

UPPER PIT RIVER WATERSHED IRWMP SCHEDULE MILESTONE DETAILS

1. Assumes start date of January 17, 2011
2. NECWA will negotiate master contract with lead consultant concurrently with negotiation of DWR agreement.
3. Lead consultant will negotiate contracts with all sub-contractors concurrently with negotiations with NECWA on the master contract (also concurrent with NECWA's negotiations on the agreement).
4. Per Task 16.1, the narrative section for the IRWMP will be prepared, will follow the review process described in the introduction to the work plan, and ultimately be merged with all other sections to complete the document.
5. Prior to conducting important events, workshops, and meetings, the communication strategy will be employed.
6. Systematic assessment of each library's capacity to support additional computer with Internet access
7. Conduct regional tour organized by RWMG, highlighting regional issues and orienting members to the plan area watersheds
8. Purchase and install computers for DAC access to IRWMP materials and website based on outcome of assessment
9. Website operational and training for regional coordinator complete.
10. The website will be updated monthly, at minimum, by the regional coordinator
11. It is anticipated that the CC will have an initial meeting in January 2011, and subsequent meetings monthly, with the exception of months when the RWMG meets. The individual sections will be reviewed by the CC based on the schedule for each section shown below. The RWMG will meet based on the needs of the project, rather than an arbitrary schedule. It is anticipated that they will meet quarterly. The sub-committees, TACs, and working groups will be formed at the direction of the RWMG; therefore, while we can predict the number of meetings, the precise start and end date for each individual entity cannot be stipulated at this time.
12. This initial meeting is anticipated to be held on April 5, 2011; however, the activities in previous tasks may necessitate an earlier or later date for this meeting.
13. The precise timing of this initial meeting may be subject to change if the participating IRWMPs' schedules suggest a more appropriate date.
14. The precise timing of these meetings may be subject to change if the participating IRWMPs' schedules suggest a more appropriate date.
15. The precise timing of these meetings may be subject to change if the participating IRWMPs' schedules suggest a more appropriate date.
16. Although discussions will occur earlier in the process to identify significant boundary-related issues, it is assumed that the initial meeting will be sufficient to resolve any lingering questions.

17. It is assumed that data gaps will be addressed as they are identified and the section will not be completed until as many data gaps are resolved as possible.
18. The bulk of the IRWMP section text will be completed by this time. The ongoing conflict resolution activities will be reflected in the section text before the final version is reviewed and adopted by the RWMG.
19. The RWMG will confirm the issues and conflicts at its April kickoff meeting; however, the activities in previous tasks may necessitate an earlier or later date for this meeting.
20. The RWMG will confirm the RMS evaluation at its April kickoff meeting; however, the activities in previous tasks may necessitate an earlier or later date for this meeting.
21. Completion of the IRWMP section will be based on meeting outcomes, identification of follow-up actions, requiring a moderate amount of preparation time.
22. While data collection is not assumed to be particularly time consuming, coordinating the logistics and recruiting maximum participation will be undertaken over an extended period of time.
23. The Local Water and Land Use Planning event is scheduled for September 2011; however, the specific timing within that month will be determined based on stakeholder availability. The September scheduling is intended to allow for the Local Water and Land Use Planning Event to be held in advance of the RWMG meeting in that month.
24. A draft climate change section will be reviewed at this meeting to ensure consensus as to content and approach.
25. An initial presentation from the CC on climate change will be made at this RWMG meeting with the intention of designating a TAC during the meeting.
26. Initial presentation of climate change modeling capabilities and selection of scenarios.
27. Meeting utilizing interactive GIS and whiteboard method to identify effects of climate change scenarios on vegetation.
28. Initial presentation by the CC to the RWMG of the current range of thought concerning identification and measurement of objectives.
29. The CC presents draft objectives and measurement options to RWMG for detailed discussion.
30. RWMG adopts objectives and measures.
31. CC presentation to RWMG on the pros and cons of prioritizing objectives and suggested methods for prioritization.
32. RWMG decision as to whether to prioritize objectives, and if so, the method of prioritization.
33. The bulk of the IRWMP section text will be completed by this time. The final ranking and inclusion activities will be reflected in the section text before the final version is reviewed and adopted by the RWMG.
34. Because of the disadvantaged nature of the region and distance between stakeholders, the elapsed time to fully integrate projects will be longer. Additionally, this task will link closely with Task 9.2.2, which will also serve to expand the timeframe.

35. Presentation by consulting team to RWMG on status of project integration effort, and implications to new project development.
36. The process of developing and integrating new projects is labor intensive, requires multiple conversations with diverse stakeholders, and is iterative in nature. The CC will provide extensive guidance and assistance to the consultant until such time as a suite of projects has been developed to a sufficient level of detail to submit to the RWMG for consideration.
37. In addition to the previous task, developing key projects to ready-to-implement status will require an intensive work effort of its own to prepare project materials (i.e., work plan, schedules, budgets, economic evaluation, and performance measures).
38. At this meeting, the RWMG will direct the consulting team as to which projects should be developed to ready-to-implement status.
39. Review of status of all projects.
40. RWMG review of project inclusion and ranking criteria.
41. RWMG adoption of project inclusion and ranking criteria. At this meeting, the projects that will receive technical assistance to achieve ready-to-implement status will be selected. Sponsors with the capacity to develop their projects to implementation ready will make presentations at this meeting to determine whether they will undertake development effort or not.
42. Presentations of all projects to be included in IRWMP, based on conformance with inclusion criteria. RWMG adopts project ranking. Based on the decisions made at this meeting, the final portions of the project development process IRWMP text will be prepared. At the close of this meeting, all of the necessary decisions will have been made to allow completion of the IRWMP section text.
43. A presentation will be made at this RWMG meeting regarding CEQA/NEPA-related activities and constraints to project development. As part of this process, the possibility of pursuing alternative funding sources for CEQA/NEPA documents will also be presented.
44. The draft DAC project development manual will be presented to the RWMG for review and comment and to educate RWMG members as to the project development process.
45. The bulk of the IRWMP section text will be completed by this time. The performance measures identified as part of the final project selection activities will be reflected in the section text before the final version is reviewed and adopted by the RWMG.
46. The plan-level performance measures will be finalized by this time.
47. The RWMG will review the completed draft IRWMP at this meeting and provide feedback.