

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1416 NINTH STREET, P.O. BOX 942836
SACRAMENTO, CA 94236-0001
(916) 653-5791



February 27, 2008

Ernie Ohlin, Water Resources Manager
Tehama County Flood Control & Water Conservation District
9380 San Benito Avenue
Gerber, California 96035-9701

Local Groundwater Management Assistance Act of 2000,
FY 2004/2005 Agreement No. 4600004099

Dear Mr. Ohlin:

We received and accepted the final project report dated January 31, 2008. This represents completion of your agency's obligations with the California Department of Water Resources under Agreement No. 4600004099.

Thank you for your interest in the Local Groundwater Assistance Grant Program and your efforts to better understand and manage groundwater resources in your area. The Grant Performance Evaluation is attached for your records. If you have any questions, please contact Bob Niblack at (916) 651-9223.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tracie Billington".

Tracie Billington, Chief
Special Projects

Attachment

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
GRANTEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION
 DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
 CONJUNCTIVE WATER MANAGEMENT BRANCH
 Rev5/04

AGREEMENT NUMBER 4600004100	AMENDMENT NUMBERS 1
--------------------------------	------------------------

DEPARTMENT Water Resources	GRANTEE'S NAME AND ADDRESS Ernie Ohlin, Water Resources Manager Tehama County Flood Control and Water Conservation District
DIVISION Planning and Local Assistance	
EVALUATOR'S NAME Bob Niblack	
PROJECT TITLE Capay and Bowman Monitoring Well Construction	AGREEMENT EXPIRATION DATE November 15, 2007
1. TOTAL AGREEMENT AMOUNT INCLUDING AMENDMENTS \$202,970	GRANTEE DEPARTMENT (if different than grantee's name)

2. DESCRIBE THE WORK THAT WAS IN THE PROJECT WORK PLAN.

Drill two 1,000-foot deep test holes, collect geologic samples, run geophysical logs, and design monitoring wells.

Ream the test holes and construct multi-completion monitoring wells with concrete pads and locking protective housings.

Obtain and install transducer/dataloggers to obtain time series groundwater level data.

3. WAS THE WORK PERFORMED?

NO - If no, explain why YES - if yes, explain how the work met the specific problem, administrative requirement, or program need for the project.

Two boreholes were drilled, geologic and geophysical data was collected. In both cases, the wells were designed, using the borehole data, to obtain data from several different layers of aquifer material. Both wells were successfully built. Data loggers were installed to collect groundwater level data.

These wells will assist Tehama County in successfully implementing their groundwater management plan.


4. DID THE GRANTEE FULFILL ALL REQUIREMENTS OF THE AGREEMENT?

NO - If no, explain why YES - if yes, explain how the work met the specific problem, administrative requirement, or program need for the project.

Work was completed as described in the workplan and data dissemination requirements were not only met, but exceeded.

Timeliness - construction work was delayed in Spring 2007 due to drilling contractor's schedule conflict and grantee's desire to use this contract to aid in development of 4-county data management tool. The contract was amended to extend it for six months and the work was completed by the amended deadline.

Budget - The grantee worked with bidders to meet the construction goals under the budgeted amount. Therefore, \$10,000 was available to further develop Butte County's on-line data management system so that data from the wells built with this contract are available through the internet.

5. EMPLOYEE TO BE CONTACTED REGARDING GRANTEE PERFORMANCE Bob Niblack	6. TITLE Senior Engineering Geologist	7. TELEPHONE NUMBER (916) 651-9223
8. EVALUATOR'S SIGNATURE 	9. TITLE Senior Engineering	10. DATE February 27, 2008
		11. TELEPHONE NUMBER (916) 651-9223