

Proposal Full View

[Print](#)

Applicant Information

Organization Name *

Tax ID **680360689**

Proposal Name **Upper Pit River DAC Infrastructure Improvement Projects** *

Proposal Objective **These projects intent to fulfill the following IRWM objectives: Improve storage capacity; Evaluate and modify water infrastructure to improve system efficiency; Increase knowledge of the ground water system; Optimize efficient use, conservation and recycling of water resources through customer outreach and education.** *

Budget

Other Contribution	<input type="text" value="\$0.00"/>
Local Contribution	<input type="text" value="\$41,250.00"/>
Federal Contribution	<input type="text" value="\$749,000.00"/>
Inkind Contribution	<input type="text" value="\$0.00"/>
Amount Requested	<input type="text" value="\$1,148,410.00"/> *
Total Project Cost	<input type="text" value="\$1,938,660.00"/> *

Geographic Information

Latitude *

Longitude *

Longitude/Latitude Clarification Location

County *

Ground Water Basin

Hydrologic Region

Watershed

Legislative Information

Assembly District *

Senate District *

US Congressional District *

Project Information

Project Name

Implementing Organization	North Cal-Neva Resource Conservation And Development Council
Secondary Implementing Organization	Lassen County Water District
Proposed Start Date	10/1/2013
Proposed End Date	10/30/2014
Project Scope	Refurbish an existing water tank serving the DAC of Bieber, Ca.
Project Description	The Bieber Water Tank Refurbishment Project will refurbish the existing inadequate water tower serving Bieber in Lassen County in order to meet the demands of community needs and state standards. The present water tank is currently out of OSHA and the U.S. Department of Homeland Security compliance. The tank has significant deferred maintenance and will be beyond repair in a few years' time. Refurbishment of the tank, with proper maintenance, will enable another 30 years' use. Replacement of the water tank would be out financial reach of the community members. The Bieber water tank is essential to the community as without it, there would be no reliable community water source.
Project Objective	Extend the life of the current water tank, increasing the water supply and reliability for the DAC of Bieber, CA.

Project Benefits Information

Project Objective

Budget

Other Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Local Contribution	<input type="text" value="6000"/>
Federal Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Inkind Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>

Amount Requested
 Total Project Cost

Geographic Information

Latitude DD(+/-) MM SS
 Longitude DD(+/-) MM SS
 Longitude/Latitude Clarification Location
 County Lassen Ground Water Basin Burney Creek Valley Hydrologic Region WaterShed
 86 5526 Pit River

Legislative Information

Assembly District	1st Assembly District
Senate District	1st Senate District
US Congressional District	District 1 (CA)

Project Information

Project Name	McArthur Water Tank
Implementing Organization	North Cal-Neva Resource Conservation And Development Council
Secondary Implementing Organization	Fall River Community Services Distrit
Proposed Start Date	10/1/2013
Proposed End Date	10/30/2014
Project Scope	Install water tank with booster pumps, generator and telemetry to provide adequate water reserves.
Project Description	Project B is for the installation of a new water tank in the community of McArthur, CA. Currently, the only production well for both towns of Fall River Mills and McArthur is located in McArthur, yet the only tanks in the system are in Fall River Mills. Therefore, to store and deliver water to McArthur, the water is first pumped from McArthur to the tanks in Fall River Mills and, when demanded by consumers, flows back to McArthur (six miles away). As the water travels, the water pressure drops dramatically unless the well is continuously pumped – even during peak hours. In addition, there is not significant water storage or water pressure for the community of McArthur to fight fires. The new water tank will have booster pumps, a generator and telemetry to provide sufficient water reserves to reach state standards. A reduction in electricity rates will occur due to the new tank system pumping water during off-peak hours only. The new system will supply enough water and water pressure to fight local fires. Part of this project involves both a newsletter and a web site update promoting water conservation. The requested funding is to prepare and distribute quarterly newsletters for two years, conservation materials to 480 community households.
Project Objective	Increase water storage capability and ensure adequate water supply and pressure for the DAC of McArthur, CA.

Project Benefits Information

Project Objective

Budget

Other Contribution
 Local Contribution
 Federal Contribution
 Inkind Contribution
 Amount Requested
 Total Project Cost

Geographic Information

Latitude DD(+/-) MM SS
 Longitude DD(+/-) MM SS
 Longitude/Latitude Clarification Location
 County Shasta Ground Water Basin Burney Creek Valley Hydrologic Region WaterShed
 86 5526 Pit River

Legislative Information

--	--

Assembly District	1st Assembly District
Senate District	1st Senate District
US Congressional District	District 1 (CA)

Project Information

Project Name	Joint Leak Detection and Repair Program
Implementing Organization	North Cal-Neva Resource Conservation And Development Council
Secondary Implementing Organization	Fall River Valley Community Services District
Proposed Start Date	10/1/2013
Proposed End Date	10/30/2014
Project Scope	The Joint Leak Detection and Repair includes the purchasing of leak detection equipment and repairing faulty equipment.
Project Description	The Joint Leak Detection and Repair Program would enable the purchase of a single set of leak detection equipment and train all staff in the use of the equipment. Equipment purchased under this project will be jointly shared between the three water districts. The equipment will be owned and maintained by the Fall River Valley Community Services District (FRVCSD). Each of the water districts will participate in training events and will develop an MOU for joint equipment maintenance and training. Sharing of the equipment allows the communities to focus funds on repair rather than purchases of duplicative equipment. The new leak detection equipment would pinpoint the leak, and avoid opening large sections of pipe to find even a small leak. Project A is estimated to produce a \$12,000 annual savings to the regional water districts. Currently, FRVCSD loses between 17% and 48% of the water pumped annually through leaks and hires an independent contractor from Redding, CA (140 miles round-trip to the region) to assess leak detection in the system.
Project Objective	Detect and repair leaks in regional water system to promote conservation, secure water supply security for four DAC communities, and reduce pumping costs to create a sustainable water supply.

Project Benefits Information

Project Objective

Budget

Other Contribution	0
Local Contribution	35250
Federal Contribution	0
Inkind Contribution	0
Amount Requested	106290
Total Project Cost	141540

Geographic Information

Latitude DD(+/-)	41	MM 3	SS 1
Longitude DD(+/-)	121	MM 23	SS 57
Longitude/Latitude Clarification		Location	McArthur, CA

County Shasta Ground Water Basin Burney Creek Valley Hydrologic Region WaterShed
86 5526 Pit River

Legislative Information

Assembly District	1st Assembly District
Senate District	1st Senate District
US Congressional District	District 1 (CA)

Section : Applicant Information Question Tab

APPLICANT INFORMATION QUESTION TAB

01. PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION

Provide a brief abstract of the Proposal, including a listing of individual project titles. Please note which projects, if any, directly address a critical water supply or water quality issue for DACs or Native American Tribal communities.

All three Projects address critical water issues: Project A is a Joint Leak Detection and Repair Program involving the purchasing of leak detection equipment and repairing fa equipment. The Fall River Valley Community Services District (FRVCSD) loses between 17% and 48% of the water pumped annually through leaks. Presently, FRVCSD n hire an independent contractor from Redding, CA (140 miles round-trip to the region) to assess leak detection in the system. Project A would enable the purchase of a single : leak detection equipment and train all staff in the use of the equipment. Equipment purchased under this project will be jointly shared between the FRVCSD, Bieber Water Di

and Lassen County Water Works District #1. The equipment will be owned and maintained by the FRVCS. An agreement will be developed between the three districts to share the equipment. Each of these entities will participate in training events and will develop an MOU for joint equipment maintenance and training. Sharing of the equipment allows the communities to focus funds on repair rather than purchases of duplicative equipment. The new leak detection equipment would pinpoint the leak, and avoid opening large sections of pipe to find even a small leak. Project A is estimated to produce a \$12,000 annual savings to the regional water districts. Project B is sponsored by the FRVCS and involves the installation of a new water tank in the community of McArthur. Currently, the only production well for both towns of Fall River Mills and McArthur is located in McArthur, yet the only tanks in the system are in Fall River Mills. Therefore, to store and deliver water to McArthur, the water is first pumped from McArthur to the tanks in Fall River Mills and, when demanded by consumers, flows back to McArthur (six miles away). As the water travels, the water pressure drops dramatically unless the well is continuously pumped even during peak hours. In addition, there is not significant water storage or water pressure for the community of McArthur to fight fires. The new water tank will have booster pumps, a generator and telemetry to provide sufficient water reserves to reach state standards. A reduction in electricity rates will occur due to the new system pumping water during off-peak hours only. The new system will supply enough water and water pressure to fight local fires. Part of this project involves both a newsletter and a web site update promoting water conservation. The requested funding is sufficient for two years of quarterly newsletters. Project C will refurbish the existing inadequate water tower serving Bieber in Lassen County in order to meet the demands of community needs and state standards. The present water tank is currently out of OSHA and the U.S. Department of Homeland Security compliance. The tank has significant deferred maintenance and will be beyond repair in a few years' time. Refurbishment of the tank, with proper maintenance, will enable another 30 years of use. Replacement of the water tank would be out of financial reach of the community members. The Bieber water tank is essential to the community as without it, there would be no reliable community water source.

02. PROJECT DIRECTOR

Provide the name and details of the person responsible for executing the grant agreement for the applicant. Persons that are subcontractors to be paid by the grant cannot be listed as the Project Director.

Stacey Hafen has worked for the North Cal-Neva Resource Conservation and Development (RC&D) Council since 2003. Since her employment she has overseen and administered multiple grants for multiple organizations. Her duties have included grant management, report writing, meeting coordination, project coordination and grant writing. Stacey successfully administered two CalFed awards with the State of California Department of Water Resources. The first CalFed award made it possible for the Pit River Watershed Alliance to monitor sensitive areas in the Pit River Watershed and help to develop the Upper Pit River Watershed Assessment. The last CalFed award had 5 sub-contractors produce the Upper Pit Watershed Management. When there was a hold on all CalFed projects Stacey assisted the RC&D Coordinator with securing funding from other sources to finish the Watershed Management Strategy. Stacey has been involved from day one with the Upper Pit River IRWMP since the beginning of the project and has assisted North California Water Association with all billing and reporting. Stacey has also helped the plan development team with outreach and coordination of all aspects of the plan.

03. PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Provide the name and contact information of the Project Manager from the applicant agency or organization that will be the day-to-day contact on this application.

Stacey Hafen North Cal-Neva RC&D Council, Inc. P.O. Box 1434 Alturas, CA 96101 (530) 233-8871 (Office) (530) 233-8869 (fax) (530) 708-1499 (Cell)

04. APPLICANT INFORMATION

Provide the agency name, address, city, state and zip code of the applicant submitting the application.

North Cal-Neva Resource Conservation and Development Council, Inc. 806 W. 12th St. P.O. Box 1434 Alturas, CA 96101

05. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Provide the IRWM funding area(s) in which projects are located.

Visit the following website to locate the IRWM funding area(s).

<http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/fundingarea.cfm>

The funding area for the Upper Pit Watershed region is the Sacramento River Funding Area. This area includes the watershed of the Sacramento River and its tributaries. The funding area extends from the crest of the Sierra Nevada in the east to the crest of the Coast Range in the west. The northern boundary is a small section of the Oregon Border in Modoc County. The southern boundary consists of the southern boundary of the Putah Creek and the American River watersheds and the Sacramento and San Joaquin Delta. The funding area includes portions of Siskiyou, Modoc, Lassen, Sierra, Nevada, Placer, Eldorado, Shasta, Tehama, Glenn, Solusa, Lake, Napa, Solano and Sacramento Counties, the entirety of Plumas, Butte, Yuba, Sutter and Yolo Counties.

06. DAC WAIVER COST SHARE REQUEST:

Are you applying for a DAC cost share waiver? If yes, complete attachment 10.

Yes

07. RESPONSIBLE REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD(S) (RWQCB)

List the name of the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) in which your proposal is located. For a region that extends beyond more than one RWQCB boundary, list the name of each Board.

Visit the following website to find the RWQCB for a particular location:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterboards_map.shtml

The Upper Pit River IRWM projects are located with the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board.

08. ELIGIBILITY

The Implementation Grant Program requires a minimum funding match of 25% of total project cost unless there is a DAC project included in the proposal. Requirements for DAC funding match reductions are included in Exhibit E of this PSP. Are your matching funds less than 25%? If so, please explain.

For the Upper Pit River projects being submitted our match amount is more than the 25% needed but we are still applying for the DAC Waiver. Please see attachment 10

09. ELIGIBILITY

Does the application represent a single application from an IRWM Region approved in the RAP? To verify, see RAP website:

<http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/rap.cfm> If yes, include the name of the IRWM Region. If no, please explain.

Yes, we are the only applicant from the Upper Pit Watershed Region approved in the RAP.

Q10. ELIGIBILITY

Please specify whether the applicant is a local public agency or non-profit organization as defined in Appendix B of the 2012 Guidelines.

The North Cal-Neva Resource Conservation and Development Council, Inc. is a 501 (c) (3) non-profit organization. Please see attachment 1 for back-up.

Q11. ELIGIBILITY

List the urban water suppliers that will receive funding from the proposed grant. Please provide the agency name, a contact phone number and e-mail address. Those listed must submit self certification of compliance with CWC §525 et seq. and AB 1420, see Attachment 11. Answer "NA", if there are no urban water suppliers that will receive funding from the proposed grant.

The project sponsors in this application do not qualify as Urban Water Supplies as they serve less than 3000 customers.

Q12. ELIGIBILITY

Have all of the urban water suppliers, listed in Q11 above, submitted complete Urban Water Management Plans (UWMPs), to DWR? Have those plans been verified as complete by DWR? If not, explain and provide the anticipated date for having a complete UWMP. Answer "NA" if no urban water supplier identified in Q11 above.

N/A

Q13. ELIGIBILITY

Have any urban water suppliers, listed in Q11, submitted AB 1420 compliance tables and supporting documentation to DWR for a different grant program on or after January 1, 2013? If so, please list the urban water supplier and the grant program. An urban water supplier must submit AB 1420 compliance documentation to DWR. If the urban water supplier has not submitted AB 1420 documentation, or that documentation was determined to be incomplete by DWR, the urban water supplier's projects will not be considered eligible for grant funding. Refer to Section IIIB of the 2012 Guidelines for additional information.

Answer "NA" if no urban water supplier identified in Q11 above.

N/A

Q14. ELIGIBILITY

Does the Proposal include any groundwater projects or other projects that directly affect groundwater levels or quality? If so, provide the name(s) of the project(s) and list the agency(ies) that will implement the project(s).

Answer "NA" if the Proposal does not include groundwater projects or other projects that directly affect groundwater levels or quality.

N/A

Q15. ELIGIBILITY

For the agency(ies) listed in Q14, how has the agency complied with CWC §10753 regarding Groundwater Management Plans (GWMPs), as described in Section III.B of the 2012 Guidelines?

Answer "NA" if the Proposal does not include groundwater projects or other projects that directly affect groundwater levels or quality.

N/A

Q16. ELIGIBILITY

Does the IRWM region receive water supplied from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta? Please answer yes or no. If no, please explain.

The Upper Pit River Region is upstream from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and therefore does not receive any water supplied from that area.

Q17. ELIGIBILITY

Does the existing IRWM Plan help reduce dependence on the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta for water supply? Please answer yes or no. If no, please explain. If yes, please complete attachment 13.

No, because the Upper Pit River Region is upstream from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and therefore does not receive any water supplied from that area.

Q18. ELIGIBILITY

If an update to the IRWM plan will take place in the near future, will the updated plan continue to reduce dependence on the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta for water supply? Please answer yes or no. If no, please explain. If yes, please complete Attachment 13.

No, because the Upper Pit River Region is upstream from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and therefore does not receive any water supplied from that area.

Q19. ELIGIBILITY

List the agricultural water suppliers that will receive funding from the proposed grant. Please provide the agency/organization name, a contact phone number and e-mail address. If there are none, please indicate so.

There are no agriculture water supplies identified in this application for funding.

Q20. ELIGIBILITY

Have all of the agricultural water suppliers, listed in Q19 above, submitted complete Agricultural Water Management Plan to DWR? Have those plans been verified as complete by DWR? If the plan has not been submitted, please indicate the anticipated submittal date.

Answer "NA" if no agricultural water suppliers identified in Q19 above.

N/A

Q21. ELIGIBILITY

mail address. If there are none, please indicate so.

There are no surface water diverters identified in this application.

Q22. ELIGIBILITY

Have all of the surface water diverters, listed in Q21 above, submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board surface water diversion reports in compliance with requirements outlined in Part 5.1 (commencing with §5100) of Division 2 of the CWC? If not, explain and provide the anticipated date for meeting the requirements.

Answer "NA" if no surface water diverters identified in Q21 above.

N/A

Q23. ELIGIBILITY

List the groundwater users that will receive funding from the proposed grant. Please provide the agency/organization name, a contact phone number and e-mail address. If there are none, please indicate so.

Fall River Valley Community Services District 24850 Third St. P.O. Box 427 Fall River Mills, CA 96028 (530) 336-5263 Contact: David Hall David_wilton_hall@hotmail.
Lassen County Water Works District 1 P.O. Box 363 Bieber, CA 96009 (530) 294-5524 Contact: Steve Jackson Bieberh2o@frontiernet.net

Q24. ELIGIBILITY

Have all of the groundwater users, listed in Q23 above, met the requirements of DWR's CASGEM Program: <http://www.water.ca.gov/groundwater/casgem/> ? If not, explain and provide the anticipated date for meeting the requirements.

Answer "NA" if no groundwater users identified in Q23 above.

The Shasta County Water Agency is the CASGEM Monitoring Entity for Burney Creek Basin and Fall River Mills Basin. Burney WD actually provides the numbers for the former and latter. Requirements met. Lassen County has applied for LGA funding to correct the issues with their CASGEM designation.

Section : Application Attachments Tab

APPLICATION ATTACHMENTS TAB

ATTACHMENT 1: AUTHORIZATION AND ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload authorization and eligibility documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments:

Att1_IG2_Eligible_6of8.zip,Att1_IG2_Eligible_1of8.pdf,Att1_IG2_Eligible_2of8.pdf,Att1_IG2_Eligible_3of8.pdf,Att1_IG2_Eligible_4of8.pdf

Upload additional authorization and eligibility documentation here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att1_IG2_Eligible_5of8.pdf,Att1_IG2_Eligible_7of8.pdf,Att1_IG2_Eligible_8of8.pdf

ATTACHMENT 2: ADOPTED PLAN AND PROOF OF FORMAL ADOPTION

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload adopted plan and proof of formal adoption documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att2_IG2_Adopt_1of4.pdf,Att2_IG2_Adopt_2of4.pdf,Att2_IG2_Adopt_3of4.pdf,Att2_IG2_Adopt_4of4.pdf

Upload additional adopted plan and proof of formal adoption documentation here, if necessary.

Upload additional adopted plan and proof of formal adoption documentation here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 3: WORK PLAN

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload work plan documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att3_IG2_WorkPlan_1of4.pdf,Att3_IG2_WorkPlan_2of4.pdf

Upload additional work plan components here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att3_IG2_WorkPlan_3of4.pdf,Att3_IG2_WorkPlan_4of4.pdf

Upload additional work plan components here, if necessary.

Upload additional work plan components here, if necessary.

Upload additional work plan components here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 4: BUDGET

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload budget documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att4_IG2_Budget_1of4.pdf, Att4_IG2_Budget_2of4.pdf, Att4_IG2_Budget_3of4.pdf, Att4_IG2_Budget_4of4.pdf

Upload additional budget components here, if necessary.

Upload additional budget components here, if necessary.

Upload additional budget components here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 5: SCHEDULE

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload schedule documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att5_IG2_Schedule_1of1.pdf

Upload additional schedule components here, if necessary.

Upload additional schedule components here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 6: MONITORING, ASSESSMENT, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload monitoring, assessment, and performance measures documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att6_IG2_Measures_1of1.pdf

Upload additional monitoring, assessment, and performance measures here, if necessary.

Upload additional monitoring, assessment, and performance measures here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 7: TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION OF PROJECT PHYSICAL BENEFITS

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload technical justification of project physical benefits documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att7_IG2_TechJust_1of1.pdf

Upload additional technical justification of project physical benefits here, if necessary.

Upload additional technical justification of project physical benefits here, if necessary.

Upload additional technical justification of project physical benefits here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 8: BENEFITS AND COST ANALYSIS

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload benefits and cost analysis documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att8_IG2_BenCost_1of1.pdf

Upload additional benefits and cost analysis documentation here, if necessary.

Upload additional benefits and cost analysis documentation here, if necessary.

Upload additional benefits and cost analysis documentation here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 9: PROGRAM PREFERENCES

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload program preferences documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att9_IG2_Preference_1of1.pdf

Upload additional program preferences documentation here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 10: DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE

This attachment is required only if the proposal includes a project that specifically addresses a need of a DAC. Please refer to PSP for detail information.

If this attachment does not apply to your proposal, you MUST still upload a document that indicates this attachment is not applicable. If the upload field to this attachment is left blank, your proposal cannot be saved or completed.

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload disadvantaged community assistance documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att10_IG2_DAC_1of2.pdf, Att10_IG2_DAC_2of2.pdf

Upload additional disadvantaged community assistance documentation here, if necessary.

Upload additional disadvantaged community assistance documentation here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 11: GWMP, AB 1420, AND WATER METER COMPLIANCE INFORMATION

If your proposal does not include 1) a groundwater project or a project that directly affects groundwater levels or quality, or 2) an urban water supplier who would receive grant funding, you MUST still upload a document that indicates this attachment is not applicable to your proposal. If the upload field to this attachment is left blank, your proposal cannot be saved or completed.

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload GWMP, AB1420, and water meter compliance documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att11_IG2_SelfCert_1of3.pdf, Att11_IG2_SelfCert_2of3.pdf, Att11_IG2_SelfCert_3of3.pdf

Upload additional GWMP, AB1420, and water meter compliance information documentation here, if necessary.

Upload additional GWMP, AB1420, and water meter compliance information documentation here, if necessary.

Upload additional GWMP, AB1420, and water meter compliance information documentation here, if necessary.

Upload additional GWMP, AB1420, and water meter compliance information documentation here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 12. CONSENT FORM

This attachment is required only if the proposal is utilizing an IRWM Plan that was adopted on or before September 30, 2008. The Consent Form contained in Exhibit F of the PSP must be signed and submitted in hard copy. Please refer to PSP for more information.

If this attachment does not apply to your proposal, you MUST still upload a document that indicates this attachment is not applicable. If the upload field to this attachment is left blank, your proposal cannot be saved or completed.

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload the signed consent form here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att12_IG2_Consent_1of1.pdf

ATTACHMENT 13: IRWM PLAN - REDUCED DELTA WATER DEPENDENCE

This attachment is required only if the IRWM region receives water supplied from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Attachment 13 must summarize the portions of the plan that address how implementation of the IRWM Plan will help reduce dependence on the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta for water supply, and include relevant plan excerpts to support the summary. Please refer to PSP for detail information.

If this attachment does not apply to your proposal, you MUST still upload a document that indicates this attachment is not applicable. If the upload field to this attachment is left blank, your proposal cannot be saved or completed.

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload the summary of IRWM Plan here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att13_IG2_Delta_1of1.pdf
