

ATTACHMENT 12

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE

<filename Att12_IG1_MWA_DAC_1ofTotal1 >

Presence and Needs of DACs

The three projects included in this grant application each address a critical water supply or water quality need of a disadvantaged community:

- The **Joshua Basin Water District Recharge Basin and Pipeline Project** involves construction of Water Recharge Facility which will relieve current overdraft conditions in the Joshua Tree groundwater basin. As illustrated in Figure 1 (page 10), the entire community served by the Joshua Basin Water District is considered disadvantaged with household income below 80 percent of statewide median based on 2000 census data.
- The **Hi-Desert Water District Wastewater Treatment and Water Reclamation Project** will provide wastewater treatment and collection services and reclaimed use of the tertiary treated effluent to the community of Yucca Valley and environs. The entire community is currently using septic tanks, which have been linked to high levels of nitrate in the drinking water supply. As illustrated in Figure 2 (page 11), the entire community served by the Hi-Desert Water District is considered disadvantaged with household income below 80 percent of statewide median based on 2000 census data. The initial area to be served in the Phase 1a area covered in this grant application includes the low-income Paradise Valley neighborhood. The Hi-Desert Water District is under a RWQCB order to eliminate septic discharge facilities, or face septic moratorium requirements that would essentially stop economic growth and apply penalties to all septic tank dischargers.
- The **Mojave Water Agency Turf Removal Conservation Incentive Program** is a broad-based extension of an existing program to provide economic incentives for the removal of turf grass with partial replacement with drought tolerant and desert adaptive landscaping which will significantly reduce per capita consumption and improve water use efficiency. The Mojave River Basin has been overdrafted for over 50 years and this demand management program is part of the Plan to stabilize and recover groundwater storage. The program is open to residential, commercial, and multi-family water users in the South Lahontan portion of the Mojave Water Agency, shown in green in Figure 3 (page 12), along with the census tract blocks considered disadvantaged based on 2000 census data. Figure 4 shows the locations of turf rebates provided in the first two years of this program relative to the disadvantaged communities.

Data to determine disadvantaged communities was obtained from year 2000 data from the U.S. Census Bureau¹, and based on 80 percent of state median household income of \$37,994. A mapping of median community household income is presented as Figure 5 (page 14).

Operating Cost Funding

The Joshua Basin Water District and Hi-Desert Water Districts are municipal agencies empowered to levee fees and charges to fund their activities. On-going operation and maintenance costs will be funded through these fees and charges. The MWA Turf Removal program will not have any on-going operating or maintenance costs. No grant funds will be used for operation or maintenance for any of these projects.

Funding Match Waiver

A funding match waiver is not being requested in this grant application.

DAC Representation and Participation

RWMP Chapter 9 of the 2004 Regional Water Management Plan² describes the development of Basin Management Objectives, formulation of alternatives, and selection and prioritization of projects and management actions. A total of 53 distinct projects and management actions were identified and screened. Each project was first described with costs and yield described on a comparable basis. Each project was tested using a Decision Support Model for the MWA service area. Combinations of projects and actions were evaluated based on their ability to meet Evaluation Criteria that were developed to measure success in obtaining the project objectives. The adopted plan identifies 19 primary projects or actions (RWMP Table 9-9), 60 Management Actions (RWMP Chapter 10), and 43 mitigation measures (RWMP PEIR Appendix A) to implement these strategies.

The RWMP Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) was active in all phases of this process. The TAC developed the objectives, provided input on development of evaluation criteria, selected projects for implementation, and assigned a priority to each. The TAC was also active in development and review of the Program EIR and selection of the Environmentally Superior Project.

There has been a concerted effort to contact all communities and groups within the Plan area. TAC meetings are open to all, with meeting agendas and minutes posted on the internet. The MWA also maintains a regular mail and email list of entities and individuals for distribution of TAC Agendas and meeting materials.

Community, Governmental, and Industry Groups. Examples of involvement with these groups include:

- The Agency has Memoranda of Understanding in place with local colleges (Victor Valley Community College, Copper Mountain Community College, and Barstow Community College) and provides

¹ <http://www.census.gov/geo/www/cob/bg2000.html>

² Attachment A to this application, electronic file "Att1_IG1_MWA_Eligible_2ofTota3"



funding and assistance for development of conservation demonstration gardens and water resource related educational programs.

- The Agency has an MOU with the Lewis Center for Academic Excellence that covers training for students, equipment, etc. for water supply and environmental studies.
- The Agency has outreach and information exchange MOUs with the
 - Hispanic Chamber of Commerce and
 - Victor Valley Association of Realtors

How stakeholders, including DACs, are identified and invited to participate:

- Disadvantaged communities (DACs) within the IRWM planning region include both rural and urban areas. The DACs were delineated based on comparison of 2000 census data to 80 percent of the statewide median household income³.
- All DACs have been involved with development of the MWA IRWMP through the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC).
- Considerable portions of the Plan area meet the definition of Disadvantaged Communities (DACs). Examples of DAC participation and outreach include:
 - There is regular representation at the TAC and sometimes Board meetings from Newberry Springs property owners (individuals and the Property Owners Association), the El Mirage area, Phelan, Lucerne Valley, Joshua Basin, Johnson Valley, Landers and sometimes Hinkley⁴.
 - MWA staff regularly attend the Watermaster Subarea Advisory Committee meetings that represent all five Subareas of the Mojave Basin Area.
 - One MWA Board member regularly attends Lucerne Valley Economic Development Association meetings and staff periodically present to them on various topics.
 - MWA has an active program with the Hinkley school to improve their water supply situation⁵.
 - The MWA Regional Recharge and Recovery (R3) Project will assist the DAC parts of Victorville and Adelanto. The Agency is discussing the possibility of providing financing to assist Adelanto with participation in the project.
 - MWA is assisting the City of Adelanto with retrofit of their City Hall landscape.
 - MWA has pledged funds from its General Fund to assist Joshua Basin Water District and Hi-Desert Water District with their water supply projects; their projects are included in MWA's grant application.

³ \$37,994

⁴ The Hinkley community is seeking opposition to a sludge disposal proposal

⁵ The Hinkley school was having trouble meeting demand. MWA studied the local hydrology and the water system and then focused on improving their sports field turf and irrigation system.



- MWA provides DACs (and the whole Agency) with General Fund money and staff time for water conservation incentive programs (WCIP).
- The Agency secured grant funding to build the Mojave River Pipeline at no cost to local residents, including DACs, who all benefit from the facility.
- MWA helped Lucerne Valley get grant funds and provided local match to perform a sewer system feasibility study.
- The Morongo Pipeline (which serves HDWD and JBWD) was funded by bond sale, with MWA expending considerable time and money to build it.
- MWA now supports the operation, maintenance, and replacement costs for both pipelines from general fund revenues.
- MWA has funded a number of studies by the USGS, installed wells and perform our own and consultant prepared geohydrology studies that benefit DAC areas. Monitoring wells that benefit these areas have been installed and are regularly monitored by MWA staff.

Outreach Process. Procedures, processes, and structures that promote access to and collaboration with people and agencies with diverse views within the region include:

- The RWMP incorporates all required elements of an Integrated Regional Water Management Plan (RWMP p.1-7), a Groundwater Management Plan (RWMP p.1-8), and an Urban Water Management Plan (RWMP p.1-9) – there is significant overlap in the requirements of these plans. The development of the RWMP and associated Program EIR were public processes that included open meetings with posted agendas and minutes, and noticed public hearings.
- Recent laws⁶ now require UWMPs of water suppliers that utilize groundwater (all urban suppliers in MWA use groundwater) to include a description of the groundwater basin and location and amounts of groundwater pumped. Seven water supply agencies (RWMP p.7-5) within the MWA have developed Urban Water Management Plans that were reviewed and incorporated into the RWMP. The publication and adoption of these plans are noticed, public processes.
- Land use plans in the basin are developed by a number of different entities including the county and each of the cities through their General Plans, General Plan Amendments and Public Facilities Elements.
- Twenty-four regional entities have banded together to form the **Alliance for Water Awareness and Conservation** (RWMP p.7-1) to educate local communities on the importance of water conservation, provide tools to reduce per capita consumption, and reduce regional water use by 10 percent (5 percent in the more water efficient Morongo Basin) by 2010, 15 percent by 2015, and 20 percent by 2020. The MWA provides staff to and manages the program.
- Significant public outreach efforts were made during development of the RWMP. These efforts involved distribution and evaluation of stakeholder questionnaires, and conducting meetings with individuals,

⁶ SB 221 and SB 610



groups, agencies, and a Technical Advisory Committee. The TAC met regularly during development of the Regional Water Management Plan, reviewing and providing comments and suggestions on the Plan.

- Outreach efforts were directed at stakeholders from local water agencies, state and federal agencies, municipalities, San Bernardino County, and 25 local community groups. A list of stakeholder groups involved in the Plan process is included as Table 1 and Table 2 (pages 15 and 16).
- **RWMP Management Actions** (RWMP Chapter 9) related to planning and stakeholder involvement include:
 - MWA will provide information regarding regional water balances and availability of supplemental supply to local purveyors to allow them to reach appropriate conclusions regarding the sufficiency of supply for SB 221 and SB 610 assessments.
 - New developments within the Mojave Basin Area will be assessed the Replacement Water cost by the Watermaster, who will request MWA to import State Water Project water to replace the pumped water.
 - MWA will work with local planning agencies to ensure that areas that should be set aside to recharge the groundwater basin are reserved for that purpose and are not subject to development.
 - MWA will coordinate with local planning agencies to ensure that growth projections, proposed land use changes, and types of proposed developments are consistent with water planning efforts, as required by SB 221 and SB 610. Significant deviations from projected growth and water needs will be noted and corrective action taken. Corrective actions could include securing additional sources of water, or making a finding pursuant to SB221 or SB 610 that an adequate water supply does not exist and notifying the water purveyor.
 - MWA will work with the Alliance for Water Awareness and Conservation (AWAC) and serve as a clearinghouse for water conservation measures and performance data. Water conservation programs will be evaluated through the AWAC and actions taken as needed.
 - Increased water conservation efforts will be identified and plans developed for implementation of cost-effective demand management measures based on the reports on effectiveness.
 - MWA will continue to coordinate, participate in, and implement recommendations of the Alliance for Water Awareness and Conservation.
 - MWA has organized and held three water symposia with local water leaders and regulators in Victorville, Morongo Basin, and Lucerne Valley and plans to make the water symposium an annual event.
 - MWA will continue its outreach and education efforts through continued funding of the Community Liaison Officer.
 - MWA will continue to develop and publish its newsletter, The Panorama. Regular updates on the development of the Regional Water Management Plan have been included.
 - MWA will maintain its Speakers Bureau to provide timely water related information to the public.



- MWA’s web site (<http://www.mojavewater.org/>) contains information on MWA projects, water supplies and resources, water education, Watermaster, Agency publications, a calendar of events, meeting agendas, and general information about MWA. MWA will continue to provide this service.

Collaborative efforts. The MWA IRWM region is inclusive and utilizes a collaborative, multi-stakeholder process that provides mechanisms to assist DACs, address water management issues, and develop integrated, multi-benefit, regional solutions that incorporate environmental stewardship to implement future IRWM plans.

A list of participating water districts, municipalities, State and Federal Agencies, and community interests is presented in Table 1 and Table 2 (pages 15 and 16). MWA has made an on-going commitment to monitor progress on IRWMP implementation and to update the plan on a regular basis.

Public Outreach. The process used to make the public both part of and aware of the regional management and IRWM efforts includes:

- The Plan is, to the maximum extent possible, being implemented through collaborative regional and local efforts.
- Since Plan inception in 2001, the Mojave Water Agency has been developing and implementing the Regional Water Management Plan (its IRWMP) as a cooperative effort between water suppliers, regulators, and other stakeholders. Oversight of the Plan implementation is being performed by the same local Technical Advisory Committee that formulated the Plan.
- As noted in RWMP Chapter 2, water users form the core of the stakeholder group in the basin, which also includes water districts, cities, private water agencies, and agribusiness. Additional essential stakeholder involvement includes environmental organizations, regulatory agencies, development interests, and community associations. The stakeholders listed in Table 1 and Table 2 were notified of and participated in the RWMP process. RWMP Chapter 8 provides a more detailed list of stakeholder issues developed from individual and group meetings and a questionnaire process.
- Ways the public can participate include:
 - Attend Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meetings; review posted agendas and minutes
 - Review the Plan and Program EIR posted on the web page,
 - Review the State of the Basin reports posted on the web page,
 - Participate in Plan updates and public hearings.
- The following public outreach activities are identified in the MWA Strategic Plan Update:
 - Ongoing activities:
 1. **ABCs of Water** Educational series, sessions held in Jan, Mar, May, Jul, Sep and Nov; 5:30—7:00 p.m.
 2. **Bi-annual “Mini-Tour,”** conducted in May and November (with a special Rotary tour Mar

Strategic Plan Goal #4—Develop public awareness so that individuals and stakeholder organizations support our efforts and understand their role in contributing to the Agency’s mission.



2009)

3. **Newly-Electeds Orientation**, conducted each November within 10-days after the election

4. Regular contributor to the “High Desert Report”, 600 regular subscribers + 1,500 additional mailings per quarter

5. Coordination with MWA’s five strategic partners⁷

- Sponsored and presented at Second Annual San Bernardino County Water Conference (Ontario Convention Center, August 14, 2008; 430 in attendance)
- Coordinated third Water Symposium in Joshua Tree, October 9, 2008; 76 in attendance
- Oversaw development and implementation of Public Education Outreach Program for the R3 Project, by TMG Communications
- Disseminated eight news releases
- Published and distributed four electronic newsletters (Mojave Messenger, now a periodic publication)
- Joined Newberry Springs Chamber of Commerce
- Water management articles written for:
 - Victor Valley Association of Realtors
 - Newberry Springs Chamber of Commerce
- New/developing endeavors:
 1. Development of a Regional Legislative Alliance with region-wide water purveyors
 2. Development of a Strategic Partnership with High Desert Hispanic Chamber of Commerce
 3. Steps taken to participate in “Social Media;” MWA Facebook page has been created (augments Agency website)
 4. “Virtual Tour” video in final editing for use with Mini-Tour
 5. Two video projects (Water Quality & Non-Native Species Removal) in final editing as well
 6. Cooperating with other Southern California water agencies to develop a regional response to state-wide legislation and planning efforts

Decision-making process. How decisions are made, the steps in which RWMG arrives at decisions and how RWMG members participate in the decision-making process includes:

⁷ Barstow Community College, Copper Mountain Community College, Lewis Center, Mojave Desert Resource Conservation District, Victor Valley Community College



- The MWA Technical Advisory Committee oversaw development of the IRWMP, and was involved in every stage of developing objectives, prioritizing projects for implementation, and continue to oversee Plan implementation.
- The TAC employs a consensus-based process of decision making, and elects its own officers.
- The TAC met approximately monthly during the three-year IRWMP planning period. Attendance typically reflected 40 stakeholder groups over this period.
- Chapter 9 of the RWMP² describes the development of Basin Management Objectives, formulation of alternatives, and selection and prioritization of projects and management actions. A total of 53 distinct projects and management actions were identified and screened. **The adopted plan identifies 19 primary projects or actions (RWMP Table 9-9), 60 Management Actions (RWMP Chapter 10), and 43 mitigation measures (PEIR Appendix A) to implement these strategies.**
- The adopted IRWMP Management Actions include provisions for periodic review and update of the IRWMP Plan.
- All stakeholder and interest groups within the MWA boundary are welcomed into the TAC review and advisory process.
- Mojave Water Agency as the regional water manager derives revenue from its tax base and from water sales. No member agencies are required to provide funds toward the development or administration of the IRWMP planning process. Agencies contribute their staff time to these efforts, which can involve considerable distances and travel times.
- In addition to the TAC, the Mojave Basin Area Judgment established Subarea Advisory Committees, forums where geographically-linked entities can share information and develop strategies.
- The consensus-based TAC process, and geographical division-based elected Directors of the MWA have been very successful in collaboratively developing the Region's goals, objectives, management plans, and in putting those plans into action.
- The MWA IRWMP Plan is a living document that continues to guide development and implementation of water project and management actions to meet the region's objectives.

How Proposed Projects were Selected

RWMP Chapter 9 describes the development of Basin Management Objectives, formulation of alternatives, and selection and prioritization of projects and management actions. A total of 53 distinct projects and management actions were identified and screened. Each project was first described with costs and yield described on a comparable basis. Each project was tested using a Decision Support Model for the MWA service area built using the STELLA modeling platform. Combinations of projects and actions were evaluated based on their ability to meet Evaluation Criteria that were developed to measure success in obtaining the project objectives. The

adopted plan identifies 19 primary projects or actions⁸, 60 Management Actions⁹, and 43 mitigation measures¹⁰ to implement these strategies. The three projects proposed for grant funding were included in the prioritized list of projects.

The RWMP Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) was active in all phases of this process. The TAC developed the objectives, provided input on development of evaluation criteria, selected projects for implementation, and assigned a priority to each. The TAC was also active in the development and review of the Program EIR and selection of the Environmentally Superior Project¹¹. The three projects included in this grant application were selected by the TAC at their August 2010 meeting. A letter of support and endorsement from this DAC-inclusive governing group is included as Figure 6 (page 17).

⁸ MWA 2004 RWMP Table 9-9

⁹ MWA 2004 RWMP Chapter 10

¹⁰ MWA 2004 PEIR Appendix A

¹¹ MWA 2004 RWMP Chapters 9.10



Figure 1 - Location Map: Joshua Basin Water District Recharge Basin and Pipeline Project




Figure 2 - Location Map: Hi-Desert Water District Water Treatment and Water Reclamation Project




Figure 3 - Disadvantaged Communities in Project Area




Figure 4 - Location Map: Mojave Water Agency Turf Removal Conservation Incentive Program




Figure 5 - 2000 Median Household Income




Table 1 - MWA IRWMP Participants – Water Agencies

Participating Entity	Technical Advisory Committee	Statutory Authority over Water Supply or Water Management	Basis and Nature of Authority
Water Agencies			
Apple Valley Foothill County Water District	X	X	Retail water agency
Apple Valley Heights County Water District	X	X	Retail water agency
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	X	X	Retail water agency
Apple Valley View Water District	X	X	Retail water agency
Baldy Mesa Water District	X	X	Retail water agency
Bar H Mutual Water Company	X	X	Mutual water company
Bar-Len Mutual Water Company	X	X	Mutual water company
Bighorn-Desert View Water Agency	X	X	Retail water agency
Center Water Company	X	X	Retail water agency
Chamisal Mutual Water Company	X	X	Retail water agency
Daggett Community Services District	X	X	Retail water agency
Desert Dawn Mutual Water Company	X	X	Mutual water company
Desert Springs Mutual Water Co	X	X	Mutual water company
Gordon Acres Water Co	X	X	Retail water agency
Helendale Community Services District	X	X	Mutual water company
Hesperia Water District	X	X	Retail water agency
Hi Desert Mutual Water Company	X	X	Mutual water company
Hi-Desert Water District	X	X	Retail water agency
Indian Wells Valley Water District	X	X	Retail water agency
Joshua Basin Water District	X	X	Retail water agency
Jubilee Mutual Water Company	X	X	Retail water agency
Juniper Riviera County Water District	X	X	Retail water agency; County government
Lucerne Valley County Service Area 29	X	X	Retail water agency; County government
Lucerne Valley Mutual Water Company	X	X	Self-supplied water users
Lucerne Vista Municipal Water Company	X	X	Retail water agency
Mariana Ranchos County Water District	X	X	Retail water agency; County government
Mojave Water Agency	X	X	Special district formed from Mojave Water Agency Act; Wholesale water agency
Navajo Mutual Water Company	X	X	Mutual water company
Newberry Community Services District	X	X	Retail water agency
Rancheritos Mutual Water Company	X	X	Mutual water company
Rancho Los Flores	X		Self-supplied water users
Rand Communities Water District	X	X	Retail water agency
San Bernardino County Special Districts	X	X	Retail water agency; County government
Sheep Creek Water Company	X	X	Retail water agency
Silver Lakes Association	X	X	Self-supplied water users
Southern California Water Company	X	X	Retail water agency
Spring Valley Lake Association	X	X	Self-supplied water users
Stoddard Valley Water Company	X	X	Retail water agency
Thunderbird County Water District	X	X	Retail water agency; County government
Victor Valley Water District	X	X	Retail water agency
Victor Valley Water Reclamation Authority	X	X	Wastewater agency
West End Mutual Water Company	X	X	Mutual water company
Willow Wells Mutual Water	X	X	Mutual water company
Yermo Community Services District	X	X	Retail water agency



Table 2 - MWA IRWMP Participants – Municipalities, State and Federal Agencies, Community Interests

Participating Entity	Technical Advisory Committee	Statutory Authority over Water Supply or Water Management	Basis and Nature of Authority
Municipalities (cities, county, other)			
City of Adelanto	X	X	Municipal government
City of Barstow	X	X	Municipal government
City of Hesperia	X	X	Municipal government
City of Victorville	X	X	Municipal government
Local Agency Formation Commission			Land use authority
Mojave Desert & Mountain Waste Management JPA			Municipal government
San Bernardino County Department of Public Works and Flood Control	X	X	Flood management agency; County government
San Bernardino County Planning Department	X	X	County government; Land use authority
Town of Apple Valley	X	X	Municipal government
Town of Yucca Valley	X	X	Municipal government
State and Federal Agencies			
Bureau of Land Management			Federal agency
California Department of Fish and Game	X	X	State agency
California Department of Water Resources	X	X	Wholesale water agency; State agency
Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board	X	X	State agency
State Water Resources Control Board	X	X	State agency
U.S. Geological Survey	X	X	Federal agency
Miscellaneous Community Interests			
Audubon Society			Environmental organization
Barstow Community College			Community organization
Citizens for a Better Community	X		Community organization
Copper Mountain Community College			Community organization
El Mirage Property Owners Association	X		Community organization
Hispanic Chamber of Commerce			Community organization
Jess Ranch	X	X	Private stakeholder
Lewis Center for Academic Excellence			Community organization
Mojave Basin Area Judgment Subarea Advisory Committees	X		Community organization
Mojave Basin Area Judgment Watermaster		X	Watermaster
Mojave Desert Resource Conservation District			Land use authority
Mojave Weed Management Area			Land use authority
Morongo Basin Judgment Watermaster		X	Watermaster
MWA Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	X		Community organization
Newberry Springs - Harvard Property Owners	X		Community organization
Palisades Ranch	X		Private stakeholder
Phelan Pinion Hills Community Services District			Community organization
Public Works Advisory Committee, City of Hesperia	X		Community organization
San Bernardino County Fairgrounds			County government
Sierra Club	X		Environmental organization
Silver Lakes Association	X		Community organization
Silver Valley Realty	X		Industry organization
Spring Valley Lakes Association	X		Community organization
The Bradco Companies (real estate)	X		Industry organization
UC Cooperative Extension			Cooperating agency
Victor Valley Association of Realtors			Industry organization
Victor Valley College			Community organization



Figure 6 - Letter of Support and Endorsement

**TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
TO THE MOJAVE WATER AGENCY**



22450 Headquarters Drive
Apple Valley, CA 92307
(760) 946-7000
www.mojavewater.org

**2011 EXECUTIVE
COMMITTEE**

Chairman
Scott Weldy

Vice Chairman
Chuck Bell

Secretary
Kirby Brill

Morongo Basin
Marina West

Upper Mojave River Basin
Reggie Lamson

Middle Mojave River Basin
Jeanette Hayhurst

Lower Mojave River Basin
Wayne Snively

El Mirage Basin
Don Bartz

Lucerne Valley Basin
Richard Selby

At Large
Harold Singer


Resolved by the Technical Advisory Committee to the Mojave Water Agency Integrated Regional Water Management Group, that application be made to the California Department of Water Resources to obtain an Integrated Regional Water Management Implementation Grant pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006 (Public Resource Code Section 75001 et seq.), and to enter into an agreement to receive a grant for the following three projects identified in the 2004 Mojave Water Agency Regional Water Management Plan:

- Joshua Basin Water District Recharge Basin and Pipeline Project
- Hi-Desert Water District Wastewater Treatment and Water Reclamation Project
- Mojave Water Agency Turf Removal Conservation Incentive Program

The Technical Advisory Committee hereby endorses these projects for inclusion in such application and approves the preparation and filing the application with California Department of Water Resources.

Passed and adopted at a meeting of the Mojave Water Agency Technical Advisory Committee on December 8, 2010.

Authorized Original Signature



Scott Weldy, Chairman

Secretary



Kirby Brill

