

2014 IRWM Drought Grant Solicitation Application Evaluation Summary

Applicant	San Diego County Water Authority
Number of Projects	7
Proposal Level Score	11
Average Project Level Score	10
Tie-Breaker Points from Program Preferences Section (If Applicable)	
Grand Total	21

Q#	Questions	Attachment(s)	Points Available	Score Proposal Level
Proposal Level Evaluation				
1	Does the Proposal clearly demonstrate the regional water management impact(s) due to the 2014 drought or any anticipated impacts if the drought or dry year conditions continue into 2015?	2	5	3
2	Did the Project Proponent identify the mandatory or voluntary water conservation measures/restrictions that have been implemented due to the 2014 drought or any planned or anticipated actions if drought or dry year conditions continue into 2015?	2	5	0
3	Is there a map of the IRWM Region that shows the location of the project(s) included in the Proposal?	3	1	1
4	Does the Budget contain a summary budget for the Proposal?	5	1	1
5	Does the Schedule contain a summary schedule for the Proposal?	6	1	1
6	Collectively, do the Work Summary, Budget, and Schedule demonstrate that a majority of the projects will be ready to start construction/implementation by April 1, 2015?	4, 5, & 6	2	2
7	Enter up to 3 points for proposals that address the Human Right to Water	7	3	3
Total for Proposal Level			18	11

Project Level Evaluation	Attachment(s)	Points Available	Score Project Level	Reynolds Groundwater Desalination Facility Expansion #1	Fallbrook Plant Nurseries Recycled Water Distribution System Expansion #2	Carlsbad Recycled Water Plant and Distribution System Expansion #3	Regional Demand Management Program Expansion #4	San Diego Water Use Reduction Program #5	Rincon Customer-Driven Demand Management Program #6	Regional Emergency Storage and Conveyance System Intertie Optimization #7	
8	Is a brief description of the project included?	3	1	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
9	Is there a project map that shows the location of the project and the areas and water resources affected by the project?	3	1	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
10	Does the applicant clearly explain how the proposed project will help alleviate the identified drought impacts?	3	2	10	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
11	Is each physical benefit annualized over the lifecycle of the project?	3	1	4	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
12	Are the anticipated primary and secondary physical benefits of the project described and quantified?	3	1	2	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	
13	Is the level of technical analysis reasonable considering the size of the project and the type of physical benefit claimed?	3	1	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	
14	Does the technical analysis support the claimed physical benefits?	3	2	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	
15	Is the proposed project the least cost alternative? If not, does the applicant sufficiently explain why it was selected instead of the least cost alternative?	3	1	4	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
16	Does the applicant discuss the necessary tasks that will result in a completed project?	4	1	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	
17	Do the tasks in the scope of work include appropriate deliverables (i.e., CEQA documents, plans and specifications, monitoring plans, progress reports, final report, etc.)?	4	1	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
18	Does the Work Summary include a project status that indicates the current stage of each task (e.g., % complete)?	4	1	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
19	If applicable, does the Work Summary include a listing of required permits and their status, and the appropriate environmental documentation for the proposed project? (N/A = Yes)	4	1	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
20	Are the tasks shown in the Budget consistent with the tasks discussed in the Work Summary?	4 & 5	1	2	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	
21	Are the costs presented in the Budget reasonable for the project type and the current stage of the project?	5	1	1	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	
22	Are the tasks in the schedule consistent with the tasks described in the Work Summary?	4 & 6	1	4	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	
23	Does the schedule demonstrate that it is reasonable to expect that the project will start construction/implementation by April 1, 2015?	4 & 6	1	4	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	
24	Does the application describe the steps necessary to ensure that the proposed schedule can be met?	6	1	1	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	
Total Project Level Score for all projects			19	71	13	10	11	9	6	14	8