2012)

Project Number	Project Name	Multiple objectives*	Multiple benefits	RMS ***	Funding information provided	Status of design	High percent matching funds from your agency anticipated	Matching funds committed	Matching fund sources identified	Benefits to DACs or Tribal community	Integration between multiple organizations	Total
		1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-4 Scale**	1-5 Scale	0 - 4%=0; 5-15%=1 pt; 16-25=2 pts; 26-50=3 pts; 51-75=4 pts; 76-100=5 pts.	1-5 Scale	No (0) or Yes (1)	1-5 Scale	1-5 Scale	
82	El Estero Advanced Secondary Treatment and Improvement Project/ City of Santa Barbara (Co-sponsor: City of Santa Barbara) / Theresa Lancy	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	1	3	1	38
109	Irrigation System Improvements and BMPs on Orchards in Santa Barbara County (Co-sponsors: Potential Partners include the South Coast Water District, Avocado Commission, Natural Resources Conservation Service, and UC Cooperation Extension)/ Cachuma Resource Conservation District/ Anne Coates	5	5	5	2	5	2	5	1	3	5	38
26	Recycled Water Enhancement Project/City of Santa Barbara (Co-sponsor: Heal the Ocean)/Rebecca Bjork	5	5	5	4	3	4	5	1	3	2	37
1	Livestock & Land/ Cachuma Resource Conservation District (Co-sponsor: South Coast Habitat Restoration, UC Cooperative Extension (potential), Natural Resource Conservation Services)/ Anne Coates	1	5	5	3	4	3	5	3	3	4	36
83	Phase 3 Recycled Water System Expansion/ City of Santa Barbara/ Theresa Lancy	5	5	3	4	1	5	5	1	3	3	35
68	Twitchell Reservoir Sedimentation Management/ City of Santa Maria/ Lisa Long	4	5	3	3	2	3	5	1	4	4	34
86	El Estero Swale Restoration Project/ City of Santa Barbara/ Theresa Lancy	4	5	5	3	2	4	5	1	3	1	33
112	Corona Del Mar Water Treatment Plant Backwash Basin Improvement Project/ Goleta Water District/ Chris Rich	4	4	5	4	3	3	5	1	3	1	33
20	New River Wells & Water Treatment Plant Project/City of Solvang/Matt Van der Linden	5	3	2	3	3	5	5	1	4	1	32
27	Las Positas Valley Restoration/City of Santa Barbara/ Cameron Benson	5	5	3	4	1	4	3	1	5	1	32
50	SWMP Program Implementation/ City of Buellton / Rose Hess	5	5	4	3	5	2	5	1	1	1	32
21	Self-Regenerating Water Softener (SRWS) Rebate/Replacement Program / City of Solvang (Co-sponsors: Santa Ynez Community Services District; Santa Ynez River Water Conservation District; Santa Ynez River Water Conservation District - ID#1; City of Buellton; County of Santa Barbara) / Matt van der Linden	5	1	4	3	3	5	3	1	1	5	31
32	Storm Water Treatment Retrofit Project/ City of Santa Barbara/ Cameron Benson	5	5	3	4	4	2	5	1	1	1	31
36	Low Income Residential Water Audit and Improvement Program/Santa Barbara County Water Agency (Co-sponsors: Community Action Commission, Carpinteria Valley Water District, City of Santa Maria, Goleta Water District, and Vandenberg Village Community Services District)/ Randy Turner	2	5	3	3	2	3	3	1	4	5	31
84	AMI Pilot Project/ City of Santa Barbara/ Theresa Lancy	4	3	4	3	4	3	5	1	3	1	31

SB IRWM: Reprioritized Projects (11/27/2012)

Project Number	Project Name	Multiple objectives*	Multiple benefits	RMS ***	Funding information provided	Status of design	High percent matching funds from your agency anticipated	Matching funds committed	Matching fund sources identified	Benefits to DACs or Tribal community	Integration between multiple organizations	Total
		1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-4 Scale**	1-5 Scale	0 - 4%=0; 5-15%=1 pt; 16-25=2 pts; 26-50=3 pts; 51-75=4 pts; 76-100=5 pts.	1-5 Scale	No (0) or Yes (1)	1-5 Scale	1-5 Scale	
92	Corona Del Mar Water Treatment Plant Sludge Drying Beds Project/ Goleta Water District/ Chris Rich	4	3	5	4	3	3	4	1	3	1	31
93	Goleta Water District-City of Santa Barbara Interconnect Project/ Goleta Water District (Co-sponsor: City of Santa Barbara)/ Chris Rich	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	1	3	2	31
97	Tajungas Creek Steelhead Recovery/ South Coast Habitat Restoration (Co-sponsor: Landowner, Cachuma Resource Conservation District, Potential partners include: Natural Resource Conservation Service, Department of Fish and Game, US Fish and Wildlife Service)/ Mauricio Gomez	4	3	5	2	3	3	4	1	2	4	31
102	Glenn Anne Dam and Reservoir for Emergencies, Accountability, and Management, Seismic Project/ Cachuma Operation and Maintenance Board/ Megan Emami	4	5	3	4	1	1	3	1	4	5	31
14	Recycled Water Pipeline Extension/Retrofit at Rancho Maria Golf Course/ Laguna County Sanitation District/ Martin Wilder	4	2	4	4	5	4	3	1	1	2	30
35	Watershed Invasive Plant Removal Program/City of Santa Barbara/ Cameron Benson	3	5	2	3	5	3	5	1	1	1	29
77	Lower Mission Creek Flood Control & Restoration Project -- Reach 1B/ Santa Barbara County Flood Control & Water Conservation District (Co-sponsor: City of Santa Barbara, Army Corps of Engineers)/ Jon Frye	3	4	3	2	4	3	5	1	1	3	29
79	Lower Mission Creek Flood Control & Restoration Project -- Reach 1A, Phase 2/ Santa Barbara County Flood Control & Water Conservation District (Co-sponsor: City of Santa Barbara, Army Corps of Engineers)/ Jon Frye	3	3	3	2	5	3	5	1	1	3	29
81	Northview Road Sewer Extension/ City of Santa Barbara (Co-sponsor: Heal the Ocean, County of Santa Barbara EHS)/ Rebecca Bjork	4	4	3	2	3	3	3	1	3	3	29
88	Recycled Water Pump Upgrades Project/ Goleta Water District/ Chris Rich	3	2	4	4	5	3	5	1	1	1	29
103	Lower Reach Air Vac Valve/Blowoff Valve Replacement Project/ Cachuma Operation and Maintenance Board/ Megan Emami	1	3	3	4	5	3	3	1	2	4	29

SB IRWM: Reprioritized Projects (11/27/2012)

Project Number	Project Name	Multiple objectives*	Multiple benefits	RMS ***	Funding information provided	Status of design	High percent matching funds from your agency anticipated	Matching funds committed	Matching fund sources identified	Benefits to DACs or Tribal community	Integration between multiple organizations	Total
		1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-4 Scale**	1-5 Scale	0 - 4%=0; 5-15%=1 pt; 16-25=2 pts; 26-50=3 pts; 51-75=4 pts; 76-100=5 pts.	1-5 Scale	No (0) or Yes (1)	1-5 Scale	1-5 Scale	
108	Fish Passage Improvement on Crossing 3, Quito Creek/ Cachuma Operation and Maintenance Board/ Timothy H. Robinson	1	1	5	4	4	1	3	1	3	5	28
31	Mission Creek Restoration and Steelhead Passage Project/ City of Santa Barbara/ Cameron Benson	1	5	2	4	5	2	5	1	1	1	27
39	Extreme Landscape Makeover for Schools and Parks/ Santa Barbara County Water Agency (Co-sponsor: Cachuma RCD, Cities of Santa Barbara, Lompoc, Carpinteria, and Santa Maria, Carpinteria Valley Water District, Goleta Water District, Vandenberg Village CSD, County of Santa Barbara Storm Drain Inlet Retrofits/ City of Buellton / Rose Hess	5	1	3	3	2	1	3	1	3	5	27
53		3	5	4	3	2	2	5	1	1	1	27
69	Water Infiltration Basins/ City of Santa Maria/ Lisa Long	5	5	4	3	2	2	1	0	3	2	27
104	Highway 192 at Mission Creek Fish Passage and South Coast Conduit Relocation Project/ Cachuma Operation and Maintenance Board/ Timothy H. Robinson	2	2	3	4	4	1	3	1	2	5	27
28	Lower Arroyo Burro Restoration Project/City of Santa Barbara/ Cameron Benson	5	3	3	4	1	4	3	1	1	1	26
55	Demonstration Gardens and Landscape Conservation Program/ City of Buellton / Rose Hess	4	5	2	3	2	2	5	1	1	1	26
87	East Via Real Stormwater Treatment Plant/ City of Carpinteria/ Erin Maker	3	4	5	2	2	2	5	1	1	1	26
91	Corona Del Mar Water Treatment Plant Filter Media Replacement Project/ Goleta Water District/ Chris Rich	3	2	2	4	3	3	4	1	3	1	26
98	City Parking UID Project/ City of Carpinteria/ Erin Maker	4	5	3	2	2	2	5	1	1	1	26

SB IRWM: Reprioritized Projects (11/27/2012)

Project Number	Project Name	Multiple objectives*	Multiple benefits	RMS ***	Funding information provided	Status of design	High percent matching funds from your agency anticipated	Matching funds committed	Matching fund sources identified	Benefits to DACs or Tribal community	Integration between multiple organizations	Total
		1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-4 Scale**	1-5 Scale	0 - 4%=0; 5-15%=1 pt; 16-25=2 pts; 26-50=3 pts; 51-75=4 pts; 76-100=5 pts.	1-5 Scale	No (0) or Yes (1)	1-5 Scale	1-5 Scale	
100	South Coast Steelhead Recovery/ South Coast Habitat Restoration (Co-sponsor: Landowners, California Coastal Conservancy, National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration)/ Mauricio Gomez	4	3	4	2	1	1	3	1	2	4	25
5	Sewerline Rehabilitation Project/City of Solvang / Matt Van der Linden	3	1	2	3	2	5	5	1	1	1	24
8	Tunnel Road Sewer Main Extension/County of Santa Barbara Public Works Department/Martin Wilder	3	5	2	4	1	3	3	1	1	1	24
15	Recycled Water Pipeline Extension to Waller County Park Area/ Laguna County Sanitation District/ Martin Wilder	4	2	4	4	1	2	1	0	3	3	24
24	Reservoir 2 Recoating Project/ City of Solvang/ Matt Van der Linden	1	1	3	3	3	5	5	1	1	1	24
29	Mid-Arroyo Burro Restoration Project/City of Santa Barbara/ Cameron Benson	3	5	3	4	1	2	3	1	1	1	24
34	Andree Clark Bird Refuge Water Quality and Habitat Enhancement Project/ City of Santa Barbara/ Cameron Benson	2	5	3	3	1	2	5	1	1	1	24
43	SWMP/SSMP Implementation/ City of Buellton/ Rose Hess	3	3	3	3	2	2	5	1	1	1	24
74	Las Vegas – San Pedro Creeks Capacity Improvement Project – Phase 2/ Santa Barbara County Flood Control & Water Conservation District (Co-sponsors: City of Goleta, City of Santa Barbara, Union Pacific Railroad)/ Jon Frye	3	2	2	3	3	3	3	1	1	3	24
85	Braemar Area Sewer Extension Project/ City of Santa Barbara/ Theresa Lancy	2	3	4	2	2	4	2	1	3	1	24
89	Recycled Water Pump Arc Flash Improvements/ Goleta Water District/ Chris Rich	2	1	3	3	5	2	5	1	1	1	24

SB IRWM: Reprioritized Projects (11/27/2012)

Project Number	Project Name	Multiple objectives*	Multiple benefits	RMS ***	Funding information provided	Status of design	High percent matching funds from your agency anticipated	Matching funds committed	Matching fund sources identified	Benefits to DACs or Tribal community	Integration between multiple organizations	Total
		1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-4 Scale**	1-5 Scale	0 - 4%=0; 5-15%=1 pt; 16-25=2 pts; 26-50=3 pts; 51-75=4 pts; 76-100=5 pts.	1-5 Scale	No (0) or Yes (1)	1-5 Scale	1-5 Scale	
11	Los Olivos Wastewater Collection and Treatment Facility/County of Santa Barbara Public Health Department (Co-sponsor: County of Santa Barbara Public Works Department)/David Brummond	5	1	3	3	1	3	3	1	1	2	23
16	Sludge Drying Beds Improvements/Laguna County Sanitation District/Martin Wilder	1	2	2	4	3	3	5	1	1	1	23
22	Covered Solids Handling Area Structure at WWTP /City of Solvang (Co-sponsor: Santa Ynez Community Services District)/Matt Van der Linden	2	2	2	3	1	5	5	1	1	1	23
23	Reservoir 1 Roof Replacement/ City of Solvang/Matt Van der Linden	2	1	2	3	2	5	5	1	1	1	23
25	New Reservoir 4 to Replace Cisterns/City of Solvang/Matt van der Linden	2	1	3	3	1	5	5	1	1	1	23
75	Lower Mission Creek Flood Control & Restoration Project – Reach 2B, Phase 2/ Santa Barbara County Flood Control & Water Conservation District (Co-sponsor: City of Santa Barbara)/ Jon Frye	2	2	2	2	4	3	3	1	1	3	23
76	Lower Mission Creek Flood Control & Restoration Project-Reach 2A/ Santa Barbara County Flood Control & Water Conservation District (Co-sponsor: City of Santa Barbara, Army Corps of Engineers)/ Jon Frye	2	2	2	2	4	3	3	1	1	3	23
99	Upper Reach Second Barrel from Glen Anne Turnout to Corona Del Mar Water Treatment Plant/ Cachuma Operation and Maintenance Board/ Megan Emami	2	2	3	3	5	0	0	0	4	4	23
3	Waterline from Alisal Road to WWTP/City of Solvang (Co-sponsor: Santa Ynez Community Services District)/ Matt Van der Linden	2	1	2	3	1	5	5	1	1	1	22
13	East Side Wastewater Treatment Plant/ Laguna County Sanitation District (Co-sponsor: County of Santa Barbara Public Health Department)/ Martin Wilder	5	1	3	4	1	4	1	0	1	2	22
18	Backup Generator System/ Laguna County Sanitation District/ Martin Wilder	2	2	1	4	2	3	5	1	1	1	22

SB IRWM: Reprioritized Projects (11/27/2012)

Project Number	Project Name	Multiple objectives*	Multiple benefits	RMS ***	Funding information provided	Status of design	High percent matching funds from your agency anticipated	Matching funds committed	Matching fund sources identified	Benefits to DACs or Tribal community	Integration between multiple organizations	Total
		1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-4 Scale**	1-5 Scale	0 - 4%=0; 5-15%=1 pt; 16-25=2 pts; 26-50=3 pts; 51-75=4 pts; 76-100=5 pts.	1-5 Scale	No (0) or Yes (1)	1-5 Scale	1-5 Scale	
30	Mission Creek Lagoon/Laguna Creek Restoration and Management Project/ City of Santa Barbara/ Cameron Benson	3	3	3	4	2	2	2	1	1	1	22
40	Electrical Upgrade at WWTP/City of Buellton/ Rose Hess	2	4	2	3	1	2	5	1	1	1	22
45	Water Treatment Plant Upgrades and Safety Improvements/ City of Buellton/ Rose Hess	3	2	2	3	2	2	5	1	1	1	22
56	RAS/WAS Pump Replacements/ City of Buellton / Rose Hess	3	3	1	3	2	2	5	1	1	1	22
73	Las Vegas – San Pedro Creeks Capacity Improvement Project – Phase 3 / Santa Barbara County Flood Control & Water Conservation District (Co-sponsors: City of Goleta, City of Santa Barbara, Union Pacific Railroad)/ Jon Frye	2	1	2	3	3	3	3	1	1	3	22

SB IRWM: Reprioritized Projects (11/27/2012)

Project Number	Project Name	Multiple objectives*	Multiple benefits	RMS ***	Funding information provided	Status of design	High percent matching funds from your agency anticipated	Matching funds committed	Matching fund sources identified	Benefits to DACs or Tribal community	Integration between multiple organizations	Total
		1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-4 Scale**	1-5 Scale	0 - 4%=0; 5-15%=1 pt; 16-25=2 pts; 26-50=3 pts; 51-75=4 pts; 76-100=5 pts.	1-5 Scale	No (0) or Yes (1)	1-5 Scale	1-5 Scale	
33	Sycamore Creek Restoration/City of Santa Barbara/ Cameron Benson	2	2	3	4	1	2	4	1	1	1	21
46	WTP/WWTP Back-up Power Generation/ City of Buellton/ Rose Hess	2	3	1	3	2	2	5	1	1	1	21
52	McMurray WTP Building Expansion/ City of Buellton / Rose Hess	1	4	1	3	2	2	5	1	1	1	21
57	Buellton River Trail/ City of Buellton / Rose Hess	3	5	1	3	1	2	3	1	1	1	21
58	Santa Ynez River Tamarisk and Arundo Project/ County of Santa Barbara Agricultural Commissioner's Office/ David Chang	5	1	2	2	5	1	3	0	1	1	21
64	Salt & Nutrient Management Plan Mitigation Measures/ City of Santa Maria/ Lisa Long	1	5	2	3	1	2	1	0	3	3	21
7	Second Street Drainage Improvement Project/City of Solvang (Co-sponsor: Caltrans)/ Matt Van de Linden	2	1	2	2	2	4	3	1	1	2	20
12	Waller/Stubbs List Station/Laguna County Sanitation District/Martin Wilder	4	1	2	4	1	2	3	1	1	1	20
17	UV Disinfection System Optimization Project/Laguna County Sanitation District/Martin Wilder	2	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	1	1	20
37	Santa Barbara County Water Loss Control Program/ Santa Barbara County Water Agency (Co-sponsors: City of Santa Barbara, City of Santa Maria, Carpinteria Valley Water District, and Goleta Water District)/ Randy Turner	3	3	4	0	1	0	1	0	3	5	20
38	Commercial and Industrial Water Conservation Program/ Santa Barbara County Water Agency (Co-sponsors: City of Santa Barbara, City of Santa Maria, City of Lompoc, Carpinteria Valley Water District, Goleta Water District, Vandenberg Village Community Services District, and Santa	5	2	3	0	1	0	1	0	3	5	20

SB IRWM: Reprioritized Projects (11/27/2012)

Project Number	Project Name	Multiple objectives*	Multiple benefits	RMS ***	Funding information provided	Status of design	High percent matching funds from your agency anticipated	Matching funds committed	Matching fund sources identified	Benefits to DACs or Tribal community	Integration between multiple organizations	Total
		1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-4 Scale**	1-5 Scale	0 - 4%=0; 5-15%=1 pt; 16-25=2 pts; 26-50=3 pts; 51-75=4 pts; 76-100=5 pts.	1-5 Scale	No (0) or Yes (1)	1-5 Scale	1-5 Scale	
41	SCADA System Upgrade/ City Buellton/ Rose Hess	2	2	1	3	2	2	5	1	1	1	20
42	Water Treatment Plant Facilities Expansion/ City of Buellton/ Rose Hess	3	2	1	3	1	2	5	1	1	1	20
49	Wastewater Treatment Plant Security Improvements/ City of Buellton / Rose Hess	2	2	2	3	2	1	5	1	1	1	20
51	WWTP Building and Site Improvements/ City of Buellton / Rose Hess	3	4	1	3	1	2	3	1	1	1	20
54	Biological Nutrient Removals (BNR) Improvements/ City of Buellton / Rose Hess	4	4	1	3	1	2	3	0	1	1	20
96	Recycled Water Facilities Upgrade / City of Guadalupe/ Dennis Delzeit	2	1	3	3	2	3	0	0	5	1	20
10	Palomino Road Sewer Main Extension/County of Santa Barbara Public Works Department/Martin Wilder	3	1	3	4	2	3	1	0	1	1	19
44	Water Meter Upgrades/ City of Buellton/ Rose Hess	1	2	1	3	2	2	5	1	1	1	19
47	Booster Pump Station Improvements/ City of Buellton/ Rose Hess	1	2	1	3	2	2	5	1	1	1	19
78	Las Vegas- San Pedro Creeks Capacity Improvement Project-Phase 1/ Santa Barbara Flood Control & Water Conservation District (Co-sponsor: City of Santa Barbara, Army Corps of Engineers)/ Jon Frye	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	1	1	2	19
90	Eliwood Hydroelectric Project/ Goleta Water District/ Chris Rich	2	1	2	4	2	2	3	1	1	1	19

SB IRWM: Reprioritized Projects (11/27/2012)

Project Number	Project Name	Multiple objectives*	Multiple benefits	RMS ***	Funding information provided	Status of design	High percent matching funds from your agency anticipated	Matching funds committed	Matching fund sources identified	Benefits to DACs or Tribal community	Integration between multiple organizations	Total
		1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-4 Scale**	1-5 Scale	0 - 4%=0; 5-15%=1 pt; 16-25=2 pts; 26-50=3 pts; 51-75=4 pts; 76-100=5 pts.	1-5 Scale	No (0) or Yes (1)	1-5 Scale	1-5 Scale	
113	WWTP Effluent Disposal Facility Improvements/ City of Guadalupe/ Dennis Delzeit	2	1	4	1	3	0	2	0	5	1	19
66	Santa Maria Valley Groundwater Banking Feasibility Study/City of Santa Maria/ Lisa Long	3	4	1	2	1	2	1	0	3	1	18
111	City of Lompoc Treatment Basin Upgrades/ City of Lompoc/ Susan Segovia	1	1	3	2	2	2	2	0	4	1	18
9	Plant Facility Flood Protection/Laguna County Sanitation District/Martin Wilder	2	2	2	4	2	2	1	0	1	1	17
62	Water Quality Treatment/ City of Santa Maria/ Lisa Long	1	3	1	3	1	0	1	0	5	2	17
94	Irrigation System for WWTP Discharge/ Cuyama Community Services District/ U.S. Wilson	2	1	3	3	1	1	0		5	1	17
114	WWTP Grit System and Influent Pump Improvements/ City of Guadalupe/ Dennis Delzeit	2	1	2	2	5	0	3	0	1	1	17
48	Blending Station Project/ City of Buellton/ Rose Hess	3	2	2	3	1	2	1	0	1	1	16
65	Central Irrigation Control System/ City of Santa Maria/ Lisa Long	2	1	2	3	1	2	1	0	3	1	16
67	Stowell Road Drainage Improvements/ City of Santa Maria/ Lisa Long	1	1	1	3	1	2	1	0	3	3	16
95	Water Tower Repair Project/ Cuyama Community Services District/ U.S. Wilson	1	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	5	1	14

SB IRWM: Reprioritized Projects (11/27/2012)

Project Number	Project Name	Multiple objectives*	Multiple benefits	RMS ***	Funding information provided	Status of design	High percent matching funds from your agency anticipated	Matching funds committed	Matching fund sources identified	Benefits to DACs or Tribal community	Integration between multiple organizations	Total
		1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-4 Scale**	1-5 Scale	0 - 4%=0; 5-15%=1 pt; 16-25=2 pts; 26-50=3 pts; 51-75=4 pts; 76-100=5 pts.	1-5 Scale	No (0) or Yes (1)	1-5 Scale	1-5 Scale	
110	Construction of Well #10-Augment Water Supply/ City of Lompoc/ Susan Segovia	2	1	3	2	0	3	0	0	2	1	14
19	Tamarisk and Arundo Removal along the Santa Ynez River/Santa Barbara County Agricultural Commissioner/David Chang	3	1	3	2	1	0	1	0	1	1	13
63	Water Quality Treatment- UD/ City of Santa Maria/ Lisa Long	1	2	3	3	1	0	1	0	1	1	13
6	Regional Habitat Conservation Bank for Endangered Species/Regional/Martin Wilder	1	1	2	3	2	0	1	0	1	1	12
71	Groundwater Treatment/ City of Santa Maria/ Lisa Long	4	1	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	11
72	Untreated Water Landscape Irrigation Extension/ City of Santa Maria/ Lisa Long	4	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	11
2	Sewer Line Replacement/City of Lompoc/ Susan Segovia	1	1	2	2	1	0	1	0	1	1	10
70	Wastewater Treatment Plant Nutrient Removal/ City of Santa Maria/ Lisa Long	2	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	8
105	Lower Reach Lining and Creek Stabilization/ Cachuma Operation and Maintenance Board/ Megan Emami	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	8
106	Upper Reach Pipeline Lining and Creek Stabilization/ Cachuma Operation and Maintenance Board/ Megan Emami	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	8
59	Cogeneration Unit/ Goleta Sanitary District/ Kathleen Werner	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	7

SB IRWM: Reprioritized Projects (11/27/2012)

Project Number	Project Name	Multiple objectives*	Multiple benefits	RMS ***	Funding information provided	Status of design	High percent matching funds from your agency anticipated	Matching funds committed	Matching fund sources identified	Benefits to DACs or Tribal community	Integration between multiple organizations	Total
		1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-5 scale	1-4 Scale**	1-5 Scale	0 - 4%=0; 5-15%=1 pt; 16-25=2 pts; 26-50=3 pts; 51-75=4 pts; 76-100=5 pts.	1-5 Scale	No (0) or Yes (1)	1-5 Scale	1-5 Scale	
60	Mattorral Way Creek Aerial Crossing Sewer Replacement Project/ Goleta Sanitary District/ Kathleen Werner	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	7
61	Modoc Road New Sewer Line Installation Project/ Goleta Sanitary District/ Kathleen Werner	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	7
107	Sheffield Tunnel Pipeline Replacement/ Cachuma Operation and Maintenance Board/ Megan Emami	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	7
101	North Portal Security Upgrade/ Cachuma Operation and Maintenance Board/ Megan Emami	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	6
80	Recycled Water Enhancement Project/ City of Santa Barbara (Co-sponsor: Heal the Ocean)/ Rebecca Bjork											

