

Proposal Full View

Applicant Information

Organization Name: Upper Kings Basin IRWM Authority *

Tax ID: 300591403

Proposal Name: KBWA IRWMP Implementation Projects *

Proposal Objective: The Kings Basin Authority (Authority) has prepared this application for five high priority projects within the region. The projects are consistent with the Oct. 2012 adopted IRWMP and will help address multiple Authority IRWMP Measurable Objectives, including groundwater overdraft reduction, conservation, promoting solutions to improve water quality, and serving the critical water supply needs of Disadvantaged Communities within the region. *

Budget

Other Contribution	\$0.00
Local Contribution	\$1,703,645.00
Federal Contribution	\$0.00
Inkind Contribution	\$0.00
Amount Requested	\$8,734,000.00 *
Total Project Cost	\$10,437,645.00 *

Geographic Information

Latitude * DD(+/-) 36 MM 38 SS 31

Longitude * DD(+/-) -119 MM 46 SS 22

Longitude/Latitude Clarification: Location

County: Fresno, Kings *

Ground Water Basin: San Joaquin Valley-Kings

Hydrologic Region: Tulare Lake

Watershed: 109 7551 South Valley Floor, 116 7558 South Valley Floor

Legislative Information

Assembly District: 31st Assembly District *

Senate District: 16th Senate District *

US Congressional District: District 20 (CA) *

Project Information

Project Name: Project B - Laguna Irrigation District Recharge

Implementing Organization	Upper Kings Basin IRWM Authority
Secondary Implementing Organization	Laguna Irrigation District
Proposed Start Date	10/1/2013
Proposed End Date	6/30/2015
Project Scope	Development of a 52-acre site groundwater recharge basin and canal improvements that will recharge 2650af/yr.
Project Description	The proposed project includes a 52-acre site that would be developed into a groundwater recharge basin. The site is currently developed as farmland. Kings River floodwaters would be the primary water source recharged in the basin. The conveyance capacity of Liberty Canal would be improved to increase the quantity of water that could be delivered to the site. The project will include a turnout on and check structure on Liberty Canal, flowmeters, basin with exterior levees, settling pond, outlet to Murphy Slough, and monitoring wells.
Project Objective	The motive for the proposed project is to address groundwater overdraft and beneficially use floodwaters that flow out of the region. The project will capture and recharge on average 2,650 AF of Kings River floodwater per year. As a result, the project will capture and recharge water in an area with declining groundwater levels, divert floodwater to reduce flood damage along the Kings River corridor, and create a dry-year water supply that can be pumped by private wells and used in droughts.

Project Benefits Information

Project Benefit Type	Benefit Type	Measurement	Description
Primary	Water Storage -- Groundwater-Recharge area developed	2650	Groundwater Recharge

Secondary	Water Restoration	0	Water Quality
Secondary	Flood Protection	2650	Flood Management

Project Objective

Budget

Other Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Local Contribution	<input type="text" value="302000"/>
Federal Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Inkind Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Amount Requested	<input type="text" value="906000"/>
Total Project Cost	<input type="text" value="1208000"/>

Geographic Information

Latitude DD(+/-)	<input type="text" value="36"/>	MM <input type="text" value="27"/>	SS <input type="text" value="50"/>
Longitude DD(+/-)	<input type="text" value="-119"/>	MM <input type="text" value="44"/>	SS <input type="text" value="52"/>
Longitude/Latitude Clarification	<input type="text"/>	Location	<input type="text"/>
County Fresno Ground Water Basin San Joaquin Valley-Kings Hydrologic Region Tulare Lake WaterShed 109 7551 South Valley Floor, 116 7558 South Valley Floor			

Legislative Information

Assembly District	31st Assembly District
Senate District	12th Senate District
US Congressional District	District 21 (CA)

Project Information

Project Name	Project C - Bakman Water Company Water Suj
Implementing Organization	Upper Kings Basin IRWM Authority
Secondary Implementing Organization	Bakman Water Company
Proposed Start Date	10/1/2013
Proposed End Date	12/31/2015
Project Scope	Wellhead treatment and blending from another well to address DBCP and nitrates, and installation of residential water meters.
Project Description	The project will include wellhead treatment for DBCP and blending to address nitrate levels in a well that is over the MCL. The project will provide critical water quality and water supply benefits to a DAC. The project also includes installation of water meters at all service connections within the service area and a fixed base Automatic Meter Read system to provide remote meter reading.
Project Objective	The project will provide critical water quality and water supply benefits to a DAC. The wellhead treatment will provide needed water improved water quality to an area with several contamination plumes. The meter portion of the project will provide critical water conservation to the area.

Project Benefits Information

Project Objective

Budget

Other Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Local Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Federal Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Inkind Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Amount Requested	<input type="text" value="2907000"/>
Total Project Cost	<input type="text" value="2907000"/>

Geographic Information

Latitude DD(+/-)	<input type="text" value="36"/>	MM <input type="text" value="45"/>	SS <input type="text" value="2"/>
Longitude DD(+/-)	<input type="text" value="-119"/>	MM <input type="text" value="42"/>	SS <input type="text" value="44"/>
Longitude/Latitude Clarification	<input type="text"/>	Location	<input type="text"/>
County Fresno Ground Water Basin San Joaquin Valley-Kings Hydrologic Region Tulare Lake WaterShed			

109 7551 South Valley Floor, 116 7558 South Valley Floor

Legislative Information

Assembly District	23rd Assembly District,31st Assembly District
Senate District	8th Senate District,14th Senate District
US Congressional District	District 16 (CA),District 22 (CA)

Project Information

Project Name	Project A - Fresno Irrigation District - Southwes
Implementing Organization	Upper Kings Basin IRWM Authority
Secondary Implementing Organization	Fresno Irrigation District
Proposed Start Date	10/1/2013
Proposed End Date	6/29/2015
Project Scope	Development of a 60acre groundwater banking basin and canal improvements that will create 5,080 af/yr of new water supply.
Project Description	This project includes channel improvements to the downstream-most 3 miles of the Lower Dry Creek Canal, and the construction of a 60-acre groundwater banking site. The project will recharge 5,500af/yr (average annual) and utilize existing wells to extract as much as an annual average water supply of approximately 5,500 AF. The basin will provide approximately 270 AF of flood water surface storage in the basin. Floodwater and other available surface waters will be delivered to the new basin and recharged into the aquifer. The banked water will later be pumped out using existing wells and delivered to James ID to meet existing irrigation demands. By utilizing this new supply, existing James ID surface water supplies will be able to be made available for exchange or to extend the delivery season.
Project Objective	The primary purpose of this project is to halt, and ultimately reverse, the current groundwater overdraft in the area west of Raisin City by utilizing unused regional flood water supplies available to FID, and provide for sustainable management of surface and groundwater.

Project Benefits Information

Project Benefit Type	Benefit Type	Measurement	Description
Primary	Water Storage -- Conjunctive-Water Supply Enhancement	5080	Groundwater Banking
Secondary	Water Restoration	50	water quality
Secondary	Flood Protection	60	Flood protection
Secondary	Ecosystem: Shallow Water/ Marsh/ Wetland Habitat	50	wetland habitat

Project Objective

Budget

Other Contribution	0
Local Contribution	1160000
Federal Contribution	0
Inkind Contribution	0
Amount Requested	3402000
Total Project Cost	4562000

Geographic Information

Latitude DD(+/-) 36 MM 38 SS 51
 Longitude DD(+/-) -120 MM 1 SS 30
 Longitude/Latitude Clarification Location
 County Fresno Ground Water Basin San Joaquin Valley-Kings Hydrologic Region WaterShed
 109 7551 South Valley Floor, 116 7558 South Valley Floor

Legislative Information

Assembly District	21st Assembly District
Senate District	12th Senate District
US Congressional District	District 21 (CA)

Project Information

Project Name		Project D - City of San Joaquin Water Supply R
Implementing Organization	Upper Kings Basin IRWM Authority	
Secondary Implementing Organization	City of San Joaquin	
Proposed Start Date	10/1/2013	
Proposed End Date	10/30/2015	
Project Scope	Well rehabilitation to address water quality and insufficient peak and fire flows and meter installation for conservation.	
Project Description	The proposed project will include well rehabilitation to provide critical water quality and insufficient peak and fire flows. The the installation of approximately 640 single family residential dwelling customer water meters in the City of San Joaquin is also included.	
Project Objective	The primary objective is to address the critical water quality and water supply needs of a DAC. The well rehabilitation will provide the City will address total coliform in an existing unused well that exceeds the MCL. The City is only served by two wells currently that cannot meet peak or fire flows, so the new well will provide critical supply. The conservation for water meters will help sustain the limited groundwater supply.	

Project Benefits Information

Project Benefit Type	Benefit Type	Measurement	Description
Primary	Water Restoration	0	DAC Critical Water Quality and Supply Need
Primary	Water Restoration	0	DAC Critical Water Supply Need
Secondary	Water Use Efficiency - Conservation-Water Demand/Conservation	147	Water Conservation

Project Objective

Budget

Other Contribution	0
Local Contribution	0
Federal Contribution	0
Inkind Contribution	0
Amount Requested	794066
Total Project Cost	794066

Geographic Information

Latitude DD(+/-)	36	MM 36	SS 36
Longitude DD(+/-)	-120	MM 11	SS 4
Longitude/Latitude Clarification		Location	
County Fresno Ground Water Basin San Joaquin Valley-Kings Hydrologic Region Tulare Lake WaterShed			
109 7551 South Valley Floor, 116 7558 South Valley Floor			

Legislative Information

Assembly District	31st Assembly District
Senate District	12th Senate District
US Congressional District	District 21 (CA)

Project Information

Project Name		Project E - City of Kerman Residential Water M
Implementing Organization	Upper Kings Basin IRWM Authority	
Secondary Implementing Organization	City of Kerman	
Proposed Start Date	10/1/2013	
Proposed End Date	10/30/2015	
Project Scope	This project includes installation of nearly 700 residential water meters to provide 162AF/yr of conservation.	
Project Description	The project consists of installing approximately nearly 700 residential water meters within the City of Kerman Water Distribution System.	

Project Objective

The objective is to provide critical water conservation and reduced groundwater pumping for the City, and to help the City meet the Statewide mandate for water meter installation. Reduction of demand is critical for the City to maintain the aquifer.

Project Benefits Information

Project Benefit Type	Benefit Type	Measurement	Description
Primary	Water Use Efficiency - Conservation-Water Demand/Conservation	0	Water Conservation
Primary	Water Use Efficiency - Conservation-Water Demand/Conservation	162	Water Conservation

Project Objective

Budget

Other Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Local Contribution	<input type="text" value="241645"/>
Federal Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Inkind Contribution	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Amount Requested	<input type="text" value="724934"/>
Total Project Cost	<input type="text" value="966579"/>

Geographic Information

Latitude DD(+/-) MM 43

Longitude DD(+/-) MM 4

Longitude/Latitude Clarification Location

County Fresno Ground Water Basin San Joaquin Valley-Kings Hydrologic Region Tulare Lake WaterShed

109 7551 South Valley Floor, 116 7558 South Valley Floor

Legislative Information

Assembly District	<input type="text" value="31st Assembly District"/>
Senate District	<input type="text" value="12th Senate District"/>
US Congressional District	<input type="text" value="District 21 (CA)"/>

Section : Applicant Information Question Tab

APPLICANT INFORMATION QUESTION TAB

Q1. PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION

Provide a brief abstract of the Proposal, including a listing of individual project titles. Please note which projects, if any, directly address a critical water supply or water quality issue for DACs or Native American Tribal communities.

The Kings Basin Water Authority (Authority) has prepared this application for five high priority projects within the region. The projects are consistent with the Oct. 2012 ad IRWMP and will help address multiple Authority IRWMP Measurable Objectives, including groundwater overdraft reduction, conservation, promoting solutions to improve quality, and serving the critical water supply needs of Disadvantaged Communities within the region. Fresno Irrigation District Phase 1- Southwest Groundwater Banking Pr Laguna Irrigation District Recharge Basin 11 Project Bakman Water Company Water Supply Reliability and Conservation Project City of San Joaquin Water Supply Reliab and Conservation Project City of Kerman Residential Water Meter Project

Q2. PROJECT DIRECTOR

Provide the name and details of the person responsible for executing the grant agreement for the applicant. Persons that are subcontractors to be paid by the grant cannot be listed as the Project Director.

Harry Armstrong, Board Chair Upper Kings Basin IRWM Authority 4886 East Jensen Avenue Fresno, CA 93725. p.(559) 324-2010 jadquiep@cityofclovis.com

Q3. PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Provide the name and contact information of the Project Manager from the applicant agency or organization that will be the day-to-day contact on this application.

Eric Osterling, Program Manager Upper Kings Basin IRWM Authority 4886 East Jensen Avenue Fresno, CA 93725 p.(559) 237-5567x135 f.(559) 237-5560 eosterling@krc

Q4. APPLICANT INFORMATION

Provide the agency name, address, city, state and zip code of the applicant submitting the application.

Upper Kings Basin IRWM Authority 4886 East Jensen Avenue Fresno, CA 93725

Q5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Provide the IRWM funding area(s) in which projects are locate.

Visit the following website to locate the IRWM funding area(s).

<http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/fundingarea.cfm>

Upper Kings Basin IRWM Authority is located within the Tulare/Kern (Tulare Lake) funding region. All of the projects being proposed are within the Tulare/Kern (Tulare L funding region.

Q6. DAC WAIVER COST SHARE REQUEST:

Are you applying for a DAC cost share waiver? If yes, complete attachment 10.

Yes

Q7. RESPONSIBLE REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD(S) (RWQCB)

List the name of the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) in which your proposal is located. For a region that extends beyond more than one RWQCB boundary, list the name of each Board.

Visit the following website to find the RWQCB for a particular location:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterboards_map.shtml

Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (5F)

Q8. ELIGIBILITY

The Implementation Grant Program requires a minimum funding match of 25% of total project cost unless there is a DAC project included in the proposal. Requirements for DAC funding match reductions are included in Exhibit E of this PSP. Are your matching funds less than 25%? If so, please explain.

Funding match requirement of 25% has been met.

Q9. ELIGIBILITY

Does the application represent a single application from an IRWM Region approved in the RAP? To verify, see RAP website:

<http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/rap.cfm> If yes, include the name of the IRWM Region. If no, please explain.

Yes. This application represents a single application from the Upper Kings Basin, which was approved during the 2009 RAP Decisions.

Q10. ELIGIBILITY

Please specify whether the applicant is a local public agency or non-profit organization as defined in Appendix B of the 2012 Guidelines.

Yes, the Upper Kings Basin IRWM Authority is a Joint Powers Authority. The applicant is the Upper Kings Basin Integrated Regional Water Management Authority (Autho The Authority was formed by a Joint Powers Agreement on December 11, 2008 (attached as Attachment 1b). The Authority Statement of Facts was filed with the Secretary State on August 12, 2010 (Attachment 1c). The Authority fits within the definition of a "Local Public Agency" according to Appendix B of the Proposition 84 and Proposition IRWMP Guidelines dated November 2012. The Authority is now known as the Kings Basin Water Authority.

Q11. ELIGIBILITY

List the urban water suppliers that will receive funding from the proposed grant. Please provide the agency name, a contact phone number and e-mail address. Those listed must submit self certification of compliance with CWC §525 et seq. and AB 1420, see Attachment 11. Answer "NA", if there are no urban water suppliers that will receive funding from the proposed grant.

Bakman Water Company - Tim Bakman, p. (559) 255-0324/tim@bakmanwater.com City of Kerman- Ken Moore, p. (559) 846-9388/kmoore@cityofkerman.org

Q12. ELIGIBILITY

Have all of the urban water suppliers, listed in Q11 above, submitted complete Urban Water Management Plans (UWMPs), to DWR? Have those plans been verified as complete by DWR? If not, explain and provide the anticipated date for having a complete UWMP.

Answer "NA" if no urban water supplier identified in Q11 above.

Bakman has submitted an UWMP and has received a letter from DWR stating that they have received it. The City of Kerman has also submitted an UWMP to DWR and received similar letter.

Q13. ELIGIBILITY

Have any urban water suppliers, listed in Q11, submitted AB 1420 compliance tables and supporting documentation to DWR for a different grant program on or after January 1, 2013? If so, please list the urban water supplier and the grant program. An urban water supplier must submit AB 1420 compliance documentation to DWR. If the urban water supplier has not submitted AB 1420 documentation, or that documentation was determined to be incomplete by DWR, the urban water supplier's projects will not be considered eligible for grant funding. Refer to Section IIIB of the 2012 Guidelines for additional information.

Answer "NA" if no urban water supplier identified in Q11 above.

No applications for other DWR funding programs have been submitted by the urban water suppliers in this application.

Q14. ELIGIBILITY

Does the Proposal include any groundwater projects or other projects that directly affect groundwater levels or quality? If so, provide the name(s) of the project(s) and list the agency(ies) that will implement the project(s).

Answer "NA" if the Proposal does not include groundwater projects or other projects that directly affect groundwater levels or quality.

Each of the projects is covered by and consistent with a Groundwater Management Plan that is compliant with the California Water Code requirements. Project A FID adopted GWMP on 1/26/2006 and is a member of the Fresno Area Regional GWMP. Project B Bakman WC adopted its GWMP on 3/13/2006 and is a member of the Fresno Area Regional GWMP. Project C Laguna Irrigation District adopted its GWMP on 1/8/2013. Project D City of San Joaquin adopted its GWMP on 10/13/2010. Project E City

Kerman adopted its GWMP on 3/1/2006 and is a member of the Fresno Area Regional GWMP.

Q15. ELIGIBILITY

For the agency(ies) listed in Q14, how has the agency complied with CWC §10753 regarding Groundwater Management Plans (GWMPs), as described in Section III.B of the 2012 Guidelines?

Answer "NA" if the Proposal does not include groundwater projects or other projects that directly affect groundwater levels or quality.

All of the GWMP?s comply with CWC 10753.

Q16. ELIGIBILITY

Does the IRWM region receive water supplied from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta? Please answer yes or no. If no, please explain.

Yes. The Authority includes James Irrigation District which receives water from the CVP?s Mendota Pool Unit. Contractual entitlement to 35,3000 acre-feet of CVP water v long-term water service contract subject to CVP agricultural water shortages from the CVPs Mendota Pool Unit. In addition, the City of Fresno and Fresno Irrigation District CVP contracts for water from the San Joaquin River through the Friant Kern Canal. With the proposed settlement of the San Joaquin River requiring flows to re-establish a fi along the San Joaquin River from Friant Dam to the Delta system. The re-establishment of these flows will require Friant CVP contractors to provide water supply to maint these flows, particularly in dry years. This will reduce the overall allocation of water supply made available to the City of Fresno and FID, requiring these entities to secure develop alternative supplies to meet existing demands.

Q17. ELIGIBILITY

Does the existing IRWM Plan help reduce dependence on the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta for water supply? Please answer yes or no. If no, please explain. If yes, please complete attachment 13.

Yes. See attachment 13.

Q18. ELIGIBILITY

If an update to the IRWM plan will take place in the near future, will the updated plan continue to reduce dependence on the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta for water supply? Please answer yes or no. If no, please explain. If yes, please complete Attachment 13.

Yes. See attachment 13.

Q19. ELIGIBILITY

List the agricultural water suppliers that will receive funding from the proposed grant. Please provide the agency/organization name, a contact phone number and e-mail address. If there are none, please indicate so.

Fresno Irrigation District Gary Serrato/(559) 233-7161 x7102/ gserrato@fresnoirrigation.com Laguna Irrigation District Scott Sills/(559) 923-4239/scott@lagunaid.com

Q20. ELIGIBILITY

Have all of the agricultural water suppliers, listed in Q19 above, submitted complete Agricultural Water Management Plan to DWR? Have those plans been verified as complete by DWR? If the plan has not been submitted, please indicate the anticipated submittal date.

Answer "NA" if no agricultural water suppliers identified in Q19 above.

No. FID will be submitting its USBR Water Management Plan with a supplemental plan to address the requirements of SB x 7.7. They have been drafted and are scheduled t before the Board in May, with submittal to DWR anticipated in June. Laguna ID has prepared and AWMP and has submitted it to DWR. As of the time of this application, verification of completeness has been received.

Q21. ELIGIBILITY

List the surface water diverters that will receive funding from the proposed grant. Please provide the agency/organization name, a contact phone number and e-mail address. If there are none, please indicate so.

Fresno Irrigation District Gary Serrato/(559) 233-7161 x7102/ gserrato@fresnoirrigation.com Laguna Irrigation District Scott Sills/(559) 923-4239/scott@lagunaid.com

Q22. ELIGIBILITY

Have all of the surface water diverters, listed in Q21 above, submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board surface water diversion reports in compliance with requirements outlined in Part 5.1 (commencing with §5100) of Division 2 of the CWC? If not, explain and provide the anticipated date for meeting the requirements.

Answer "NA" if no surface water diverters identified in Q21 above.

Both of the surface water diverters, FID and LID, are members of the Kings River Water Association (KRWA), which oversees Kings River entitlements and water deliver There are 28 member agencies (or "units" as they are known) of the KRWA, which as the name implies, is a private unincorporated association. There are six (6) water rig licenses on the Kings River issued by the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) that are held by KRWA for the benefit of the member units, including FID and The licenses define place of use, purpose of use, maximum diversion rates, maximum storage quantities, maximum annual beneficial use, period of diversion and storage, dive points and other specific conditions on the use of Kings River water. Historically the KRWA reported to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) the annual wa diversion and use under each license on a 3-year basis. The reporting is now done annually. The last surface water diversion report that was submitted to the SWRCB was fo 2011 calendar year. KRWA will file the 2012 water diversion and use reports under each license with the SWRCB no later than June 30, 2013.

Q23. ELIGIBILITY

List the groundwater users that will receive funding from the proposed grant. Please provide the agency/organization name, a contact phone number and e-mail address. If there are none, please indicate so.

Fresno Irrigation District - Gary Serrato/(559) 233-7161 x7102/ gserrato@fresnoirrigation.com Laguna Irrigation District - Scott Sills/(559) 923-4239/scott@lagunaid.com
Bakman Water Company Tim Bakman, p. (559) 255-0324/tim@bakmanwater.com City of San Joaquin Cruz Ramos, p. (559) 693-4311 ext 18/cruzramos@sebastiancorp.net
of Kerman Residential - Ken Moore, p. (559) 846-9388/kmoore@cityofkerman.org

Q24. ELIGIBILITY

Answer "NA" if no groundwater users identified in Q23 above.

Yes

Section : Application Attachments Tab

APPLICATION ATTACHMENTS TAB

ATTACHMENT 1: AUTHORIZATION AND ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload authorization and eligibility documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att1_IG2_Eligible_1of2.pdf

Upload additional authorization and eligibility documentation here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att1_IG2_Eligible_2of2.pdf

ATTACHMENT 2: ADOPTED PLAN AND PROOF OF FORMAL ADOPTION

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload adopted plan and proof of formal adoption documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att2_IG2_Adopt_1of2.pdf

Upload additional adopted plan and proof of formal adoption documentation here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att2_IG2_Adopt_2of2.pdf

Upload additional adopted plan and proof of formal adoption documentation here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 3: WORK PLAN

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload work plan documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att3_IG2_WorkPlan_1of3.pdf

Upload additional work plan components here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att3_IG2_WorkPlan_2of3.pdf

Upload additional work plan components here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att3_IG2_WorkPlan_3of3.pdf

Upload additional work plan components here, if necessary.

Upload additional work plan components here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 4: BUDGET

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload budget documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att4_IG2_Budget_1of2.pdf

Upload additional budget components here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att4_IG2_Budget_2of2.pdf

Upload additional budget components here, if necessary.

Upload additional budget components here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 5: SCHEDULE

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload schedule documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att5_IG2_Schedule_1of1.pdf

Upload additional schedule components here, if necessary.

Upload additional schedule components here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 6: MONITORING, ASSESSMENT, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload monitoring, assessment, and performance measures documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att6_IG2_Measures_1of2.pdf

Upload additional monitoring, assessment, and performance measures here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att6_IG2_Measures_2of2.pdf

Upload additional monitoring, assessment, and performance measures here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 7: TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION OF PROJECT PHYSICAL BENEFITS

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload technical justification of project physical benefits documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att7_IG2_TechJust_1of2.pdf

Upload additional technical justification of project physical benefits here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att7_IG2_TechJust_2of2.pdf

Upload additional technical justification of project physical benefits here, if necessary.

Upload additional technical justification of project physical benefits here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 8: BENEFITS AND COST ANALYSIS

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload benefits and cost analysis documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att8_IG2_BenCost_1of2.pdf

Upload additional benefits and cost analysis documentation here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att8_IG2_BenCost_2of2.pdf

Upload additional benefits and cost analysis documentation here, if necessary.

Upload additional benefits and cost analysis documentation here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 9: PROGRAM PREFERENCES

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload program preferences documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att9_IG2_Preference_1of1.pdf

Upload additional program preferences documentation here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 10: DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE

This attachment is required only if the proposal includes a project that specifically addresses a need of a DAC. Please refer to PSP for detail information.

If this attachment does not apply to your proposal, you MUST still upload a document that indicates this attachment is not applicable. If the upload field to this attachment is left blank, your proposal cannot be saved or completed.

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload disadvantaged community assistance documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att10_IG2_DAC_1of2.pdf

Upload additional disadvantaged community assistance documentation here, if necessary.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att10_IG2_DAC_2of2.pdf

Upload additional disadvantaged community assistance documentation here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 11: GWMP, AB 1420, AND WATER METER COMPLIANCE INFORMATION

If your proposal does not include 1) a groundwater project or a project that directly affects groundwater levels or quality, or 2) an urban water supplier who would receive grant funding, you MUST still upload a document that indicates this attachment is not applicable to your proposal. If the upload field to this attachment is left blank, your proposal cannot be saved or completed.

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload GWMP, AB1420, and water meter compliance documentation here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att11_IG2_SelfCert_1of1.pdf

Upload additional GWMP, AB1420, and water meter compliance information documentation here, if necessary.

Upload additional GWMP, AB1420, and water meter compliance information documentation here, if necessary.

Upload additional GWMP, AB1420, and water meter compliance information documentation here, if necessary.

Upload additional GWMP, AB1420, and water meter compliance information documentation here, if necessary.

ATTACHMENT 12. CONSENT FORM

This attachment is required only if the proposal is utilizing an IRWM Plan that was adopted on or before September 30, 2008. The Consent Form contained in Exhibit F of the PSP must be signed and submitted in hard copy. Please refer to PSP for more information.

If this attachment does not apply to your proposal, you MUST still upload a document that indicates this attachment is not applicable. If the upload field to this attachment is left blank, your proposal cannot be saved or completed.

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload the signed consent form here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att12_IG2_Consent_1of1.pdf

ATTACHMENT 13: IRWM PLAN - REDUCED DELTA WATER DEPENDENCE

This attachment is required only if the IRWM region receives water supplied from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Attachment 13 must summarize the portions of the plan that address how implementation of the IRWM Plan will help reduce dependence on the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta for water supply, and include relevant plan excerpts to support the summary. Please refer to PSP for detail information.

If this attachment does not apply to your proposal, you MUST still upload a document that indicates this attachment is not applicable. If the upload field to this attachment is left blank, your proposal cannot be saved or completed.

Ensure file name is consistent with Section V of the P84 Round 2 Implementation PSP.

Upload the summary of IRWM Plan here. This field is mandatory.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att13_IG2_Delta_1of1.pdf
