

## PROPOSAL EVALUATION

### *IRWM Grant Program – Planning Grant, Round 1, FY 2010-2011*

<b>Applicant</b>	Regional Water Authority	<b>County</b>	Placer, El Dorado, Sacramento
<b>Project Title</b>	American River Basin IRWMP Update	<b>Grant Request</b>	\$403,848
		<b>Total Project Cost</b>	\$538,464

**Project Description** The existing IRWMP will be updated to make it compliant with revised IRWM Guidelines. The update will include water-related and demographic data, California Water Plan Resources Management Strategies, climate change, reliable and safe water supply for DAC communities, and stakeholder outreach. In addition, the plan will develop an innovative web-based IRWMP project interface.

#### **Evaluation Summary**

<b>Scoring Criterion</b>	<b>Score</b>
Work Plan	12
DAC Involvement	8
Schedule	10
Budget	10
Program Preferences	10
Geographic Balance	0
<i>Total Score</i>	<i>50</i>

- **Work Plan** Overall, the work plan is well prepared. However, in some instances it lacks specific details. For example, Task 3, stakeholder outreach activities, needs a few additional details to describe how the outlined activities will result in additional stakeholders participating in the IRWM process. Task 4, Develop Objectives with Metrics, seems reasonable to accomplish but needs clarification on how the objectives will be developed. Task 5, Develop a Web-Based IRWM Interface, seems reasonable but needs clarification on who is going to create the software.
- **DAC Involvement** The applicant plans to increase outreach activities to identify DAC's and their needs. However, it was unclear how newly identified DAC's would specifically be included in the IRWMP process.
- **Schedule** The schedule is consistent with the work plan and the budget and is reasonable.
- **Budget** The budget is consistent with the work plan and schedule and is of sufficient detail. The criterion is fully addressed and supported by thorough and well-presented documentation and logical rationale.
- **Program Preference** The proposal describes all Program Preferences and Statewide Priorities; however, 10 program preferences were adequately addressed and demonstrate high level of certainty. They are: regional projects, integrate water management programs, resolve significant water-related conflicts, integrate water and landuse planning, drought preparedness, use re-use efficiency, expand environmental stewardship, protect surface water and groundwater, climate change and address critical water supply or water quality needs of a DAC.
- **Geographic Balance** Not Applicable