

ATTACHMENT 4 - PROJECT BUDGET

PROJECT BUDGET SUMMARY

Below is a summary of the proposed budget for each of the three projects, the grant administration, and the proposal budget summary.

PROJECT 1: Upper Salinas River Basin Conjunctive Use Project (US CUP).

US CUP Budget:

1. Project Component A: Construct the East Side Force Main and Lift Station Project (ESFM Project);
2. Project Component B: Upgrade the West Side Lift Station to accommodate future flows;
3. Project Component C: Install new Headworks at the MWWTP; and
4. Project Component D: Optimize the MWWTP and add tertiary treatment;

Table 8-1 shows the proposed project budget for Project 1 which is based on the latest project documentation and 30% design plans. Each budget category shown in the table agrees with the Work Plan and Schedule. The funding match for the project is 25% as shown in Table 8.1. All non-state share funds (matching funds) are costs incurred after January 1, 2011. In developing the proposed budget, all relevant labor code compliance requirements and the applicable prevailing wage laws were considered.

Table 8.1 – Project Budget				
Proposal Title: San Luis Obispo County IRWM 2015 Implementation Grant				
Project Title: Upper Salinas River Basin Conjunctive Use Project (US CUP)				
Project serves a need of a DAC?: No				
Funding Match Waiver request?: No				
Category	(a) Requested Grant Amount	(b) Cost Share: Non-State Fund Source* (Funding Match)	(c) Cost Share: Other State Fund Source*	(d) Total Cost
Budget Category (A): Direct Project Administration				
A1 Project Management	\$0	\$147,420	\$0	\$147,420
A2 Labor Compliance Program	\$0	\$25,000	\$0	\$25,000
A3 Reporting	\$0	\$5,400	\$0	\$5,400
Budget Category (B): Land Purchase/Easement				
B1 Easement Acquisition	\$0	\$44,652	\$0	\$44,652
Budget Category (C): Planning/Design/Engineering/Environmental				
C1 Feasibility Studies	\$0	\$39,000	\$0	\$39,000
C2 Preliminary Engineering Design	\$0	\$55,392	\$0	\$55,392
C3 Engineering Design and Construction Documents	\$0	\$415,035	\$0	\$415,035
C4 CEQA	\$0	\$24,780	\$0	\$24,780
C5 Permitting	\$0	\$35,000	\$0	\$35,000
C6 Project Performance/Monitoring Plan	\$0	\$2,700	\$0	\$2,700
Budget Category (D): Construction/Implementation				
D1 Construction Administration	\$0	\$100,000	\$246,577	\$346,577
D2 Construction /Implementation Activities	\$3,994,268	\$1,275,000	\$2,265,270	\$7,534,538
BUDGET TOTAL	\$3,994,268	\$2,169,379	\$2,511,847	\$8,675,494
* Funding Match will be by District's own forces and self-funded expenditures as listed in column (b). Securing financing for Project which will be repaid with local funds for costs listed in column (c).				

Category (A) Direct Project Administration

Task A1 - Project Management (\$147,420)

This task occurs throughout the project and up through 90 days after project is complete. Duration of the project is 36 months. Direct Project Administration costs were estimated assuming that 6 - 8 hours per week at \$135 per hour would be required of the staff District Engineer for the 36-month duration of the project.

Task A2 - Labor Compliance (\$25,000)

TCSD anticipates hiring a consultant to prepare a labor compliance plan and certifying payroll in compliance with the Labor Code. The \$25,000 budget is appropriate for projects of similar complexity.

Task A3 – Reporting (\$5,400)

Additionally, to prepare the Project Performance Monitoring Plan, 40 hours will be required at a staff rate of \$135/ hour.

Category (B) Land Purchase / Easement (\$44,652)

Easement acquisition costs for Project Component A are based on costs incurred by the District including surveying costs to prepare the legal description, consultant specializing in Government Real Estate services, and legal counsel costs to prepare, file and record the easement documents. Budget cost is based on actual costs incurred as detailed below:

- 2012-2015 Hamner and Jewell Public Acquisitions - \$34,152.
- 2014 Dennis Greene Appraisal - \$6,500.
- 2014 RICK Eng. Easement Legal Description and Exhibit - \$2,100.
- 2015 Twin Cities Easement Legal Description and Exhibits for LS - \$1,900.

No additional land purchase or easement acquisition is necessary for project components B, C, and D because they will be constructed on District owned land or within existing utility easements and/or co-located with existing utilities.

Category (C) Planning / Design / Engineering / Environmental Documentation

Task C1 - Feasibility Studies (\$39,000)

The primary feasibility study for the Wastewater System was completed in 2012 by HMM, and the budget is based on costs incurred.

Task C2 - Preliminary Engineering Design (\$55,392)

As described in the work plan, the preliminary design documents are complete and the budget amounts are detailed below and are based on costs already incurred for all four project components:

- 2013 AECOM Predesign Technical Memo and L.S. Basis of Design Report - \$25,941.
- 2013-2014 Michael Richards Microbiology Sampling & Evaluation of AIPS Pond System - \$10,800.
- 2015 AECOM West Side Wastewater Collection System Evaluation - \$10,274.
- 2015 MKN MWTP Headworks Preliminary Design Report - \$8,377.

Task C3 - Engineering Design and Construction Documents (\$415,035)

Engineering design and construction documents for Component A are underway and include original topographic survey, geotechnical services, environmental studies, and preliminary design studies. The cost to complete the construction documents for Component A is based on costs already incurred and Consultant contracts as detailed below (\$337,758):

- 2012 AECOM ESFM 30% Design Construction Documents - \$116,045.
- 2013 Rick Engineering Survey - \$34,600.
- 2013, 2015 Earth Systems Geotechnical Evaluation - \$26,850.
- 2015 MKN 100% Construction Contract Documents - \$160,263.

Components B, C, and D are based on 6% of the engineer's opinion of construction cost as estimated in the Feasibility and Preliminary design reports discussed in the Work Plan. Costs are within the range of standard percentage of construction costs typically allocated for design and related services:

- Component B - \$26,820.
- Component C - \$12,900.
- Component D - \$37,557.

Task C4 - CEQA Documents (\$24,780)

Costs for the CEQA Documents for Component A include a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) and one addendum to the MND already completed. A second addendum is underway and costs are based on the environmental consultant's contract as detailed below plus an additional \$1,620 in TCSD staff time for review and management (\$19,920):

- May 23, 2012 CEQA Environmental Determination for Change in Wastewater Operations - \$8,700.
- May 23, 2013 Addendum No. 1 to MND - \$2,300.
- Addendum No. 2 to MND - \$7,300.

CEQA costs for Components B, C, and D are based on 12 hours of staff time at \$135 per hour for documentation of Class 1 Categorical Exemption for minor modification of public facilities (\$1,620 per component). No unusual environmental conditions are anticipated so these costs are appropriate.

Task C5- Permitting (\$35,000)

Permitting costs are estimated at 1% for all four project components; \$20,000 for Component A and \$5,000 each for Components B, C, & D. As stated in the work plan, some permitting is complete and no unusual difficulties are foreseen.

Task C6 - Project Performance Monitoring Plan (\$2,700)

This will be done by TCSD staff and it is estimated at 20 hours at \$135 per hour.

Category (D) Construction/Implementation

Task D1 - Construction Administration (\$346,577)

Construction Administration costs were based on the 30% plans for Project Component A. Project Component A is anticipated to require a moderate amount of Construction Administration time due to the length of the pipeline, the overall length of time required for construction within the paved rights of way, and coordination with subsequent project components. Construction Administration costs Project Components B, C, and D were developed based on the construction cost estimates developed in the preliminary design reports prepared by District staff and consultants. Engineering services during construction will be provided by both the District Engineer and consultants and are estimated to total approximately 6% of the raw construction costs (without contingency) for all components. These assumptions are consistent with similar projects implemented by the County and the District:

- Component A - \$269,300.
- Component B - \$26,820.
- Component C - \$12,900.
- Component D - \$37,577.

Task D2 -Construction Implementation (\$7,534,538)

The Construction implementation cost for Project Component A is based on 30% construction plans. Construction contingency is estimated at 10% of the raw capital costs (not including project management, overhead, or operations).

Items	Amount
1. Volpi Ysabel Lift Station	\$353,000
2. Volpi Ysabel Force Main	\$1,428,000
3. Lift Station No. 3	\$753,000
4. Lift Station No. 3 Force Main	\$2,852,000
Construction Subtotal	\$5,386,000
Construction Contingency (10%)	\$538,600
Construction Total	\$5,924,600

Construction implementation costs for Project Components B, C, & D were based on construction cost estimates developed in the preliminary design reports. The project construction contingency is estimated at 25% of the raw capital costs (not including project management, overhead, or operations). The construction costs listed below and include mobilization, electrical, equipment, piping, and all required construction costs:

- Component B - \$558,750.
- Component C - \$268,750.
- Component D - \$782,438.

Project 2: Oceano Community Services District's Water Resources Reliability Program (WRRP) Study

The total project cost for the OCSD Water Resources Reliability (WRRP) study, for which grant funding is being requested, is \$268,010 as shown in Table 8.2 below. This project is for a DAC and therefore the local match is anticipated to be waived. A brief summary of the project costs documenting how the budget shown is reasonable based on current available information is shown on the following page.

Table 8.2 – Project Budget					
Proposal Title: San Luis Obispo County IRWM 2015 Implementation Grant Proposal					
Project Title: Oceano Community Services District (OCSD) Water Resources Reliability Program (WRRP) Study					
Project serves a need of a DAC?: Yes					
Funding Match Waiver request?: Yes					
Category	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
	Requested Grant Amount	Cost Share Non-State Fund Source* (Funding Match)	Cost Share:* Other Funding Sources	Total Cost	
(A) Direct Project Administration	\$36,700	\$0	\$0	\$36,700	
A1 - Project Management.	\$12,300	\$0	\$0	\$12,300	
A2 - Labor Compliance Program.	\$1,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,000	
A3 - Reporting.	\$5,400	\$0	\$0	\$5,400	
A4 - Program Management Facilitator.	\$18,000	\$0	\$0	\$18,000	
(B) Land Purchase/Easement	\$9,500	\$0	\$0	\$9,500	
B1 - Property Assessment & ROW/Easement Considerations.	\$9,500	\$0	\$0	\$9,500	
(C) Planning/PPMP	\$221,810	\$0	\$0	\$221,810	
C1 - Feasibility Study Project Component 1 (Recycled Water Injection Well Study).	\$25,000	\$0	\$0	\$25,000	
C2 - Feasibility Study Project Component 2 (Low Impact Development Plan).	\$40,000	\$0	\$0	\$40,000	
C3 - Feasibility Study Project Component 3 (Leak Detection and Management Plan).	\$150,000	\$0	\$0	\$150,000	
C4 Coordinated Public Outreach Program.	\$5,560	\$0	\$0	\$5,560	
C5- Project Performance Monitoring Plan.	\$1,250	\$0	\$0	\$1,250	
Environmental Documentation/Permitting	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
(D) Construction/Implementation (Not applicable).	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
(E) Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (d) for each column).	\$268,010	\$0	\$0	\$268,010	

* List sources of funding: *This project is for a DAC and therefore the local match is anticipated to be waived.*

OCSD's Water Resources Reliability Program Study (WRRP) study which intends to provide a direct water-related benefit to the Oceano community. This project serves the needs of a DAC as discussed in Attachment 7. The proposed project is in the planning phases and has a reasonable budget comparable to other similar studies of comparable size. Each budget category shown in the table agrees with the Work Plan and Schedule. In developing the proposed budget, all relevant labor code compliance requirements and the applicable prevailing wage laws were considered.

Category (A) Direct Project Administration (\$36,700)

OCSD staff will be administering the project, with support from a local Program Manager. Activities will include the development of the project's agreement, consultant contracts, project financing, responding to information and clarification requests, and public outreach. Task A1 includes project related management activities associated with the assignment of resources, contracting, budgeting, and scheduling. The Project Management costs were estimated to be approximately \$12,300, assuming for the 12 month duration of the project that the OCSD General Manager (\$100/hour) spends 5 hours a month and a District employee (\$40/hour) spends 10 hours per month. The Labor Compliance Program (Task A2) costs were estimated assuming 8 hours total would be required by the General Manager for a total budget of \$1,000. Reporting costs (Task A3) were estimated assuming 2 hours per month for the General Manager and 5 hours a month for a district employee (\$40/hour) for a total of \$5,400. This task also includes the IRWM Grant Completion Report, which will be the primary responsibility of the district as Grant Administrator. The report will be prepared in accordance with the grant agreement, the grant distributions are accurately accounted for, the benefits delivered by each of the projects are documented, the total costs are presented and the report is submitted to the State as scheduled and required. This report will be due after all three studies are completed, grant invoicing, and quarterly reporting has been completed. The program management facilitator (Task A4) will be an outside consultant who will provide the day-to-day management of the consultants, for the three project components, including schedule, budget and task deliverables and implementation of the coordinated public involvement program. This effort for Task A4 was estimated to be \$18,000, assuming 10 hours per month, at approximately \$150 per hour for the Program Manager for the project duration of 12 months. Direct Administration costs, including all work necessary for Tasks A1, A2, A3 and A4 is \$36,700.

Category (B) Land Purchase/Easement (\$9,500)

OCSD will contract with a local land appraiser to support the property assessment and identification of easement and right of way considerations. The costs were estimated to be approximately \$9,500, assuming a total of 100 hours at approximately \$95 per hour for the land appraiser.

Category (C) Planning (\$221,810)

The costs for completing the three proposed study components is within the range of standard costs allocated for studies and is similar to other OCSD studies. Task C1 (*Recycled Water Injection Well Study*) was estimated to be \$25,000 assuming a Consultant rate of approximately \$150/hour and that the study tasks would take approximately 167 hours to complete. Task C2 (*Low Impact Development Plan*), was estimated to be \$40,000 assuming a Consultant rate of approximately \$165/hour and that the study tasks would take approximately 242 hours to complete. Task C3 (*Leak Detection and Management Plan, Water Master Study & CIP Update*), was estimated to be \$150,000 assuming a Consultant rate of approximately \$165/hour and that the study tasks would take approximately 910 hours to complete. The *Coordinated Public Involvement Program* (Task C4) costs were estimated at \$5,560 assuming 20 hours at approximately \$100 per hour for the OCSD General Manager and 36 hours for approximately \$85 per hour for the Program Manager support staff. Task C5 includes development and implementation of the Project Performance Monitoring Plan (PPMP), which is assumed to take 10 hours of OCSD General Manager at a rate of approximately \$125 per hour. The total cost of this Category C task is estimated at \$221,810 based on range of standard costs typically allocated for studies.

Category (D) Construction/Implementation (Not Applicable)

The WRRP is a study and does not include any construction elements. Therefore, there is no budget is associated with Budget Category D.

Project 3: San Simeon Community Services District (SSCSD) Well Head Treatment Project (WHTP)

The total project cost, for which grant funding is being requested, for the SSCSD Well Head Treatment Project (WHTP) is \$1,084,278, as shown in the Table 8.3 below. A brief summary of the project costs documenting how the budget shown is reasonable based on current available information is shown on the following page.

Table 8.3 – Project Budget					
Proposal Title: San Luis Obispo County IRWM 2015 Implementation Grant Proposal					
Project Title: San Simeon Community Services District (SSCSD) - Well Head Treatment Project (WHTP)					
Project serves a need of a DAC?: Yes					
Funding Match Waiver request?: Yes					
Category		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
		Requested Grant Amount	Cost Share: SSCSD (Funding Match)	USDA Drought Grant ⁽¹⁾	Total Cost
(A)	Direct Project Administration	\$0	\$7,900	\$8,700	\$16,600
	A1 - Project Management	\$0	\$0	\$3,000	\$3,000
	A2 - Labor Compliance Program	\$0	\$7,900	\$4,500	\$12,400
	A3 - Reporting	\$0	\$0	\$1,200	\$1,200
(B)	Land Purchase/Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	B1 - Land Purchase <i>(not required)</i>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(C)	Planning/Design/Engineering/Environmental Documents	\$24,600	\$80,978	\$0	\$105,578
	C1 - Feasibility Studies <i>(complete)</i>	\$0	\$45,248	\$0	\$45,248
	C2 - Preliminary Engineering <i>(complete)</i>	\$0	\$10,140	\$0	\$10,140
	C3 - CEQA Documentation <i>(complete)</i>	\$0	\$2,380	\$0	\$2,380
	C4 - Permitting <i>(50% complete)</i>	\$0	\$5,000	\$0	\$5,000
	C5 - Design <i>(95% complete)</i>	\$24,600	\$0	\$0	\$24,600
	C6 - Project Performance Monitoring Plan	\$0	\$18,200	\$0	\$18,200
(D)	Construction/Implementation	\$465,000	\$5,800	\$491,300	\$962,100
	D1- Contract Services	\$0	\$0	\$6,200	\$6,200
	D2 - Construction Administration	\$0	\$0	\$12,610	\$12,610
	D3 - Construction Implementation	\$465,000	\$5,800	\$472,490	\$943,290
(E)	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (d) for each column)	\$489,600	\$94,678	\$500,000	\$1,084,278

* List sources of funding: (1) USDA Emergency Drought Funding Grant

Table 8-3 shows the proposed project budget for Project 3 which is based on the latest project documentation (95% design plans and specifications) and status of equipment procurement. Each budget category shown in the table agrees with the Work Plan and Schedule. This project serves the critical water needs of a DAC (see Attachment 7), and the project is applying to waive the 25% funding match requirement. All non-state share funds are costs incurred after January 1, 2014. In developing the proposed budget, all relevant labor code compliance requirements and the applicable prevailing wage laws were considered.

SSCSD is completing this project on a fast-track to avoid running out of potable water due to the severe drought and to avoid higher rates of sea water intrusion experienced in November which may cause startup issues with the RO system. SSCSD has delayed other projects, including the implementation of planning studies required to kick start their water storage reservoir, and is using these project funds on the critical WHTP project, until the IRWM Grant is approved (if grant is awarded). If awarded, SSCSD will be able to cover the WHTP costs and complete the otherwise delayed CIP programs. Costs not covered by the grant will be met by local SSCSD financing until additional grant funding is obtained. This includes USDA Emergency Drought Funding grant.

Category (A) Direct Project Administration (\$16,600)

The SSCSD staff will administer project administration activities including: development of the project's agreements, consultant contracts, project financing, responding to information/ clarification requests, and implementation of public outreach. Task A1 includes project management (PM) activities associated with the assignment of resources, contracting, budgeting, and scheduling. The PM costs were estimated to be approximately \$3,000, assuming that 40 hours per month at approximately \$30 per hour for the SSCSD Administrator for the 2 month project duration. The Labor Compliance Program (Task A2) costs were estimated assuming that 40 hours per month at approximately \$155 per hour for a senior level engineer (Phoenix Engineering) for the 2 month duration of the project construction (total \$12,400). Reporting costs (Task A3) were estimated assuming that 40 hours per month at approximately \$30 per hour for the SSCSD Administrator for a total of \$1,200.

Category (B) Land Purchase/Easement (\$0)

No land or right-of-ways/easements are required, as discussed in Attachment 3, therefore no funding is requested.

Category (C) Planning/Design/Engineering/Environmental Documentation (\$105,578)

Planning/Design/PPMP/Engineering/Environmental Documentation costs were estimated based on costs already incurred, internal SSCSD estimates, and consultant contracts and estimates. The budget is consistent with comparable work on other public works improvement projects. The costs of Tasks C1, C2, C3, C4, and much of C5 have already been incurred (\$80,978) after January 1, 2014. The costs for completing Task C5 (the 100% design drawings) is based on consultant estimates and is within the range of standard percentage of construction costs typically allocated for design (\$24,600). Task C6 includes development and implementation of the PPMP, which is assumed to take 10 hours of staff superintendent time per week at a rate of approximately \$35 per hour for 52 weeks, for a total of \$18,200. The total cost of this Category C task is estimated at \$105,578 based on consultant estimates that are within the range of standard percentage of construction costs typically allocated for design and related services.

Category (D) Construction/Implementation (\$962,100)

Construction/Implementation costs were based on the 95% design plans and specifications and are in accordance with the standard estimating guidelines and the construction cost estimates for various items prepared by consultants. For construction contracting (D1), it was assumed that activities included bid advertising, bid packaging and award, and contracting would require approximately 40 staff hours at a rate of \$155 per hour for a total of \$6,200. For Construction Administration (D2), including resident inspecting services, it was assumed that it would require approximately 64 staff hours at a rate of approximately \$35 per hour and 64 consultant hours at a rate of \$155 per hour, for a total of \$12,610.

Construction/Implementation costs (D3) were developed by Phoenix Engineering and the USDA Engineer and are based on 95% design plans and in accordance with the standard estimating guidelines in the CPMMPW. Engineering services during construction is estimated to be 4.5% of the raw construction costs (without contingency) and was calculated based on the number of submittals anticipated during the construction period. The proposed project costs, which meet all State standards, are pre-approved by USDA officials. Site preparation activities are estimated at \$94,000. SSCSD purchased the Reverse Osmosis technology, using the State regulated bidding process, and is estimated at a cost of \$388,401 (pending filter selection). Tankage costs are estimated to be \$45,000 and miscellaneous equipment is estimated at \$10,000. The cost associated with the design and construction of electrical improvements, are estimated by PG&E to cost \$25,649 (design) and approximately \$45,000 (improvements). The metal building to house the RO system will cost approximately \$145,000. A contingency, which is included to account for unforeseen conditions, typically ranges from 10% to 50%, with the upper end for use on initial cost estimates. The SSCSD has assumed a contingency of approximately 20% (\$181,851) as the construction costs are based on the 95% design documents - for a total

construction cost of \$943,290. Start-up and process testing, is estimated to be \$22,500 based on the estimate provided by Wiggins Technology. The total cost of this Category D task is estimated at \$962,100.

Construction Implementation Items	Amount
1. Equipment Purchase	\$388,490
2. Site Prep/Labor	\$74,000
3. Misc. Equipment	\$10,000
4. Tanks	\$45,000
5. Electrical Design	\$31,449
6. PG&E Electrical Improvements	\$45,000
7. Building	\$145,000
Construction Contingency (10%)	\$181,851
Startup and Testing	\$22,500
Construction Implementation Total	\$943,290

Project: Overall Grant Administration Project

The total project cost for Overall Grant Administration is \$246,851, as shown on Table 8.4 below. Cost share for the Overall Grant Administration will be \$61,713, the required 25% of total anticipated cost. The cost share is covered by the San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District Budget, which receives revenues from the ad valorem property taxes collected. A brief summary of the project costs documenting how the budget shown is reasonable based on current available information is shown on the following page.

Table 8-4 – Project Budget					
Proposal Title: San Luis Obispo County Integrated Regional Water Management 2015					
Implementation Grant Proposal					
Project Title: Overall Grant Administration					
Project serves a need of a DAC?: No					
Funding Match Waiver request?: No					
Category		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
		Requested Grant Amount	Cost Share: Non-State Fund Source* (Funding Match)	Cost Share: Other State Fund Source*	Total Cost
(a)	Direct Project Administration	\$185,138	\$61,713	\$0	\$246,851
(b)	Land Purchase/Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(c)	Planning/Design/Engineering/Environmental Documentation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(d)	Construction/Implementation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(e)	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (d) for each column)	\$185,138	\$61,713	\$0	\$246,851
* List sources of funding: <i>San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District. "Budget with revenues from ad valorem property taxes."</i>					

Table 8-4 contains the budget for Overall Grant Administration. The source of non-state cost share funds (matching funds) is the San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (District) Budget, which receives revenues from the ad valorem property taxes collected. Each year, the District allocates a portion of this revenue for IRWM activities. For example, the District’s proposed Fiscal Year 2015-16¹ budget allocated \$325,187 for various IRWM planning, implementation activities, including grant administration, as well as other activities not within the scope of this grant. The District will continue to allocate funding to conduct IRWM administration activities each year. Table 8-4 contains the project budget for the Work Plan tasks. The following sections provide additional detail about the categories identified in Table 8-4.

Direct Project Administration

Task 1 Project Administration: Project Administration will began in November 2015 with submittal of materials for conditional award and negotiation of the agreements, and is expected to be completed by March 2016 with execution of the agreements. The duration of grant administration is through grant completion of the project with the longest project duration, which is the Upper Salinas River Basin Conjunctive Use Project. Therefore, the grant administration will continue through April 2019. Costs for this task include activities summarized in Attachment 3, such as agreement and amendment negotiations, invoicing, coordination with project proponents and DWR, etc., and are based on recent experience with similar DWR grant agreements and that three implementation projects are involved. This effort is estimated to be 236 hours of District staff time at a rate of \$125 per hour. The total cost for this task is \$29,500.

Task 2 Labor Compliance: This effort is estimated to be 29 hours per project at a District staff rate of \$125 per hour. The total cost for this task is \$3,625.

¹ San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District. “Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2015-2016.” 2015.

Task 3 Reporting: Quarterly reports are prepared and submitted to the State as scheduled and in accordance with the grant requirements. This effort is estimated to be 78 hours at a consultant rate of \$205 per hour and 165 hours at a District staff rate of \$125 per hour. Post-Performance Monitoring Plans are submitted to the State as scheduled and required to meet the grant agreement. This effort is estimated to be 35 hours at a District staff rate of \$125 per hour. Project Completion Reports are submitted to the State as scheduled and required, along with submittal of final deliverables. This effort is estimated to be 24 hours at a consultant rate of \$205 per hour and 40 hours at a District staff rate of \$125 per hour (~ 23 hours per project). IRWM Grant Completion Report will be the primary responsibility of the District as Grant Administrator. The District will be responsible for completing the Grant Completion Report and ensuring the report is prepared in accordance with the grant agreement, the grant distributions are accurately accounted for, the benefits delivered by each of the projects are documented, the total project costs are presented, and the report is submitted to the State as scheduled and required. This effort is estimated to be 20 hours at a consultant rate of \$205 per hour and 45 hours at a staff rate of \$125 per hour. The total cost for this task is \$65,032.

Task 4 Submittal of Material for Conditional Award: This task includes development of and submittal of supporting material if awarded conditional grant funding. This effort is estimated to be 40 hours at a staff rate of \$125 per hour, and includes \$5,012 for consultant costs (a line item was included for this in the consultant contract to prepare the grant proposal). The total cost for this task is \$10,012.

Task 5 Preparation of Grant: This task includes the development and submission of this grant proposal. A consultant contract for this work has already been implemented for the total cost of \$119,932. In addition, this effort is estimated to be 150 hours at a staff rate of \$125 per hour. The total cost for this task is \$138,682.

Proposal Budget Summary

Table 9 summarizes the proposed budget for all three projects including the overall grant administration:

Table 9 – Proposal Budget						
Proposal Title: San Luis Obispo County Integrated Regional Water Management 2015 Implementation						
Grant Proposal						
Project Title: Proposal Budget						
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
Individual Project Title		Requested Grant Amount	Cost Share: Non-State Fund Source (Funding Match)	Cost Share: Other State Funding Sources	Total Cost	% Funding Match (Col b/Col d)
(a)	Project 1: Templeton CSD - Upper Salinas River Basin Conjunctive Use Project (US CUP)	\$3,994,268	\$2,169,379	\$2,511,847	\$8,675,494	25 %
(b)	Project 2: Oceano CSD - Water Resources Reliability Program (WRRP) – Planning Study	\$268,010	\$0	\$0	\$268,010	0%
(c)	Project 3: San Simeon - SSCSD Well Head Treatment Project (WHTP)	\$489,600	\$94,678	\$500,000	\$1,084,278	0%
(f)	Overall Grant Administration	\$185,138	\$61,713	\$0	\$246,851	25%
(g)	Proposal Total	\$4,937,016	\$2,325,770	\$3,011,847	\$10,274,633	21.1%
(h)	DAC Funding Match Waiver Total	-	-	-	\$1,352,288	
(i)	Grand Total	-	-	-	\$8,922,345	25%