



NORTH COAST INTEGRATED REGIONAL WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

PROPOSITION 84 IMPLEMENTATION GRANT PROPOSAL,
ROUND 1

ATTACHMENT 1:
AUTHORIZATION AND ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS



Integrated Regional Water Management Program
Applicant: Humboldt County



NORTH COAST INTEGRATED REGIONAL WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan Proposition 84, Round 1 Implementation Grant

Attachment 1. Eligible Applicant Documentation

Below is the eligible applicant documentation for the County of Humboldt. Attachment 1 includes the authorizing documentation from the Humboldt County Board of Supervisors.

1. Is the applicant a local agency as defined in Appendix B of the Guidelines? Please explain.

Response: The County of Humboldt, a local agency as defined in Appendix B of the Guidelines, is the Applicant for the North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan, (NCIRWMP), Proposition 84, Round 1 Implementation Grant application submittal.

2. What is the statutory or other legal authority under which the applicant was formed and is authorized to operate?

Response: The County of Humboldt was designated by the NCIRWMP Regional Water Management Group (NCRWMP) to enter into a grant agreement with the State of California and Department of Water Resources (DWR). The NCRWMP is comprised of Humboldt, Siskiyou, Mendocino, Del Norte, Modoc, Trinity and Sonoma counties and Sonoma County Water Agency and Mendocino County Water Agency. The Regional Water Management Group was formed from mutual interest and benefit and by County Board Resolutions: Mendocino County Authorizing Resolution No. 07-151; Humboldt County Authorizing Resolution No. 07-61; Siskiyou County Authorizing Resolution No. 07-128; Sonoma County Authorizing Resolution No. 07-625; Del Norte County Authorizing Resolution No. 2007-048; Modoc County Authorizing Resolution No. 07-32 and Trinity County Authorizing Resolution No. 07-79.

3. Does the applicant have legal authority to enter into a grant agreement with the State of California?

Response: The County of Humboldt has legal standing to enter into contractual relationships with the State of California and DWR. On September 21, 2010 the County of Humboldt Board of Supervisors adopted authorizing Resolution No. 10-67 giving explicit authority to submit the

NCIRWM Proposition 84, Round 1 Implementation Grant application and enter into and implement the grant agreement on behalf of the NCRWVG.

4. Describe any legal agreements among partner agencies and/or organizations that ensure performance of the proposal and tracking of funds.

Response: If the State awards an implementation grant to the NCIRWMP, the NCRWM Group will negotiate a subsequent agreement with the County of Humboldt and with participating entities for administration of the grant to ensure performance of the proposal and tracking of funds. All work conducted under the grant agreement with partner agencies and organizations will be executed by contract with the County of Humboldt. Contract agreements with partner agencies will include DWR required provisions and will be consistent with the grant agreement.

Urban Water Management Plans

The NCIRWMP Proposition 84, Round 1 Implementation Grant Proposal includes two high priority projects sponsored by urban water suppliers – Sonoma County Water Agency and Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District. Attachment 13 includes AB 1420 and Water Meter compliance self-certification documentation and original signed hard copies were submitted to Department of Water Resources (DWR) on January 7, 2011.

The Sonoma County Water Agency submitted a complete 2005 Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) to the DWR on December 16, 2006. On November 19, 2007, the Sonoma County Water Agency received a letter from DWR indicating that the Water Agency's UWMP was complete. The Sonoma County Water Agency is preparing its 2010 UWMP consistent with the 2010 UWMP Guidebook, and anticipates submitting it by the July 1, 2011.

The Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District submitted a complete 2005 UWMP to DWR and was verified as complete. The Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District is on track to submit an updated 2010 UWMP by May 2011 to DWR consistent with the 2010 UWMP Guidebook released in late November 2010.

Groundwater Management Plan Compliance

The NCIRWMP Proposition 84, Round 1 Implementation Grant Proposal is comprised of projects that do not involve groundwater management or recharge, and that have no impacts on groundwater – either positive or negative. Examples of these projects include sediment reduction projects and riparian habitat enhancement projects to implement TMDLs or other NPS Plan objectives, as well as the repair or enhancement of infrastructure related to clean drinking water and stream water quality. These projects have very positive impacts on surface water, but are not expected to impact groundwater.

The following NCIRWMP Proposition 84, Round 1 Implementation Priority Project proponents have identified that the implementation of groundwater recharge projects may be conducted in future phases and will develop a Groundwater Management Plan (GWMP) by the appropriate date, working in collaboration with DWR, other relevant agencies, and the local community. The specific approach for each of the relevant projects is listed below:

The Mattole Integrated Watershed Management Initiative: The proposed NCIRWMP Proposition 84, Round 1 Implementation Grant project provides a comprehensive approach to watershed restoration in the Mattole through streamflow enhancement, riparian restoration, coho recovery rearing, sediment stabilization, and removal of invasive plants. Future phases of the Mattole Integrated Watershed Management Initiative (pending funding availability) will include groundwater recharge projects and a draft Mattole Headwaters Groundwater Management Plan was developed in 2008 to address the Mattole low flow crisis by recommending basin management objectives and best practices for the combined management of ground and surface water in the headwaters of the Mattole River (see <http://www.sanctuaryforest.org/pages/page-49>). The final Mattole Headwaters Groundwater Management Plan is expected to be completed in 2011.

The Copeland Creek Watershed Detention/Recharge, Habitat Restoration and Steelhead Refugia Project Phase 1: The proposed NCIRWMP Proposition 84, Round 1 Implementation Grant Phase 1 project will restore 21 acres of Copeland Creek riparian habitat by removing invasive species, revegetating with native plants; and will remove sediment to foster the natural geomorphic functioning and improve fish passage and water quality. Future phases of the proposed project (pending funding availability) will include construction of storm water detention basins sited to capture runoff from the Copeland Creek headwaters which would reduce the impacts of future 100 year floods and enhance groundwater recharge potential. Groundwater management planning is expected to begin in 2011 and be adopted December 2012.

Consistency with an Adopted IRWM Plan

Background

The North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan, Phase I (NCIRWMP) was adopted in July 2005 and July 2007. The NCIRWMP integrates long term planning and high quality project implementation in an adaptive management framework—fostering coordination and communication among the diverse stakeholders in the Region. Focus areas for the NCIRWMP include salmonid recovery, enhancement of the beneficial uses of water, and the synchronization of state and federal priorities with local priorities, knowledge, and leadership. The goals of the North Coast IRWMP are to develop an ongoing and comprehensive plan to facilitate regional cooperation in providing water supply reliability, water recycling, water conservation, water quality improvement, storm water capture and management, flood management, recreation and access, wetlands enhancement and creation, and habitat improvement while fostering coordination, collaboration and communication among North Coast stakeholders.

The NCIRWMP was developed under the oversight of the North Coast Regional Water Management Group (NCRWVG). The NCRWVG is an innovative, stakeholder-driven collaboration among local government, watershed groups, tribes and interested partners in the North Coast region focused on integrated regional water management planning and implementation. The NCRWVG coalition consists of seven North Coast counties (Del Norte, Siskiyou, Modoc, Humboldt, Trinity, Mendocino, and Sonoma), Sonoma County Water Agency and Mendocino County Water Agency. The NCRWVG has authorized Humboldt County to act on its behalf as the regional contract administrator for the

NCIRWMP implementation and planning grants. Individual project proponents, under contract with the County of Humboldt, are responsible for project implementation.

The NCRWMP governance framework consists of two committees – the Policy Review Panel (PRP) which is the governing body for the NCIRWMP and the Technical Peer Review Committee (TPRC), an advisory body to the PRP that provides scientific and technical expertise to the NCIRWMP.

Policy Review Panel: Each County’s Board of Supervisors has assigned up to two representatives to serve on the NCIRWMP PRP. This group of board members and elected officials provides direction and ultimate oversight to the NCIRWMP planning process. Tribal representation is being considered for ratification by member County Board of Supervisors and included into a revised MoMU for approval. Decision making is usually by consensus, with each member having one vote. When decisions cannot be reached by consensus, the majority opinion prevails. Representatives from Humboldt and Sonoma Counties respectively serve as Chair and Vice-Chair for the PRP.

Technical Peer Review Committee: The TPRC is comprised of technical staff and agency representatives either appointed by resolution from each county Board of Supervisors or by county PRP representatives when the Board of Supervisors delegated that authority to them. Proposed tribal representation is being considered for ratification by member County Board of Supervisors and will be included into a revised MoMU for approval. The TPRC provides support to the PRP in their evaluation of projects and plan development. Expertise on the TPRC includes fisheries, ecology, engineering, geology, agriculture, watershed planning and management, water infrastructure and energy. The NCIRWMP is in the process of developing a climate change mitigation/adaptation and energy independence advisory committee, which will provide technical input to the NCIRWMP staff, TPRC and PRP.

Proposition 84 and 1E, Round 1 Project Prioritization and Selection Process

Under the direction of the Policy Review Panel, the NCIRWMP Project Team performed public outreach and education about the IRWM process and funding opportunities via the NCIRWMP website (www.northcoastirwmp.net), workshops, presentations and publications. The website includes a mechanism for project application upload, as well as a variety of resources to support project proponents. During the Proposition 84 and 1E project upload process, sixty projects were identified and uploaded to the website, with proposals totaling a combined funding need of over \$227,000,000. Projects were individually reviewed and scored by the TPRC using a score sheet based upon NCIRWMP and IRWM program criteria. Late in October, the TPRC met and evaluated the top scoring projects and developed a slate of high priority projects that included highly ranked projects and additional projects selected to allow for regional representation and to address water needs in disadvantaged communities.

On November 10th, the PRP met during a NCIRWMP meeting to review and consider the recommended slate of priority projects and the slate was unanimously approved. Attachment 1 includes the NCIRWMP PRP and TPRC Meeting Summary for November 10th that includes the record of unanimous approval of the recommended project slate of Priority Projects for inclusion in the NCIRWMP Proposition 84, Round 1 Implementation Grant application for submittal to DWR.

All eligible projects are included in the NCIRWMP and the Project List and Scores are listed at http://www.northcoastirwmp.net/docManager/1000007968/NCIRWMP%20Prop%2084_1E%20IRWM%20Projects.pdf. All of the projects that were ranked by the TPRC and PRP are priority projects for the region – individually and comprehensively addressing the goals and objectives of the NCIRWMP, as well as DWR’s program preferences and statewide priorities. Following is a list of Priority Projects and their project proponents approved for inclusion in the NCIRWMP Proposition 84, Round 1 Implementation Grant proposal by Watershed Management Area.

Russian River/Bodega Watershed Management Area

- 402 - Ackerman Creek Habitat Restoration, Pinoleville Pomo Nation
- 345 - Bodega Bay HU Water Resources Management Project, Gold Ridge RCD
- 292 - Lower Russian River Water Quality Improvement Project, Sotoyome Resource Conservation District
- 364 - Mendocino Jumpstart Integrated Water Plan, Mendocino County Water Agency/Planning Dept.
- 374 & 376 - Nissa-kah Creek Fish Passage Removal, Hopland Band of Pomo Indians
- 393 - Russian River Arundo donax Removal and Riparian Enhancement Program, Sotoyome Resource Conservation District
- 396 - The Copeland Creek Watershed Detention/Recharge, Habitat Restoration, and Steelhead Refugia Project, Sonoma County Water Agency

Klamath Watershed Management Area

- 289 - Camp Creek Habitat Protection-Road Decommissioning Implementation Project, Karuk Tribe
- 311 - Indian Creek Sewer Pipeline Crossing, Happy Camp Sanitary District
- 306 - Water Treatment System Upgrade, Happy Camp Community Services District

North Coast Rivers Watershed Management Area

- 408 - Del Norte Agricultural Enhancement Program, Del Norte Resource Conservation District
- 352 - Gualala River Sediment Reduction Program, Gualala River Watershed Council
- 444 - Mattole Integrated Watershed Management Initiative, Mattole Restoration Council
- 358 - Mendocino Headwaters Integrated Water Quality Enhancement Project, Mendocino County RCD
- 355 - Real-Time Weather Data for Irrigation Water Management, Del Norte Resource Conservation District
- 441 - Waterfall Gulch Transmission Main, City of Fort Bragg

Humboldt Bay Watershed Management Area

- 362 – Blue Lake Fieldbrook Pipeline Support Retrofit, Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District

Eel River Watershed Management Area

- 405 – Sustainable Forests, Clean Water & Carbon Sequestration Demonstration Project, Redwood Forest Foundation Inc.

Trinity River Watershed Management Area

- 357 - Willow Creek Hwy 96 Stormceptor, Community Services District

Consistency with the North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan

The Priority Projects included in the NCIRWMP Proposition 84, Round 1 Implementation Grant proposal were added to the NCIRWMP Plan after its adoption in 2007, but were in accordance with the procedures in the plan and the approved Regional Acceptance Process for the NCIRWMP. Following is the section of the Regional Acceptance Process document for the NCIRWMP approved by the DWR in 2009 regarding the TPRC project review (see page 19,

http://www.northcoastirwmp.net/docManager/1000006846/NCIRWMP_RAP_042809_text_app.pdf).

Technical Peer Review Committee

Each of the seven member county's Board of Supervisors or their Policy Review Panel designees appointed up to two individuals with a technical background related to integrated water management to the NCIRWMP Technical Peer Review Committee (TPRC). Members have experience in the following technical areas: engineering, watershed management, fisheries, restoration, water and wastewater infrastructure, agriculture, geology, community development, environmental planning and natural resources policy issues. The TPRC has two primary areas of responsibility: 1) provide technical peer review of NCIRWMP plans and other technical documents, and 2) review and recommend prioritization of identified projects, based on technical considerations and the criteria established by the State and the Policy Review Panel. The TPRC assisted staff and consultants in the development of equitable review process criteria based on state IRWM requirements, and provided input into the development of a uniform scoring sheet for project ranking for Round 1 and 2 Prop 50 funding (see Appendix 13, North Coast IRWMP Score Sheet and Appendix 7, North Coast IRWMP Projects). They are expected to fulfill a similar role for future prioritization processes. The TPRC reviewed and ranked all Phase I projects independently using the score sheet, then met to discuss those projects that ranked the highest. TPRC members who had any interest (financial or otherwise) in a project did not rank that project, and recused themselves and left the room during discussion of that project. All review was conducted in compliance with the regulations of the Fair Political Practice Commission, Title 2, Division 6, section 18700. Future project reviews will be conducted using the same methodology.



NORTH COAST INTEGRATED REGIONAL WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

**North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan
Proposition 84, Round 1 Implementation Grant**

County of Humboldt Authorizing Resolution

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Certified copy of portion of proceedings, Meeting of September 21, 2010

Resolution 10-67

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR SUBMISSION OF A \$8,222,222 MILLION APPLICATION TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES, TO OBTAIN AN INTEGRATED REGIONAL WATER MANAGEMENT IMPLEMENTATION GRANT PURSUANT TO THE SAFE DRINKING WATER, WATER QUALITY AND SUPPLY, FLOOD CONTROL, RIVER AND COASTAL PROTECTION BOND ACT OF 2006 (PUBLIC RESOURCE CODE (PRC) §75001 ET SEQ.), AND AUTHORIZING THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DIRECTOR TO EXECUTE AND ADMINISTER GRANT AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors entered into a Memorandum of Mutual Understanding in 2004 to participate in the development of a North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan (IRWMP) with counties making up the North Coast Region; and

WHEREAS, the Board participated with partners making up the North Coast Region to submit an application for the Proposition 50 Implementation Grant to fund eligible projects and a Planning Grant to conduct local and regional planning necessary for a final version of the IRWMP; and

WHEREAS, the North Coast IRWMP Policy Review Panel unanimously appointed and the County of Humboldt accepted appointment to act as the administrating agency for the North Coast IRWMP Proposition 50 Planning Grant; and

WHEREAS, the County of Humboldt is eligible to submit an application to the State of California, Department of Water Resources Proposition 84 Planning and Implementation Grants.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that application be made to the State of California, Department of Water Resources, to obtain an IRWMP Implementation Grant pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Costal Protection Bond Act of 2006 (PRC §75001 et seq.) , and to enter into an agreement to receive the grant.

FURTHER RESOLVED, the Community Development Services Director is authorized to prepare necessary data, conduct investigations, file the application, enter into and sign the grant agreement and any amendments thereto with the State of California for the purposes of this grant;

FURTHER RESOLVED, the Community Development Services Director is authorized to sign any contracts or agreements to carry out the activities of this grant, after review and approval by County Counsel and Risk Management.



Chair Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Certified copy of portion of proceedings, Meeting of September 21, 2010

Resolution 10-67

Adopted on motion by Supervisor Smith seconded by Lovelace and the following vote:

AYES: Supervisors: Smith, Lovelace, Clendenen, Duffy, Neely
NOES:
ABSENT:
ABSTAIN:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
County of Humboldt

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
hereunto set my hand and affixed
the Seal of said Board of Supervisors.

KATHY HAYES

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of
the County of Humboldt, State of
California

By



Date

9-21-2010



NORTH COAST INTEGRATED REGIONAL WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

**North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan
Proposition 84, Round 1 Implementation Grant**

**NCIRWMP Policy Review Panel and Technical Peer Review Committee
Meeting Summary
November 10, 2010**



Meeting Summary

**North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan
Policy Review Panel and Technical Peer Review Committee Meeting
November 10, 2010
Humboldt County Agricultural Center, 5630 S. Broadway, Eureka**

Chairman Smith convened the meeting at 9:50, introductions were made. Attendee list attached. Chairman Smith noted the tight turnaround due to DWR program requirements and the need to schedule the meeting quickly. Chair Smith asked who was carrying proxies: Grace Bennett for Marcia Armstrong; Jake Mackenzie for Paul Kelley; John McCowen for Kendall Smith.

Agenda Review and Approval

Chairman Smith reviewed the agenda – there were no proposed changes

Regional Administrator status update

Jen Jenkins provided an overview of the existing and pending contracts for NCIRWMP planning and implementation. She provided detailed information on the following contracts:

- Prop 50 Round 1 Implementation
- Prop 50 Round 1 Planning
- Prop 50 Round 2 Contracting
- WWWS contract

See presentation.

NCEIP Update (Girard)

Kirk Girard provided an overview of the NCEIP program which was substantially modified due to changes in federal PACE requirements. Kirk outlined the proposed approach for a new potential program in the North Coast that could be conducted as an element of the Energy Upgrade California Program. Kirk will update the PRP as more information becomes available and decisions are needed. See presentation.

Climate/Energy Technical Advisory (Gaffney)

Karen Gaffney provided a draft list of climate/energy advisors for consideration by the PRP. Per direction of the PRP, Karen Gaffney will send out a request to the PRP and tribes for additional names for the technical advisory committee on energy/climate.

The PRP directed staff to develop a targeted meeting on biomass that will include interested PRP and TPRC members as well as selected members of the climate/energy advisory committee once it is convened.

Update on North Coast workshops (Prop 84/1e, biomass (Gledhill/Gaffney)

As directed by the PRP at the June 24, 2010 PRP meeting in Ukiah, staff participated in a biomass workshop in Siskiyou County in October and is currently working with PRP members and

stakeholders to conduct a similar workshop in Ukiah in early December. The October workshop was held in Yreka and was sponsored by the Siskiyou Biomass Utilization Group, and co-sponsored by the NCIRWMP, UC Berkeley and UC Davis. There were approximately 100 participants at the meeting and PRP members, Supervisors Armstrong, Bennett, and Jaegel were in attendance.

Karen gave an update on biomass work with The Watershed Center including: a literature/report review, interviews, project identification, funding and policy strategy, a chapter for the NCIRWM Plan and integration with other NCIRWMP objectives.

Karen also reported on a summit focused on the future of natural resources in CA hosted by the Resources Agency in October. The NCIRWMP was invited to participate and present at the meeting and Vice Chair Mackenzie and Karen Gaffney represented the North Coast on a panel.

Katherine Gledhill provided an overview of the workshops focused on Prop 84 and 1E. Workshops were conducted Sept. 22-29 in Eureka, Crescent City, Weaverville, Yreka, Santa Rosa and Ukiah. The intent of the workshops was to provide information about the IRWMP Proposition 84 & 1E Round 1 Grant opportunities. The meetings included a brief history of the IRWM Program; description of the North Coast IRWM Planning Process; background Information - Proposition 84 & 1E IRWM Programs; described the call for Implementation project proposals & regional grant development; provided information about project application process and tips for writing a quality proposal.

Update on Planning Grant

Katherine Gledhill provided an update on the NCIRWMP planning grant application. The NCIRWMP submitted an application requesting \$1 million on September 28th. DWR is expected to announce preliminary recommendations in November, with grant awards expected in Jan. 2011.

Memorandum of Mutual Understandings (MoMU) Revisions and Next Steps

Chairman Smith and Karen Gaffney provided an overview of the revision process to the MoMU. The process included requesting input from all counties and tribes, with counsel from several counties providing detailed input. Tribes in the North Coast also provided input and developed a proposed tribal representation process which is included as Exhibit A to the MoMU. The MoMU has been revised to: expand representation on the PRP and TPRC to include tribal representatives; require the PRP's adherence to the Ralph M. Brown Act; clarify the PRP's quorum requirements; and revise the current NCIRWM Plan to meet new stormwater, flood management, groundwater, and climate change considerations required under Proposition 84. NCIRWMP staff will support county staff and tribal representatives in bringing the revised MoMU before their respective boards and councils for consideration and will prepare and distribute a clean copy of the MoMU as well as a version showing changes to the PRP.

**MOTION: Mackenzie – adopt transmission of MoMU to the various Boards of Supervisors. Second: Morris.
Unanimous.**

Chair Smith thanked the tribes, Konrad Fisher from the Environmental Justice Coalition for Water and staff. Tribes thanked PRP and staff. Javier Silva, Sherwood Valley Band of Pomo Indians offered to host a future NCIRWMP meeting on reservation land.

Technical Peer Review Committee (TPRC): overview of project upload, evaluation and recommendations to PRP

Kirk Girard (representing TPRC chair Roland Sanford) provided an overview of the technical review process by the TPRC including the scoring criteria, scoring process, and recommended list of projects for two funding levels - \$4.1 million and \$8.2 million. The TPRC made suggestions for improving the ranking and rating process and asked questions regarding policy issues and direction from PRP re: scoring criteria (match, refined DAC definition, urgency, size, geographic distribution, ratio of infrastructure/natural resources, thematic focus areas (eg, low flow resolution). This review and discussion will be on a future PRP-TPRC joint agenda – TPRC and staff will meet first and develop a set of recommendations for PRP discussion. See presentation.

Public Comment

Mike Thompson – Sonoma County Water Agency – thanked the TPRC for prioritizing the Copeland Creek project. Mike noted that the project is a critical and integrated project which is important for flood control, water flood storage, groundwater recharge, The project is an integrated flood control/water supply, restoration and has an open space component which he explained stresses the importance of the relationship between parks and habitat connectivity.

Konrad Fisher – Environmental Justice Coalition for Water – complimented the TPRC and staff on the process. Suggestion for future: should bring in more projects rather than just increasing the funding of existing projects. PRP should consider voluntary transactions to retain in-stream rights to water

Patrick Sullivan –Winzler and Kelly, representing Humboldt Bay Water District. Overall good process. Application was frustrating in terms of the limitations on what could be included.

Earle Crosby – representing Karuk tribe. The Camp Creek Habitat Protection and Road Decommissioning project is ready to go and would complement the project's existing federal funding. Implementation of the project will improve Klamath River water quality and benefit the community of Orleans.

Allen Cooperrider – Hopland Band of Pomo Indians have two projects recommended for funding. Allen stated that they would be willing to bundle them.

Barry Jarvis – Indian Health Service. Interesting to see the decision making process. Barry noted that Happy Camp projects need matching funds to implement the septic portion of the project.

Leaf Hillman - Happy Camp sewer line project runs under Indian Creek and is exposed; Leaf noted that this project is one of the tribal council's highest priorities.

Grace Bennett – thanked the TPRC. She noted that the Happy Camp project is important to the Siskiyou Board of Supervisors. Grace also stated that the City of Tule Lake has a cease and desist order and is facing fines from the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. The project has completed CEQA and preliminary engineering. Grace noted that treated wastewater is currently discharged into Tule Lake and the wildlife refuge. The community would like to upgrade the system to benefit the community and the environment. Grace noted that Tule Lake has a lot of underserved community members and that they would need \$150K for the next phase of the project.

Recommended projects, regional application review and submittal, consideration of formation of sub-committee

Chairman Smith suggested that the \$150,000 requested by Grace Bennett be considered if future funds come back into the process (if another project no longer needs the funds, cannot use the funds, is deemed ineligible).

John McCowen asked whether the two fisheries projects proposed by the Hopland Band of Pomo Indians can be bundled.

Paul Kelley thanked the TPRC. Supported the suggestion by Supervisor Smith that funds be allocated to the Tule Lake project if additional funds become available.

Patrick Sullivan asked about the process for the re-allocation of funds to lower priority projects.

Kirk Girard recommended on behalf of the TPRC that Tule Lake be taken to the next level in terms of preparing for the Proposition 84, Round 1 application.

Shawn Pady Hopland Band of Pomo Indians – happy to see that their projects made the list.

MOTION: Kelley – approve the recommended project slate and submit to DWR as an application. Further evaluate Tule Lake project and determine if there are options for including it in the application if additional funding becomes available
Mackenzie: second, amended as follows: prior to contract being signed, each project proponent must have signed the MoMU.
McCowen amendment: to bundle Hopland Projects.
All amendments approved by Kelley and Mackenzie.
UNANIMOUS.

Nominations & Elections (Chairman Smith and Vice Chair Mackenzie)

Supervisor Kelley thanked Supervisor Jimmy Smith and Jake Mackenzie for their great leadership on behalf of the NCIRWMP.

Supervisor Jimmy Smith stated that has been PRP Chair since the region was formed almost 6 years ago and felt that it was time to step aside. Supervisor Smith also stated that he really wanted to stay involved with this extremely effective and respected group.

MOTION: Paul Kelley nominated Jake Mackenzie as Chair and Jimmy Smith as Vice-Chair effective January 1. Second: John McCowen. **Unanimous.**

MOTION: McCowen; second Kelley: the Chair/Vice-Chair are authorized to take any necessary actions to carry out the policy direction of the PRP. **Unanimous.**

Supervisor Judy Morris suggested a future meeting agenda: expand the Chair/Vice-Chair roles to train/mentor new leaders on the PRP.

Next steps, future meeting dates and location

Redding

Topics: review approach to next iteration of plan - objectives and content, direction on project evaluation and scoring, NCEIP, other issues as needed.

Meeting adjourned at 2:35

Meeting Attendees – November 10, 2010 NCIRWMP Policy Review Panel Meeting

<i>Name</i>	<i>Affiliation</i>
Jen Jenkins	Humboldt County/NCIRWMP Staff
Barry Jarvis	Indian Health Services
Shawn Pady	Hopland Band of Pomo Indians
Grace Bennett	Siskiyou County Board of Supervisors, PRP, Siskiyou
Patrick Sullivan	Winzler and Kelly/HBMWD
Konrad Fisher	Environmental Justice Coalition for Water
Javier Silva	Sherwood Valley Band of Pomo Indians
Allen Cooperrider	Hopland Band of Pomo Indians
John McCowen	Mendocino County Board of Supervisors, PRP, Mendocino
Karen Gaffney	West Coast Watershed/NCIRWMP staff
Katherine Gledhill	West Coast Watershed/NCIRWMP staff
Dale Roberts	Sonoma County Water Agency, TPRC, Sonoma
Mike Thompson	Sonoma County Water Agency
Judy Morris	Trinity County Board of Supervisors, PRP, Trinity
Paul Kelley	Sonoma County Board of Supervisors, PRP, Sonoma
Jimmy Smith	Chair, NCIRWMP PRP/Humboldt County Board of Supervisors, Humboldt
Jill Duffy	Humboldt County Board of Supervisors, PRP, Humboldt
Jake Mackenzie	Vice-Chair, NCIRWMP PRP/Rohnert Park City Council/Sonoma County
Earl Crosby	Karuk Tribe
John Wooley	Assemblymember Chesbro
Kirk Girard	Humboldt County Planning, TPRC, Humboldt
Leaf Hillman	Karuk Tribe