

Proposal Full View

[Print](#)

Applicant Information

Organization Name *

Tax ID **956000928**

Proposal Name South Orange County WMA IRWM Prop 84 Round 2 Implementation Proposal *

Proposal Objective The South Orange County Watershed Management Area (WMA) IRWM Implementation Grant Proposal proposes to meet the goals of the 2005 Adopted IRWM Plan by implementing the following projects: 1) Municipal Water District of Orange County's (MWD OC) Comprehensive Landscape Water Use Efficiency Program (CLWUE), 2) Audubon Starr Ranch Sanctuary's Riparian Invasion Control, Restoration, Monitoring, and Education Project (Starr Ranch Sanctuary), 3) Irvine Ranch Water District's (IRWD) Baker Water Treatment Plant, and 4) South Coast Water District's (SCWD) Targeted Water Conservation Program. MWD OC proposes the CLWUE Project to implement a comprehensive landscape improvement program targeting residential and other commercial landscapes properties throughout the South Orange County WMA. Audubon Starr Ranch Sanctuary proposes the Riparian Invasion Control, Restoration, Monitoring, and Education Project to implement riparian restoration, monitoring, and a Golf course partnership to reduce runoff and ensure a functional native ecosystem. IRWD proposes the Baker Water Treatment Plant to construct a new 28 mgd membrane surface water treatment plant to supply potable water for the region. SCWD's Targeted water conservation program proposes to build upon and expand the water conservation, public outreach, Water Use Efficiency programs offered by MWD OC and previous successful conservation work performed by SCWD. This proposal focuses on the South Orange County WMA's vision of total watershed efficiency and considers long-term regional planning for flood management; urban runoff management; watershed management; water use efficiency; water supply and reliability; recycled water; habitat protection, water conservation, and restoration; water quality protection and improvement; resource stewardship; and related water resource management strategies facing the Region over the next 20 to 50 years. *

Budget

Other Contribution	<input type="text" value="\$8,604,200.00"/>
Local Contribution	<input type="text" value="\$70,771,064.15"/>
Federal Contribution	<input type="text" value="\$0.00"/>
Inkind Contribution	<input type="text" value="\$0.00"/>
Amount Requested	<input type="text" value="\$1,708,646.85"/> *
Total Project Cost	<input type="text" value="\$81,083,911.00"/> *

Geographic Information

Latitude *
 Longitude *

Longitude/Latitude Clarification Location

County *
 Ground Water Basin
 Hydrologic Region
 Watershed

Legislative Information

Assembly District *
 Senate District *
 US Congressional District *

Project Information

Project Name

Implementing Organization	<input type="text" value="National Audubon Society, DBA Audubon California"/>
Secondary Implementing Organization	<input type="text" value="Audubon Starr Ranch"/>
Proposed Start Date	<input type="text" value="10/1/2013"/>
Proposed End Date	<input type="text" value="9/30/2015"/>
Project Scope	<input type="text" value="Riparian restoration, monitoring, and a community partnership to reduce runoff and ensure a functional native ecosystem."/>
	<input type="text" value="Starr Ranch will take a sustainable, ecosystem scale approach to riparian habitat management in a project that encompasses seven goals: 1) Interns and volunteer Weed Warriors will do non-chemical invasive species control and restoration within an intensive work area in Bell Creek. 2) Interns will use a standardized protocol to walk the entire 125 acre Bell Canyon riparian corridor to produce GIS maps of olive"/>

Project Description	<p>and palm distribution for eventual removal. They will also remove rapidly spreading invasive species and watch for new invasive species. 3) Starr Ranch staff will partner with the adjacent golf course community to encourage native species in landscaping and redevelopment using LID principles. We will invite staff from MWDOC to speak about incentive programs such as turf removal rebates and the SoCal Water Smart rebate program. 4)Volunteers will be trained by a Starr Ranch biologist in use of the SWAMP (Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Project) protocol to assess water quality through sampling of macroinvertebrates, physical habitat, and water chemistry in Bell Creek above and below the confluence with runoff from the adjacent golf course community. 5) A Starr Ranch biologist will train volunteers to use a USGS protocol to assist with sampling in Bell Creek for detection of perennial pools and also of native species of fish, reptiles, and amphibians, especially rare species such as arroyo toad and western pond turtle. 6) An ornithologist will train volunteers to do songbird banding and songbird phenology monitoring in riparian woodlands of Bell Canyon to track seasonal trends that may be associated with climate change. 7) Staff will work with the Trabuco Canyon Water District and the Dove Canyon golf course staff to improve a runoff pumping system. Cooperative work will maximize the pumping system that diverts Dove Canyon runoff from Bell Creek to reduce the flow of water, pollutants, fertilizers, and nonnative seeds from the native riparian ecosystem.</p>
Project Objective	Protection, restoration and monitoring of one of the last relatively pristine riparian corridors in southern California.

Project Benefits Information

Project Objective

Budget

Other Contribution	0
Local Contribution	46001.15
Federal Contribution	0
Inkind Contribution	0
Amount Requested	229454.85
Total Project Cost	275456

Geographic Information

Latitude DD(+/-)	33	MM 36	SS 25
Longitude DD(+/-)	-117	MM 33	SS 9
Longitude/Latitude Clarification	Location		
County Orange Ground Water Basin San Juan Valley Hydrologic Region South Coast WaterShed			
San Juan			

Legislative Information

Assembly District	73rd Assembly District
Senate District	36th Senate District
US Congressional District	District 49 (CA)

Project Information

Project Name	Grant Administration
Implementing Organization	Orange, County of
Secondary Implementing Organization	
Proposed Start Date	3/29/2013
Proposed End Date	11/30/2015
Project Scope	Project includes the County's grant administration, including DWR grant agreement execution, reporting, and invoicing to DWR.
Project Description	The County of Orange will serve as the lead applicant and grant administrator for the projects. As previously discussed, each proposed project will have a project manager assigned from the respective agency to perform project administration in coordination with the County of Orange. This task has been identified as separate from the project administration budgets to account for the County of Orange's grant administration effort. This County of Orange Grant Administration Project includes the County's grant administration, including DWR grant agreement execution, reporting, and invoicing to DWR.
Project Objective	Coordination with Project proponents and completion of grant agreement execution, reporting, and invoicing to DWR.

Project Benefits Information

Project Objective

Budget

Other Contribution	0
Local Contribution	0
Federal Contribution	0
Inkind Contribution	0
Amount Requested	34638
Total Project Cost	34638

Geographic Information

Latitude DD(+/-)	33	MM 34	SS 18
Longitude DD(+/-)	-117	MM 36	SS 28
Longitude/Latitude Clarification		Location	Small canyon off Canada Chiquita Road ha
County Orange Ground Water Basin San Juan Valley Hydrologic Region South Coast WaterShed			
San Juan			

Legislative Information

Assembly District	70th Assembly District,71st Assembly District,73rd Assembly District
Senate District	33rd Senate District,35th Senate District,38th Senate District
US Congressional District	District 42 (CA),District 44 (CA),District 48 (CA)

Project Information

Project Name	Baker Water Treatment Plant
Implementing Organization	Irvine Ranch Water District
Secondary Implementing Organization	
Proposed Start Date	8/1/2008
Proposed End Date	12/31/2015
Project Scope	Construction of a new 28 mgd membrane surface water treatment plant.
Project Description	The Project includes design and construction of a new 28 million gallons per day (mgd) membrane surface water treatment plant. The Baker Plant will be base-loaded and operated on a continuous basis. The project will utilize both local and imported raw waters that cannot be currently used for potable uses. Imported water from Metropolitan Water District's (MWD) Lake Mathews will be supplied to the plant through the MWD Lower Feeder and the Santiago Lateral Turnout OC-33 via the Baker Pipeline. In addition, local runoff water stored in Irvine Lake can also be supplied to the plant via the Baker Pipeline. Irvine Ranch Water District (IRWD) is the lead agency for the project. Partner south Orange County water agencies that also own capacity in the proposed treatment plant include: El Toro Water District (ETWD), Moulton Niguel Water District (MNWD), Santa Margarita Water District (SMWD), and Trabuco Canyon Water District (TCWD). Water produced from the project will augment imported supplies during an emergency or extended outage to improve redundancy and reliability.
Project Objective	The project will improve reliability for nearly one million people in south Orange County. Currently, over 90% of south Orange County's potable water supply comes from MWD's Diemer Filtration Plant. The project will improve reliability by providing an alternative source of potable supply, particularly during unplanned or extended outages at the Diemer plant or AMP. The project will also treat raw water from the Irvine Lake for additional supply redundancy and reliability.

Project Benefits Information

Project Objective

Budget

Other Contribution	8604200
Local Contribution	69395800
Federal Contribution	0
Inkind Contribution	0
Amount Requested	500000

Total Project Cost

Geographic Information

Latitude DD(+/-) MM SS
 Longitude DD(+/-) MM SS
 Longitude/Latitude Clarification Location
 County Ground Water Basin Hydrologic Region WaterShed

Legislative Information

Assembly District	68th Assembly District
Senate District	37th Senate District
US Congressional District	District 45 (CA)

Project Information

Project Name	<input type="text" value="Targeted Water Conservation Program"/>
Implementing Organization	South Coast Water District
Secondary Implementing Organization	
Proposed Start Date	10/1/2013
Proposed End Date	10/1/2015
Project Scope	Analysis of high volume water uses, identification of conservation opportunities, development of implementation plans, results measurement.
Project Description	This project will build upon and expand the water conservation, public outreach, Water Use Efficiency programs offered by MWDOC and previous successful conservation work by SCWD. The project will promote the benefits of these activities to specific large water users based on detailed analyses of their water use profiles and new opportunities for conservation and cost savings. SCWD will proactively work with high-volume water consumers on an extended and detailed basis starting with initial identification of meaningful and sustainable conservation opportunities all the way through to project completion and results measurement. The users to be targeted with this project will include the large hotels, resorts, home owner associations, the Dana Point Harbor, schools and Mission Hospital in South Laguna as well as other major commercial and residential users in the SCWD service area. The initial work will entail detailed analysis of water usage patterns for these high volume water users, identification of specific and tailored conservation opportunities, initiating meetings to discuss implementation of identified opportunities (including costs and possible funding sources), development of action plans with timelines and budgets, project implementation and lastly measuring and reporting on project results. The work will be accomplished by the District's Water Conservation Officer and PIO, as well as other District staff. Project incentives will include, but not limited to, those offered by MWDOC. As conservation opportunities are identified, emergency response issues and needs will also be identified in order to ensure that the identified benefits can be sustained over time, even in the midst of a natural or man-made disaster. In the course of carrying-out these user specific activities, new, generalized conservation messages will be developed and publicized.
Project Objective	To achieve a sustainable reduction of at least 20% in potable water use by the year 2020, while developing a new value system among residents, business owners and other large-scale water users that makes conservation their number one priority. Secondary objectives include measureable and sustainable reductions in urban runoff, the creation of unique water conservation messages and promotion of conservation using all forms of media outreach.

Project Benefits Information

Project Objective

Budget

Other Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Local Contribution	<input type="text" value="377000"/>
Federal Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Inkind Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Amount Requested	<input type="text" value="236000"/>
Total Project Cost	<input type="text" value="613000"/>

Geographic Information

Latitude DD(+/-) MM SS
 Longitude DD(+/-) MM SS
 Longitude/Latitude Clarification Location
 County Orange Ground Water Basin San Juan Valley Hydrologic Region South Coast WaterShed
 San Juan

Legislative Information

Assembly District	73rd Assembly District
Senate District	35th Senate District
US Congressional District	District 49 (CA)

Project Information

Project Name

Implementing Organization	
Secondary Implementing Organization	
Proposed Start Date	1/1/0001
Proposed End Date	1/1/0001
Project Scope	
Project Description	
Project Objective	

Project Benefits Information

Project Objective

Budget

Other Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Local Contribution	<input type="text" value="952263"/>
Federal Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Inkind Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Amount Requested	<input type="text" value="708554"/>
Total Project Cost	<input type="text" value="1660817"/>

Geographic Information

Latitude DD(+/-) MM SS
 Longitude DD(+/-) MM SS
 Longitude/Latitude Clarification Location
 County Orange Ground Water Basin San Juan Valley Hydrologic Region South Coast WaterShed
 San Juan

Legislative Information

Assembly District	71st Assembly District,70th Assembly District,73rd Assembly District
Senate District	33rd Senate District,35th Senate District,38th Senate District
US Congressional District	District 42 (CA),District 44 (CA),District 48 (CA)

Section : Applicant Information Question Tab

APPLICANT INFORMATION QUESTION TAB

Q1. PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION

Provide a brief abstract of the Proposal, including a listing of individual project titles. Please note which projects, if any, directly address a critical water supply or water quality issue for DACs or Native American Tribal communities.

The South Orange County Watershed Management Area (WMA) IRWM Implementation Grant Proposal proposes to meet the goals of the 2005 Adopted IRWM Plan by implementing the following projects: 1) Municipal Water District of Orange County's (MWD) Comprehensive Landscape Water Use Efficiency Program (CLWUE), 2) Audubon Starr Ranch Sanctuary's Riparian Invasion Control, Restoration, Monitoring, and Education Project (Starr Ranch Sanctuary), 3) Irvine Ranch Water District's (IRV) Baker Water Treatment Plant, 4) South Coast Water District's (SCWD) Targeted Water Conservation Program, and 5) Grant Administration. MWDOC proposes the CLWUE Project to implement a comprehensive landscape improvement program targeting residential and other commercial landscapes properties throughout the South Orange Cou WMA. Audubon Starr Ranch Sanctuary proposes the Riparian Invasion Control, Restoration, Monitoring, and Education Project to implement riparian restoration, monitoring a Golf course partnership to reduce runoff and ensure a functional native ecosystem. IRWD's proposes the Baker Water Treatment Plant to construct a new 28 mgd membr surface water treatment plant to supply potable water for the region. SCWD's Targeted water conservation program proposes to build upon and expand the water conservati

public outreach, Water Use Efficiency programs offered by MWDOC and previous successful conservation work performed by SCWD. Lastly, the County of Orange Gra Administration includes grant agreement execution with DWR, reporting, and invoicing for the proposed projects. This proposal focuses on the South Orange County WM. vision of total watershed efficiency and considers long-term regional planning for flood management; urban runoff management; watershed management; water use efficien water supply and reliability; recycled water; habitat protection, water conservation, and restoration; water quality protection and improvement; resource stewardship; and rel water resource management strategies facing the Region over the next 20 to 50 years. No Projects directly address critical water supply or water quality issues for DACs.

Q2. PROJECT DIRECTOR

Provide the name and details of the person responsible for executing the grant agreement for the applicant. Persons that are subcontractors to be paid by the grant cannot be listed as the Project Director.

MaryAnne Skorpanich Manager, OC Watersheds Maryanne.skorpanich@ocwatersheds.com

Q3. PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Provide the name and contact information of the Project Manager from the applicant agency or organization that will be the day-to-day contact on this application.

Marilyn Thoms Manager, Environmental Engineering County of Orange Public Works Marilyn.thoms@ocwatersheds.com

Q4. APPLICANT INFORMATION

Provide the agency name, address, city, state and zip code of the applicant submitting the application.

OC Watersheds OC Public Works County of Orange 2301 N. Glassell Street, Orange, CA

Q5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Provide the IRWM funding area(s) in which projects are locate.

Visit the following website to locate the IRWM funding area(s).

<http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/fundingarea.cfm>

San Diego Funding Area

Q6. DAC WAIVER COST SHARE REQUEST:

Are you applying for a DAC cost share waiver? If yes, complete attachment 10.

No

Q7. RESPONSIBLE REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD(S) (RWQCB)

List the name of the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) in which your proposal is located. For a region that extends beyond more than one RWQCB boundary, list the name of each Board.

Visit the following website to find the RWQCB for a particular location:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterboards_map.shtml

San Diego - Region 9

Q8. ELIGIBILITY

The Implementation Grant Program requires a minimum funding match of 25% of total project cost unless there is a DAC project included in the proposal. Requirements for DAC funding match reductions are included in Exhibit E of this PSP. Are your matching funds less than 25%? If so, please explain.

No

Q9. ELIGIBILITY

Does the application represent a single application from an IRWM Region approved in the RAP? To verify, see RAP website:

<http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/rap.cfm> **If yes, include the name of the IRWM Region. If no, please explain.**

Yes, South Orange County Watershed Management Area

Q10. ELIGIBILITY

Please specify whether the applicant is a local public agency or non-profit organization as defined in Appendix B of the 2012 Guidelines.

OC Watersheds Division of the County of Orange Department of Public Works is a local public agency as defined in Appendix B of the 2012 Guidelines.

Q11. ELIGIBILITY

List the urban water suppliers that will receive funding from the proposed grant. Please provide the agency name, a contact phone number and e-mail address. Those listed must submit self certification of compliance with CWC §525 et seq. and AB 1420, see Attachment 11. Answer "NA", if there are no urban water suppliers that will receive funding from the proposed grant.

Municipal Water District of Orange County - Joe Berg jberg@mwdoc.com (714) 963-3058 Irvine Ranch Water District - Kellie Welch welch@irwd.com (949) 453-5604 Sc Coast Water District - Carolyn Rathbone - crathbone@scwd.com (949) 342-1147

Q12. ELIGIBILITY

Have all of the urban water suppliers, listed in Q11 above, submitted complete Urban Water Management Plans (UWMPs), to DWR? Have those plans been verified as complete by DWR? If not, explain and provide the anticipated date for having a complete UWMP.

Answer "NA" if no urban water supplier identified in Q11 above.

Yes, all urban water suppliers have submitted complete UWMPs and they have been verified as complete.

Q13. ELIGIBILITY

Have any urban water suppliers, listed in Q11, submitted AB 1420 compliance tables and supporting documentation to DWR for a different grant program on or after January 1, 2013? If so, please list the urban water supplier and the grant program. An urban water supplier must submit AB 1420 compliance documentation to DWR. If the urban water supplier has not submitted AB 1420 documentation, or that documentation was determined to be incomplete by DWR, the urban water supplier's projects will not be considered eligible for grant funding. Refer to Section IIIB of the 2012 Guidelines for additional information.

Answer "NA" if no urban water supplier identified in Q11 above.

No urban water suppliers, listed in Q11, have submitted AB 1420 compliance tables and supporting documentation to DWR for a different grant program on or after January 2013. AB 1420 compliance tables are being submitted as part of this grant proposal.

Q14. ELIGIBILITY

Does the Proposal include any groundwater projects or other projects that directly affect groundwater levels or quality? If so, provide the name(s) of the project(s) and list the agency(ies) that will implement the project(s).

Answer "NA" if the Proposal does not include groundwater projects or other projects that directly affect groundwater levels or quality.

NA

Q15. ELIGIBILITY

For the agency(ies) listed in Q14, how has the agency complied with CWC §10753 regarding Groundwater Management Plans (GWMPs), as described in Section IIIB of the 2012 Guidelines?

Answer "NA" if the Proposal does not include groundwater projects or other projects that directly affect groundwater levels or quality.

NA

Q16. ELIGIBILITY

Does the IRWM region receive water supplied from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta? Please answer yes or no. If no, please explain.

Yes, over 90% of South Orange County Watershed Management Area's (WMA) water supply is from imported water from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta region and the Colorado River. The Municipal Water District of Orange County (MWDOC) contracts with the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (Metropolitan) a state water project contractor, to provide this imported water to South Orange County. As population continues to increase and water supplies are increasingly limited, the need to reduce dependence on imported water supply becomes even more critical.

Q17. ELIGIBILITY

Does the existing IRWM Plan help reduce dependence on the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta for water supply? Please answer yes or no. If no, please explain. If yes, please complete attachment 13.

Yes, Attachment 13 completed and attached.

Q18. ELIGIBILITY

If an update to the IRWM plan will take place in the near future, will the updated plan continue to reduce dependence on the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta for water supply? Please answer yes or no. If no, please explain. If yes, please complete Attachment 13.

Yes, a 2013 IRWM Plan Update is currently underway.

Q19. ELIGIBILITY

List the agricultural water suppliers that will receive funding from the proposed grant. Please provide the agency/organization name, a contact phone number and e-mail address. If there are none, please indicate so.

None

Q20. ELIGIBILITY

Have all of the agricultural water suppliers, listed in Q19 above, submitted complete Agricultural Water Management Plan to DWR? Have those plans been verified as complete by DWR? If the plan has not been submitted, please indicate the anticipated submittal date.

Answer "NA" if no agricultural water suppliers identified in Q19 above.

NA

Q21. ELIGIBILITY

List the surface water diverters that will receive funding from the proposed grant. Please provide the agency/organization name, a contact phone number and e-mail address. If there are none, please indicate so.

None

Q22. ELIGIBILITY

Have all of the surface water diverters, listed in Q21 above, submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board surface water diversion reports in compliance with requirements outlined in Part 5.1 (commencing with §5100) of Division 2 of the CWC? If not, explain and provide the anticipated date for meeting the requirements.

Answer "NA" if no surface water diverters identified in Q21 above.

NA

Q23. ELIGIBILITY

address. If there are none, please indicate so.

None

Q24. ELIGIBILITY

Have all of the groundwater users, listed in Q23 above, met the requirements of DWR's CASGEM Program: <http://www.water.ca.gov/groundwater/casgem/>? If not, explain and provide the anticipated date for meeting the requirements. Answer "NA" if no groundwater users identified in Q23 above.

NA

Section : Application Attachments Tab

APPLICATION ATTACHMENTS TAB

ATTACHMENT 1: AUTHORIZATION AND ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload authorization and eligibility documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att1_IG2_Eligible_1 of 2.doc, Att1_IG2_Eligible_2 of 2.pdf

Upload additional authorization and eligibility documentation here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 2: ADOPTED PLAN AND PROOF OF FORMAL ADOPTION

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload adopted plan and proof of formal adoption documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att2_IG2_Adopt_1 of 2.doc

Upload additional adopted plan and proof of formal adoption documentation here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att2_IG2_Adopt_2 of 2.pdf

Upload additional adopted plan and proof of formal adoption documentation here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 3: WORK PLAN

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload work plan documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att3_IG2_WorkPlan_1 of 7.doc, Att3_IG2_WorkPlan_6 of 7.pdf, Att3_IG2_WorkPlan_7 of 7.pdf

Upload additional work plan components here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att3_IG2_WorkPlan_2 of 7.pdf

Upload additional work plan components here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att3_IG2_WorkPlan_3 of 7.pdf

Upload additional work plan components here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att3_IG2_WorkPlan_4 of 7.pdf

Upload additional work plan components here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att3_IG2_WorkPlan_5 of 7.pdf

ATTACHMENT 4: BUDGET

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload budget documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att4_IG2_Budget_1 of 1.doc

Upload additional budget components here, if necessary.

Upload additional budget components here, if necessary.

Upload additional budget components here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 5: SCHEDULE

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload schedule documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att5_IG2_SCHED_1 of 1.pdf

Upload additional schedule components here, if necessary.

Upload additional schedule components here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 6: MONITORING, ASSESSMENT, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload monitoring, assessment, and performance measures documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att6_IG2_Measures_1 of 1.docx

Upload additional monitoring, assessment, and performance measures here, if necessary.

Upload additional monitoring, assessment, and performance measures here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 7: TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION OF PROJECT PHYSICAL BENEFITS

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload technical justification of project physical benefits documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att7_IG2_TechJust_1 of 2.docx

Upload additional technical justification of project physical benefits here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att7_IG2_TechJust_2 of 2.pdf

Upload additional technical justification of project physical benefits here, if necessary.

Upload additional technical justification of project physical benefits here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 8: BENEFITS AND COST ANALYSIS

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload benefits and cost analysis documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att8_IG2_BenCost_1 of 2.docx

Upload additional benefits and cost analysis documentation here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att8_IG2_BenCost_2 of 2.pdf

Upload additional benefits and cost analysis documentation here, if necessary.

Upload additional benefits and cost analysis documentation here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 9: PROGRAM PREFERENCES

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload program preferences documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att9_IG2_Preference_1 of 1.docx

Upload additional program preferences documentation here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 10: DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE

This attachment is required only if the proposal includes a project that specifically addresses a need of a DAC. Please refer to PSP for detail information.

If this attachment does not apply to your proposal, you MUST still upload a document that indicates this attachment is not applicable. If the upload field to this attachment is left blank, your proposal cannot be saved or completed.

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload disadvantaged community assistance documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att10_IG2_DAC_1 of 1.docx

Upload additional disadvantaged community assistance documentation here, if necessary.

Upload additional disadvantaged community assistance documentation here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 11: GWMP, AB 1420, AND WATER METER COMPLIANCE INFORMATION

If your proposal does not include 1) a groundwater project or a project that directly affects groundwater levels or quality, or 2) an urban water supplier who would receive grant funding, you MUST still upload a document that indicates this attachment is not applicable to your proposal. If the upload field to this attachment is left blank, your proposal cannot be saved or completed.

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload GWMP, AB1420, and water meter compliance documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att11_IG2_SelfCert_1 of 1.pdf

Upload additional GWMP, AB1420, and water meter compliance information documentation here, if necessary.

Upload additional GWMP, AB1420, and water meter compliance information documentation here, if necessary.

Upload additional GWMP, AB1420, and water meter compliance information documentation here, if necessary.

Upload additional GWMP, AB1420, and water meter compliance information documentation here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 12. CONSENT FORM

This attachment is required only if the proposal is utilizing an IRWM Plan that was adopted on or before September 30, 2008. The Consent Form contained in Exhibit F of the PSP must be signed and submitted in hard copy. Please refer to PSP for more information.

If this attachment does not apply to your proposal, you MUST still upload a document that indicates this attachment is not applicable. If the upload field to this attachment is left blank, your proposal cannot be saved or completed.

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload the signed consent form here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att12_IG2_Consent_1 of 1.pdf

ATTACHMENT 13: IRWM PLAN - REDUCED DELTA WATER DEPENDENCE

This attachment is required only if the IRWM region receives water supplied from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Attachment 13 must summarize the portions of the plan that address how implementation of the IRWM Plan will help reduce dependence on the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta for water supply, and include relevant plan excerpts to support the summary. Please refer to PSP for detail information.

If this attachment does not apply to your proposal, you MUST still upload a document that indicates this attachment is not applicable. If the upload field to this attachment is left blank, your proposal cannot be saved or completed.

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload the summary of IRWM Plan here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att13_IG2_Delta_1 of 2.doc,Att13_IG2_Delta_2 of 2.pdf
