

Pajaro River Watershed Emergency Drought Program

**ATTACHMENT 1: AUTHORIZATION AND ELIGIBILITY
REQUIREMENTS**

6.0 URBAN WATER MANAGEMENT COMPLIANCE

Below is a list of the urban water suppliers that will receive funding from the proposed grant and agency contact information:

Agency	Agency Contact	Phone Number	Email Address
Santa Clara Valley WD	James O'Brien	408.630.2443	jobrien@valleywater.org
San Benito County WD	Shawn Novack	831.637.8218	snovack@sbcwd.com
City of Watsonville	Beau Kayser	831.768.3193	beau.kayser@cityofwatsonville.org

DWR documentation verifying that SCVWD, SBCWD and Watsonville 2010 Urban Water Management Plans (UWMP) meet the requirements of the California Water Code (CWC) are included in Exhibit G.

AB 1420 and CWC §525 self certification documents, with wet signatures, from SCVWD, SBCWD and the City of Watsonville have all been submitted to DWR. Electronic copies of the self certification documents are included in Exhibit G.

EXHIBIT G: URBAN WATER MANAGEMENT COMPLIANCE

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1416 NINTH STREET, P.O. BOX 942836
SACRAMENTO, CA 94236-0001
(916) 653-5791



October 27, 2011

Mr. James O'Brien
Santa Clara Valley Water District
5750 Almaden Expressway
San Jose, California 95118

Dear Mr. O'Brien:

The Department of Water Resources (DWR) has reviewed the Santa Clara Valley Water District's (SCVWD) 2010 Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) received on June 22, 2011. The California Water Code (CWC) directs DWR to report to the legislature once every five years on the status of submitted plans. In meeting this legislative reporting requirement, DWR reviews all submitted plans.

DWR's review of SCVWD's 2010 plan has found that the plan has addressed the requirements of the CWC. DWR's review of plans is limited to assessing whether suppliers have addressed the required legislative elements. In its review, DWR does not evaluate or analyze the supplier's UWMP data, projections, or water management strategies. This letter simply acknowledges that SCVWD's UWMP has addressed these requirements. The results of the review will also be provided to DWR's Financial Assistance Branch.

If you have any questions regarding the review of the plan or urban water management planning please don't hesitate to email or call.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Peter Brostrom".

Peter Brostrom
UWMP Program Manager
brostrom@water.ca.gov
(916) 651-7034

cc: Kim Rosmaier
DWR North Central Regional Office

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1416 NINTH STREET, P.O. BOX 942836
SACRAMENTO, CA 94236-0001
(916) 653-5791



January 25, 2013

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2013

SAN BENITO COUNTY
WATER DISTRICT

Mr. Shawn Novack
District Manager/Engineer
San Benito County Water District
Post Office Box 899
Hollister, California 95024-0899

Dear Mr. Novack:

The Department of Water Resources (DWR) has reviewed the 2010 Hollister Urban Area Regional Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP), submitted by the San Benito County Water District, Sunnyslope County Water District, and City of Hollister, and received by DWR on July 29, 2011. The California Water Code (CWC) directs DWR to report to the legislature once every five years on the status of submitted plans. In meeting this legislative reporting requirement, DWR reviews all submitted plans.

DWR's review of the 2010 Hollister Urban Area Regional Plan has found that the Plan has addressed the overall requirements of the CWC. The calculations for baseline water use did not completely follow the guidance provided by DWR in Methodologies for Calculating Baseline and Compliance Urban Per Capita Water Use. However, communications between DWR, the water agencies, and Todd Engineers, see attachment, demonstrated that the resulting difference in baseline water use was small and did not require an amendment to the Plan.

DWR recommends that when updating this plan in 2015, the agencies:

- Submit only regional gross water use, baselines, and targets, omitting individual supplier baselines and targets, because water deliveries from the Lessalt Water Treatment Plant to the individual retail agencies were not metered during the baseline period.
- Calculate gross water using water production data from groundwater wells and delivered surface water.
- For San Benito County Water District address Demand Management Measure (DMM) D, Metering with Commodity Rates. Describe why metering deliveries to the retailers is not cost effective, or provide a timeline and budget for planned implementation of this DMM for the wholesaler.

By including this data in future plans, the Hollister Urban Area's Regional Plan will better meet the requirements of the Water Code Sections 10608.20 and 10631 (f) and (g).

Mr. Shawn Novack
January 25, 2013
Page 2

DWR's review of plans is limited to assessing whether suppliers have addressed the required legislative elements. In its review DWR does not evaluate or analyze the supplier's UWMP data, projections, or water management strategies. This letter is simply meant to acknowledge that the Hollister Urban Area Regional Plan has addressed these requirements. The results of the review will also be provided to DWR's Financial Assistance Branch.

If you have any questions regarding the review of the plan or urban water management planning, please do not hesitate to email or call.

Sincerely,



Peter Brostrom
UWMP Program Manager
brostrom@water.ca.gov
(916) 651-7034

Attachment

cc: Gwen Huff
DWR South Central Regional Office

Luis Avila
DWR South Central Regional Office

TODD ENGINEERS

GROUNDWATER · WATER RESOURCES · HYDROGEOLOGY · ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING

January 8, 2013

DRAFT MEMORANDUM

To: Jeff Cattaneo, San Benito County Water District

From: Iris Priestaf, Chad Taylor, and Maureen Reilly, Todd Engineers

Re: Revised Addendum to the 2010 Hollister Area Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP)

Introduction

The Department of Water Resources (DWR) has reviewed the 2010 Hollister Area Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) and the staff member in charge of the review, Gwen Huff, has requested a specific revision of gross water use, baselines per capita daily use, and the per capita demand targets. In the UWMP, the Hollister Urban Area regional gross water use, baselines, and targets were calculated for Hollister and Sunnyslope separately and then the region's goals were presented as a weighted average of the two. However, DWR indicates that because the portion of Lessalt Water Treatment Plant that is delivered to Hollister and Sunnyslope was not monitored discretely for each service area, we cannot look at the two entities as separate providers but must combine their gross water use as a regional total.

Combining baseline water use

Gross water use includes all water into the system:

- groundwater -monitored at the point of production
- CVP imports to Lessalt treatment plant –total inflow metered, but Hollister and Sunnyslope individual portions are not
- unaccounted for water – losses, etc.

Total HUA water use in 2005 totaled 6.14 MGD or 6,871 AFY; total HUA water deliveries in 2005 totaled 6,791 AFY (UWMP Table 3-1). The difference between the gross water use (used in baseline calculations) and the water deliveries was 100 AFY of unaccounted for water, including system losses and leaks.

Revisions to the baseline water use and water use targets

Combining Hollister and Sunnyslope values and calculating the gross water use, baselines, and targets as a region will reduce the 10-year baseline by four gallons per person per day (gpcd) to 157 gpcd. Table 1 shows the baseline selected for the regional alliance and Table 2 shows the baseline period calculations for the Hollister Urban Area. The original UWMP reported a 10-year baseline of 161 gpcd (shown in Table 3); different baseline periods were selected for each Hollister and Sunnyslope to achieve the most favorable baseline. The 5-year range baseline remains the same as in the UWMP, because the same years were selected for Hollister and Sunnyslope (Table 4). Therefore, the minimum water use requirements remain the same, as they are based on the 5-year baseline.

The water demand targets are calculated as a percentage of baseline; purveyors must achieve 10 percent of the baseline water use by 2015 and 20 percent by 2020. The new targets are shown in Table 5 and the targets reported in the UWMP are shown in Table 6. The targets are reduced by 3.6 gpcd and 3.2 gpcd for 2015 and 2020 respectively.

Conclusion

Ms. Huff at DWR communicated that DWR will accept the numbers reported in the submitted UWMP if the new targets (based on the regional baseline rather than the weighted average of the supplier's baseline) were within a few GPCD of the reported regional gross water, baseline and target. Given that the difference in the baseline is four gpcd and the difference in the targets is less than four gpcd, it is our opinion that the UWMP does not need to be revised and the plan does not need to be re-adopted.

Base	Parameter	Value	Units
10- to 15- Year Base Period	2008 total water deliveries	6,460	AFY
	2008 total volume of delivered recycled water	0	AFY
	2008 recycled water as a percent of total deliveries	0	percent
	Number of years in base period ¹	10	years
	Year beginning base period range	1997	
	Year ending base period range ²	2006	
5-Year Base Period	Number of years in base period	5	years
	Year beginning base period range	2003	
	Year ending base period range ³	2007	

¹If the 2008 recycled water percent is less than 10 percent, then the first base period is a continuous 10-year period. If the amount of recycled water delivered in 2008 is 10 percent or greater, the first base period is a continuous 10- to 15-year period.
²The ending year must be between December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2010.
³The ending year must be between December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2010.

Base Period Year		Distribution System Population	Daily System Gross Water Use (mgd)	Annual Daily Per Capita Water Use (gpcd)
Sequence Year	Calendar Year			
Year 1	1996	32,341	4.92	152
Year 2	1997	35,114	5.79	165
Year 3	1998	35,948	5.18	144
Year 4	1999	38,157	5.69	149
Year 5	2000	39,092	6.44	165
Year 6	2001	40,157	6.37	159
Year 7	2002	40,661	6.60	162
Year 8	2003	40,464	6.52	161
Year 9	2004	40,369	6.55	162
Year 10	2005	40,307	6.14	152
			Base Daily Per Capita Water Use	157

Table 3
Base Daily Per Capita Water Use
Reported in the 2010 UWMP

Retailer	Population ¹	Annual Daily Per Capita Water Use (gpcd)
Hollister	23,254	149
Sunnyslope	15,838	178
Regional Alliance	39,092	161

¹Population based on US Census 2000.

Table 4
Base Daily Per Capita Water Use
Hollister Urban Area 5-Year Range

Base Period Year		Distribution System Population	Daily System Gross Water Use (mgd)	Annual Daily Per Capita Water Use (gpcd)
Sequence Year	Calendar Year			
Year 1	2003	40,464	6.52	161
Year 2	2004	40,369	6.55	162
Year 3	2005	40,307	6.14	152
Year 4	2006	40,458	5.91	146
Year 5	2007	40,230	6.17	153
Base Daily Per Capita Water Use				155

Table 5
Baseline and Target Per Capita Water Use (gpcd)

Retailer	Population	Baseline Water Use		Minimum Water Use Reduction Requirement		Target Water Use	
		10-year	5-year	2015	2020	2015	2020
HUA	39,092	157	155	152	147	141.5	125.7

Table 6
Reported in the 2010 UWMP
Baseline and Target Per Capita Water Use (gpcd)

Retailer	Population	Baseline Water Use		Minimum Water Use Reduction Requirement		Target Water Use	
		10-year	5-year	2015	2020	2015	2020
Hollister	23,254	149	137	140	130	135	120
Sunnyslope	15,838	178	182	176	173	161	143
HUA	39,092	161	155	154	147	145.1	129.0

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1416 NINTH STREET, P.O. BOX 942836
SACRAMENTO, CA 94236-0001
(916) 653-5791



June 26, 2014

Mr. Carlos J. Palacios
City Manager
City of Watsonville
275 Main Street, Suite 400
Watsonville, California 95076

Dear Mr. Palacios:

The Department of Water Resources (DWR) has reviewed the City of Watsonville's (City) 2010 Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) received July 29, 2011. The California Water Code (CWC) directs DWR to report to the legislature once every five years on the status of submitted plans. In meeting this legislative reporting requirement, DWR reviews all submitted plans.

DWR's review of the City's 2010 UWMP has found that the plan has generally addressed the requirements of the CWC.

DWR recommends that when updating this plan in 2015, the City:

Modify Gross Water Calculations

SBX7-7 defines gross water use as the water entering the distribution system minus applicable exclusions. Gross water use in Watsonville's UWMP was calculated using water delivery data rather than the required water production data. This resulted in a miscalculation and undercounting of Watsonville's gross water use and the resulting baseline per capita water use.

However, the City chose target method 3 to calculate their 2015 and 2020 water use targets and target method 3 does not depend upon baseline per capita water use in target calculations. Rather, it is based in water use by hydrologic region. Because the lower baseline did not affect the City's compliance year water use targets, the plan does not require an amendment.

In updating its UWMP in 2015, the City should calculate its gross water use using water production data to ensure accurate estimates for both baseline water use and compliance year water use.

By including this data in future plans, the City will better meet the requirements of the Water Code Section 10608.20 (h) (1) (A).

Mr. Carlos J. Palacios
June 26, 2014
Page 2

DWR's review of plans is limited to assessing whether suppliers have addressed the required legislative elements. In its review, DWR does not evaluate or analyze the supplier's UWMP data, projections, or water management strategies. This letter is meant to acknowledge that the City's 2010 UWMP has addressed these requirements. The results of the review will also be provided to DWR's Financial Assistance Branch.

If you have any questions regarding the review of the plan or urban water management planning, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



Peter Brostrom
UWMP Program Manager
brostrom@water.ca.gov
(916) 651-7034

cc: Mr. Beau Kayser
Water Operator
City of Watsonville

Luis Avila
DWR South Central Regional Office

Marty Berbach
DWR Headquarters

Gwen Huff
DWR Headquarters

California State Water Resources Control Board
California Department of Water Resources
California Department of Public Health



**CERTIFICATION FOR
COMPLIANCE WITH WATER METERING REQUIREMENTS
FOR FUNDING APPLICATIONS**

Funding Agency name: California Department of Water Resources
Funding Program name: Integrated Regional Water Management
Applicant (Agency name): Santa Clara Valley Water District
Project Title (as shown on application form): Pajaro River Watershed Emergency
Drought Response Proposal

Please check one of the boxes below and sign and date this form.

As the authorized representative for the applicant agency, I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the agency is not an urban water supplier, as that term is understood pursuant to the provisions of section 529.5 of the Water Code.

As the authorized representative for the applicant agency, I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the applicant agency has fully complied with the provisions of Division 1, Chapter 8, Article 3.5 of the California Water Code (sections 525 through 529.7 inclusive) and that ordinances, rules, or regulations have been duly adopted and are in effect as of this date.

I understand that the Funding Agency will rely on this signed certification in order to approve funding and that false and/or inaccurate representations in this Certification Statement may result in loss of all funds awarded to the applicant for its project. Additionally, for the aforementioned reasons, the Funding Agency may withhold disbursement of project funds, and/or pursue any other applicable legal remedy.

Beau Goldie
Name of Authorized Representative
(Please print)

Signature

Chief Executive Officer
Title

6-11-14
Date

California State Water Resources Control Board
California Department of Water Resources
California Department of Public Health



**CERTIFICATION FOR
COMPLIANCE WITH WATER METERING REQUIREMENTS
FOR FUNDING APPLICATIONS**

Funding Agency name: STATE of Ca
Funding Program name: Rajaro River IRWM
Applicant (Agency name): SAN BENITO COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
Project Title (as shown on application form): Rajaro River Watershed IRWM Drought Grant

Please check one of the boxes below and sign and date this form.

As the authorized representative for the applicant agency, I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the agency is not an urban water supplier, as that term is understood pursuant to the provisions of section 529.5 of the Water Code.

As the authorized representative for the applicant agency, I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the applicant agency has fully complied with the provisions of Division 1, Chapter 8, Article 3.5 of the California Water Code (sections 525 through 529.7 inclusive) and that ordinances, rules, or regulations have been duly adopted and are in effect as of this date.

I understand that the Funding Agency will rely on this signed certification in order to approve funding and that false and/or inaccurate representations in this Certification Statement may result in loss of all funds awarded to the applicant for its project. Additionally, for the aforementioned reasons, the Funding Agency may withhold disbursement of project funds, and/or pursue any other applicable legal remedy.

SHAWN NOVACK

Name of Authorized Representative
(Please print)

WC Program Manager

Title

Signature

6/19/04

Date

AB 1420 Self-Certification Statement Table 1

Note: Table 1 documents Status of Past and Current BMP implementation.

Self-Certification Statement: The Urban Water Supplier and its authorized representative certifies, under penalty of perjury, that all information and claims, stated in this table, regarding compliance and implementation of the BMPs, including alternative conservation approaches, are true and accurate. This signed AB 1420 Self-Certification Statement Table 1, and Table 2 are the basis for granting funds by the Funding Agency. Falsification and/or inaccuracies in AB 1420 Self Certification Statement Table 1, and Table 2 and in any supporting documents substantiating such claims may, at the discretion of the funding agency, result in loss of all State funds to the applicant. Additionally, the Funding Agency, in its sole discretion, may halt disbursement of grant or loan funds, not pay pending invoices, and/or pursue any other applicable legal remedy and refer the matter to the Attorney General's Office.

Name of Signatory SHAWN NOUACK Title of Signatory MANAGER Signature of signatory  Date 6/25/14

Application Date:

Proposal Identification Number: CUWCC Member? Yes/No

Has Urban Water Supplier submitted a 2005 Urban Water Management Plan? Yes/No Is the UWM Plan Deemed Complete by DWR? Yes/No

Applicant Name:

Project Title:

Applicant's Contact Information: Name: Phone: E-mail:

Participants:

Retailer (List Below)			Wholesaler (List Below)		
CITY OF HOLLI STEW			SBCWD		
SUNNY SLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT					

C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	*C6	C7	**C8	**C9	**C10	C11	C12	C13	C14	C15	C16	C17	C18
BMPs required for Wholesale Supplier	BMPs required for Retail Supplier	BMPs	BMP Implemented by Retailers and/or Wholesalers / BMP			Compliance Options/Alternative Conservation Approaches (1)			BMP Is Exempt (2)			BMP Implementation Requirements Met					
			Retailer Yes/No	Wholesaler Yes/No	Regional Yes/No	BMP Checklist	Flex Track	Gallons Per Capita Per Day GPCD	Not Cost Effective	Lack of Funding	Lack of Legal Authority	CUWCC MOU Requirement Met: Retailer Yes/No	CUWCC MOU Requirement Met: Wholesaler Yes/No	Date of BMP Report Submitted to CUWCC for (2007-2008) (MOU Signatories)	Date BMP Implementation Data Submitted to DWR in CUWCC Format (Non MOU Signatories) (3)	All Supporting Documents have been Submitted Yes/No	
	✓	BMP 1 Water Survey for Single/Multi-Family Residential Customers	Y	Y	Y	/							Y	Y	2009	3/2009	YES
	✓	BMP 2 Residential Plumbing Retrofit	Y	Y	Y	/							Y	Y	2009	3/2009	YES
✓	✓	BMP 3 System Water Audits, Leak Detection	Y	Y	Y	/							Y	Y	2009	3/2009	YES
✓	✓	BMP 3 Leak Repairs	Y	Y	Y	/							Y	Y	2009	3/2009	YES
	✓	BMP 4 Metering with Commodity Rates for All New connections	Y	Y	Y	/							Y	Y	2009	3/2009	YES
	✓	BMP 4 Retrofit of Existing Connections	Y	Y	Y	/							Y	Y	2009	3/2009	YES

C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 *C6 C7 **C8 **C9 **C10 C11 C12 C13 C14 C15 C16 C17 C18

BMPs required for Wholesale Supplier	BMPs required for Retail Supplier	BMPs	BMP Implemented by Retailers and/or Wholesalers / BMP			Compliance Options/Alternative Conservation Approaches (1)			BMP Is Exempt (2)			BMP Implementation Requirements Met				
			Retailer Yes/No	Wholesaler Yes/No	Regional Yes/No	BMP Checklist	Flex Track	Gallons Per Capita Per Day GPCD	Not Cost Effective	Lack of Funding	Lack of Legal Authority	CUWCC MOU Requirement Met: Retailer Yes/No	CUWCC MOU Requirement Met: Wholesaler Yes/No	Date of BMP Report Submitted to CUWCC for (2007-2008) (MOU Signatories)	Date BMP Implementation Data Submitted to DWR in CUWCC Format (Non MOU Signatories) (3)	All Supporting Documents have been Submitted Yes/No
	✓	BMP 5 Large Landscape Conservation Programs and Incentives	Y	Y	Y	✓						Y	Y	2009	3/2009	Y
	✓	BMP 6 High-Efficiency Washing Machine Rebate Programs	Y	Y	Y	✓						Y	Y	2009	3/2009	Y
✓	✓	BMP 7 Public Information	Y	Y	Y	✓						Y	Y	2009	3/2009	Y
✓	✓	BMP 8 School Education	Y	Y	Y	✓						Y	Y	2009	3/2009	Y
	✓	BMP 9 Conservation programs for Commercial, Industrial, and Institutional (CII) Accounts	Y	Y	Y	✓						Y	Y	2009	2/2009	Y
✓		BMP 10 Wholesale Agency Assistance Programs	Y	Y	Y	✓						Y	Y	2009	3/2009	Y
	✓	BMP 11 Conservation Pricing	Y	Y	Y	✓						Y	Y	2009	3/2009	Y
✓	✓	BMP 12 Conservation Coordinator	Y	Y	Y	✓						Y	Y	2009	3/2009	Y
	✓	BMP 13 Water Waste Prohibitions	Y	Y	Y	✓						Y	Y	2009	3/2009	Y
	✓	BMP 14 Residential ULFT Replacement Programs	Y	Y	Y	✓						Y	Y	2009	3/2009	Y

*C6: Wholesaler may also be a retailer (supplying water to end water users)

**C8, **C9, **, and C10: Agencies choosing an alternative conservation approach are responsible for achieving water savings equal or greater than that which they would have achieved using only BMP list.

(1) For details, please see: <http://www.cuwcc.org/mou/exhibit-1-bmp-definitions-schedules-requirements.aspx>.

(2) BMP is exempt based on cost-effectiveness, lack of funding, and lack of legal authority criteria as detailed in the CUWCC MOU

(3) Non MOU signatories must submit to DWR reports and supporting documents in the same format as CUWCC.

CUWCC 2010 Flex Track BMPs	BMPs required for Wholesale Supplier	BMPs required for Retail Supplier	BMPs	BMP Implemented by Retailers and/or Wholesalers			Alternative Conservation Approaches Yes/No	Compliance Options / Alternative Conservation Approaches (1)			BMP is Exempt (2)			Implementation Scheduled to Commence within 1st Year of Agreement					
				Retailer Yes/No	Wholesaler Yes/No	Regional Yes/No		BMP Checklist	Flex Track	Gallons Per Capita Per Day GPCD	Not Cost Effective	Lack of Funding	Lack of Legal Authority	Start Date (MM/YR)	Completion Level (%)	BMP Completion Date (MM/YR)	Budget (Dollars)	Funding Source & Finance Plan to Implement BMPs	Meets CUWCC Coverage Yes/No
3.30		✓	BMP 6 High-Efficiency Washing Machine Rebate Programs	Y	Y	Y	2	✓											
3.40		✓	BMP 14 Residential ULFT Replacement Programs	Y	Y	Y	2	✓											
4. Commercial, Industrial, Institutional																			
4.00		✓	BMP 9 Conservation programs for Commercial, Industrial, and Institutional (CI) Accounts	Y	Y	Y	2	✓											
5. Landscape																			
5.00		✓	BMP 5 Large Landscape Conservation Programs and Incentives	Y	Y	Y	2	✓											

*C6: Wholesaler may also be a retailer (supplying water to end water users)

**C9, ** C10, and **C11: Agencies choosing an alternative conservation approach are responsible for achieving water savings equal or greater than that which they would have achieved using only BMP list.

(1) For details, please see <http://www.cuwcc.org/mou/exhibit-1-bmp-definitions-schedules-requirements.aspx>.

(2) BMP is exempt based on cost-effectiveness, lack of funding, or lack of legal authority, as detailed in the CUWCC MOU.

California State Water Resources Control Board
California Department of Water Resources
California Department of Public Health



**CERTIFICATION FOR
COMPLIANCE WITH WATER METERING REQUIREMENTS
FOR FUNDING APPLICATIONS**

Funding Agency name: Department of Water Resources

Funding Program name: 2014 IRWM Drought Solicitation Implementation Grant Program

Applicant (Agency name): City of Watsonville

Project Title (as shown on application form): Pajaro River Watershed Emergency Drought Program
(Corralitos Creek Water Supply)

Please check one of the boxes below and sign and date this form.

As the authorized representative for the applicant agency, I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the agency is not an urban water supplier, as that term is understood pursuant to the provisions of section 529.5 of the Water Code.

As the authorized representative for the applicant agency, I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the applicant agency has fully complied with the provisions of Division 1, Chapter 8, Article 3.5 of the California Water Code (sections 525 through 529.7 inclusive) and that ordinances, rules, or regulations have been duly adopted and are in effect as of this date.

I understand that the Funding Agency will rely on this signed certification in order to approve funding and that false and/or inaccurate representations in this Certification Statement may result in loss of all funds awarded to the applicant for its project. Additionally, for the aforementioned reasons, the Funding Agency may withhold disbursement of project funds, and/or pursue any other applicable legal remedy.

Steve Palmisano
Name of Authorized Representative
(Please print)
Director of Public Works and Utilities

Signature

6/23/14

Title

Date

AB 1420 Self-Certification Statement Table 1

Note: Table 1 documents Status of Past and Current BMP implementation.

Self-Certification Statement: The Urban Water Supplier and its authorized representative certifies, under penalty of perjury, that all information and claims, stated in this table, regarding compliance and implementation of the BMPs, including alternative conservation approaches, are true and accurate. This signed AB 1420 Self-Certification Statement Table 1, and Table 2 are the basis for granting funds by the Funding Agency. Falsification and/or inaccuracies in AB 1420 Self Certification Statement Table 1, and Table 2 and in any supporting documents substantiating such claims may, at the discretion of the funding agency, result in loss of all State funds to the applicant. Additionally, the Funding Agency, in its sole discretion, may halt disbursement of grant or loan funds, not pay pending invoices, and/or pursue any other applicable legal remedy and refer the matter to the Attorney General's Office.

Name of Signatory Steve Pamisano Title of Signatory Director of Public Works and Utilities Signature  Date 6-25-14

Application Date:

Proposal Identification Number: CUVCC Member? Yes/No
 Has Urban Water Supplier submitted a 2005 Urban Water Management Plan? Yes/No Is the UWM Plan Deemed Complete by DWR? Yes/No

Applicant Name:

Project Title:

Applicant's Contact Information: Name: Phone: E-mail:

Participants:

Retailer (List Below)	Wholesaler (List Below)
City of Watsonville	

C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 *C6 C7 **C8 **C9 **C10 C11 C12 C13 C14 C15 C16 C17 C18

BMPs required for Wholesaler Supplier	BMPs required for Retail Supplier	BMPs	BMP Implemented by Retailers and/or Wholesalers / BMP			Compliance Options/Alternative Conservation Approaches (1)			BMP Is Exempt (2)			BMP Implementation Requirements Met						
			Retailer Yes/No	Wholesaler Yes/No	Regional Yes/No	BMP Checklist	Flex Track	Gallons Per Capita Per Day GPCD	Not Cost Effective	Lack of Funding	Lack of Legal Authority	CUWCC MOU Requirement Met: Retailer Yes/No	CUWCC MOU Requirement Met: Wholesaler Yes/No	Date of BMP Report Submitted to CUWCC for (2007-2008) (MOU Signatories)	Date BMP Implementation Data Submitted to DWR in CUWCC Format (Non MOU Signatories) (3)	All Supporting Documents have been Submitted Yes/No		
	✓	BMP 1 Water Survey for Single/Multi-Family Residential Customers	Y														Jul-11	Y
	✓	BMP 2 Residential Plumbing Retrofit	Y														Jul-11	Y
✓	✓	BMP 3 System Water Audits, Leak Detection	Y														Jul-11	Y
✓	✓	BMP 3 Leak Repairs	Y														Jul-11	Y
	✓	BMP 4 Metering with Commodity Rates for All New connections	Y														Jul-11	Y
	✓	BMP 4 Retrofit of Existing Connections	Y														Jul-11	Y

C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	*C6	C7	**C8	**C9	**C10	C11	C12	C13	C14	C15	C16	C17	C18
BMPs required for Wholesale Supplier	BMPs required for Retail Supplier	BMPs	BMP Implemented by Retailers and/or Wholesalers / BMP			Compliance Options/Alternative Conservation Approaches (1)			BMP Is Exempt (2)			BMP Implementation Requirements Met					
			Retailer Yes/No	Wholesaler Yes/No	Regional Yes/No	BMP Checklist	Flex Track	Gallons Per Capita Per Day GPCD	Not Cost Effective	Lack of Funding	Lack of Legal Authority	CUWCC MOU Requirement Met: Retailer Yes/No	CUWCC MOU Requirement Met: Wholesaler Yes/No	Date of BMP Report Submitted to CUWCC for (2007-2008) (MOU Signatories)	Date BMP Implementation Data Submitted to DWR in CUWCC Format (Non MOU Signatories) (3)	All Supporting Documents have been Submitted Yes/No	
	✓	BMP 5 Large Landscape Conservation Programs and Incentives	Y													Jul-11	Y
	✓	BMP 6 High-Efficiency Washing Machine Rebate Programs	Y													Jul-11	Y
✓	✓	BMP 7 Public Information	Y													Jul-11	Y
✓	✓	BMP 8 School Education	Y													Jul-11	Y
	✓	BMP 9 Conservation programs for Commercial, Industrial, and Institutional (CII) Accounts	Y													Jul-11	Y
✓		BMP 10 Wholesale Agency Assistance Programs															
	✓	BMP 11 Conservation Pricing	Y													Jul-11	Y
✓	✓	BMP 12 Conservation Coordinator	Y													Jul-11	Y
	✓	BMP 13 Water Wwaste Prohibitions	Y													Jul-11	Y
	✓	BMP 14 Residential ULFT Replacement Programs	Y													Jul-11	Y

*C6: Wholesaler may also be a retailer (supplying water to end water users)

**C8, **C9, **, and C10: Agencies choosing an alternative conservation approach are responsible for achieving water savings equal or greater than that which they would have achieved using only BMP list.

(1) For details, please see: <http://www.cuwcc.org/mou/exhibit-1-bmp-definitions-schedules-requirements.aspx>.

(2) BMP is exempt based on cost-effectiveness, lack of funding, and lack of legal authority criteria as detailed in the CUWCC MOU

(3) Non MOU signatories must submit to DWR reports and supporting documents in the same format as CUWCC.

CUWCC 2010 Flex Track BMPs	BMPs required for Wholesaler Supplier	BMPs required for Retail Supplier	BMPs	BMP Implemented by Retailers and/or Wholesalers			Alternative Conservation Approaches Yes/No	Compliance Options / Alternative Conservation Approaches (1)			BMP is Exempt (2)			Implementation Scheduled to Commence within 1st Year of Agreement					
				Retailer Yes/No	Wholesaler Yes/No	Regional Yes/No		BMP Checklist	Flex Track	Gallons Per Capita Per Day GPCD	Not Cost Effective	Lack of Funding	Lack of Legal Authority	Start Date (MM/YR)	Completion Level (%)	BMP Completion Date (MM/YR)	Budget (Dollars)	Funding Source & Finance Plan to Implement BMPs	Meets CUWCC Coverage Yes/No
3.30		✓	BMP 6 High-Efficiency Washing Machine Rebate Programs	Y															
3.40		✓	BMP 14 Residential ULFT Replacement Programs	Y															
4. Commercial, Industrial, Institutional																			
4.00		✓	BMP 9 Conservation programs for Commercial, Industrial, and Institutional (CI) Accounts	Y															
5. Landscape																			
5.00		✓	BMP 5 Large Landscape Conservation Programs and Incentives	Y															

*C6: Wholesaler may also be a retailer (supplying water to end water users)

**C9, ** C10, and **C11: Agencies choosing an alternative conservation approach are responsible for achieving water savings equal or greater than that which they would have achieved using only BMP list.

(1) For details, please see <http://www.cuwcc.org/mou/exhibit-1-bmp-definitions-schedules-requirements.aspx>.

(2) BMP is exempt based on cost-effectiveness, lack of funding, or lack of legal authority, as detailed in the CUWCC MOU.