

Proposal Full View

Applicant Information

Organization Name California Trout ▾ *
 Tax ID **237097680**
 Proposal Name Inyo-Mono IRWMP Round 2 Planning Grant Proposal:
 Fulfilling Plan Standards through Focused Planning
 Studies and Programmatic Operations *
 Proposal Objective To respond to critical planning needs of the region as well as maintain momentum necessary to advance the Inyo-Mono IRWM Program. The Inyo-Mono IRWM Program strives to build regional capacity to more effectively manage water resources as well as become more financially self-sufficient. *

Budget

Other Contribution	\$0.00
Local Contribution	\$127,474.00
Federal Contribution	\$175,000.00
Inkind Contribution	\$57,500.00
Amount Requested	\$685,751.00 *
Total Project Cost	\$1,045,725.00 *

Geographic Information

Latitude * DD(+/-) MM SS
 Longitude * DD(+/-) MM SS

Longitude/Latitude Clarification Approximately the center of the Inyo-Mono planning region

Region
 Acceptance
 Process-
 approved
 Inyo-
 Mono
 IRWM
 Region

Location
 Kern (portion of),San Bernardino,Inyo,Mono *
 Adobe Lake Valley,Antelope Valley,Avawatz Valley,Bicycle Valley,Black Springs Valley,Bridgeport Valley,Brown Mountain Valley,Butte Valley,Cactus Flat,California Valley,Cameo Area,Coles Flat,Coso Valley,Cottonwood Spring Area,Cronise Valley,Cuddeback Valley,Darwin Valley,Death Valley,Deep Springs Valley,Denning Spring Valley,Eureka Valley,Fish Lake Valley,Fremont Valley,Gold Valley,Grass Valley,Greenwater Valley,Harrisburg Flats,Hidden Valley,Indian Wells Valley,Ivanpah Valley,Kelso Lander Valley,Leach Valley,Lee Flat,Little Antelope Valley,Long Valley,Lost Lake Valley,Lower Kingston Valley,Marble Canyon Area,Mesquite Valley,Middle Amargosa Valley,Middle Park Canyon,Mono Valley,Owens Valley,Owl Lake Valley,Pahrump Valley,Panamint Valley,Pilot Knob Valley,Race Track Valley,Red Pass Valley,Rhodes Hill Area,Riggs Valley,Rose Valley,Saline Valley,Salt Wells Valley,Santa Rosa Flat,Searles Valley,Silver Lake Valley,Slinkard Valley,Spring Canyon Valley,Superior Valley,Sweetwater Flat,Upper Kingston Valley,Wild Horse Mesa Area,Wildrose Canyon,Wingate Valley South Lahontan,North Lahontan

County

Ground Water Basin

Hydrologic Region
 Watershed 8630,8631,9601,9602,9603,9604,9605,9606,9607,9608,9609,9610,9611,9613,9620,9621,9622,9623,9624

Legislative Information

Assembly District
 Senate District
 US Congressional District

25th Assembly District,32nd Assembly District,34th Assembly District *
 1st Senate District,18th Senate District *
 District 25 (CA) *

Project Information

Project Benefits Information

Project Name

Inyo-Mono IRWMP Round 2 Planning Grant:Fulfilling Plan Standard:

Project Benefit Type	Benefit Type	Measurement	Description
Primary	Management Plans-IRWMP	0	Improved Inyo-Mono IRWM Plan to meet Plan standards and respond to planning needs
Secondary	Flood Protection	16000	Oak Creek Stream Stabilization Technical Study
Secondary	Eradication/Treatment of Invasive Species	2100000	Inyo/Mono Watersheds Invasive Plant Inventory
Secondary	River Channel Restoration	2000	West Walker River Restoration Plan
Secondary	Management Plans-Stormwater	0	Town of Mammoth Lakes Stormwater Management Plan
Tertiary	Climate Change Impacts	0	Integration of climate change into Inyo-Mono IRWM planning process
Tertiary	Other	0	Data and information management
Tertiary	Other	0	Long-term financial planning

Budget

Other Contribution	0
Local Contribution	127474
Federal Contribution	175000
Inkind Contribution	57500
Amount Requested	685751
Total Project Cost	1045725

Geographic Information

Latitude DD(+/-)	36	MM 42	SS 22
Longitude DD(+/-)	-117	MM 40	SS 19
Longitude/Latitude Clarification	Approximately the center of th		Location
			Region Acceptance Proces

County	Kern (portion of),San Bernardino,Inyo,Mono
Ground Water Basin	Adobe Lake Valley,Antelope Valley,Avawatz Valley,Bicycle V Valley,Bridgeport Valley,Brown Mountain Valley,Butte Valley Valley,Cameo Area,Coles Flat,Coso Valley,Cottonwood Spring Valley,Darwin Valley,Death Valley,Deep Springs Valley,Denn Valley,Fish Lake Valley,Fremont Valley,Gold Valley,Grass Va Flats,Hidden Valley,Indian Wells Valley,Ivanpah Valley,Kelso Flat,Little Antelope Valley,Long Valley,Lost Lake Valley,Low Area,Mesquite Valley,Middle Amargosa Valley,Middle Park C Valley,Owl Lake Valley,Pahrump Valley,Panamint Valley,Pilo Valley,Red Pass Valley,Rhodes Hill Area,Riggs Valley,Rose V Valley,Santa Rosa Flat,Searles Valley,Silver Lake Valley,Slink Valley,Superior Valley,Sweetwater Flat,Upper Kingston Valley Canyon,Wingate Valley
Hydrologic Region	North Lahontan,South Lahontan
WaterShed	8630,8631,9601,9602,9603,9604,9605,9606,9607,960

Legislative Information

Assembly District	25th Assembly District,32nd Assembly District,34th Assembly District
Senate District	1st Senate District,18th Senate District
US Congressional District	District 25 (CA)

Section : Applicant Information and Question's Tab

APPLICANT INFORMATION AND QUESTION'S TAB

Is this an application for a Regional Planning Grant or an Interregional Planning Grant?

- 1) Regional Planning Grant
- 2) Interregional Planning Grant

Q1. Proposal Description:

Provide a brief abstract of the Proposal. This abstract must provide an overview of the proposal including the main issues and priorities addressed in the proposal. Within the abstract, please state if the proposal is a regional (1 IRWM region) or interregional (more than 1 IRWM region) proposal. Please note if the Proposal will facilitate or support the participation of DAC's in the IRWM planning effort.

This Round 2 Planning Grant proposal speaks to responding to the identified planning needs of the region based on more than 100 meetings over four years with regional stakeholders. Additionally, this proposal addresses the opportunity to build on the foundation of prior work aimed at more effectively addressing regional water resources management needs in the region. Recognizing this, there is a need to maintain momentum with respect to the work necessary to operate the Inyo-Mono IRWM Program.

This will require, among other things, continued engagement of stakeholders to build stronger partnerships, diversification of funding strategies in order to realize necessary financial resources, and an ability to acquire and utilize state-of-the-art water resources-related technologies. These recognized needs are the main focus areas of this proposal. This proposal is regional in scope (one IRWM region). The Round 2 Inyo-Mono Planning Grant proposal is broken down into six main categories of activities (Chapters) deemed critical to the long-term success of the Inyo-Mono RWMG. Chapter 1 focuses on enhancing operations associated with the Inyo-Mono IRWM Program. Chapter 2 is comprised of a series of Planning Studies that respond directly to issues and priority needs of the region based on continued dialogue within the Inyo-Mono RWMG and recent public outreach meetings. Chapter 3 responds to the uncertainties of climate change and the likely impacts to the hydrology of the region. Chapter 4 addresses the continuing need to improve the technological capacity of the RWMG. Chapter 5 identifies long-term financial needs to sustain the Inyo-Mono IRWM Program. Chapter 6 focuses on the synthesis of results from Chapters 1-5 to update the Inyo-Mono IRWM Plan to more fully meet Prop. 84 IRWM Plan Standards, as well as an analysis of the concept of integration. Finally, Chapter 7 highlights planning studies that fall under Additional IRWM Plan Work and that support planning needs of the region. The Inyo-Mono IRWM Program has been committed to engaging and involving disadvantaged communities (DACs) in the IRWM planning process since the Program's inception. DACs exist throughout the region and comprise a substantial portion of the population. In the Round 1 Planning Grant, the Inyo-Mono RWMG focused on assessing needs and building capacity for DACs. In addition, the Inyo-Mono IRWM Program was awarded one of the five DAC pilot project grants, and that project will be ongoing in tandem with the Round 2 Planning Grant work. The Round 2 Planning Grant will continue to emphasize involvement by DACs in the planning process through continued focused outreach meetings to discuss climate change, technology, and funding issues, as well as continued participation in the RWMG and Administrative Committee. Three of the four proposed planning studies discussed in Chapter 2 will directly benefit DACs in the region. Total project cost is \$1,045,725. Of the total, \$685,751 is requested from DWR, with the remainder of \$359,974 being provided as match. Approximately \$235,000 (~35%) of the total funding request will support DACs.

Q2. Project Director:

Provide the name, title, agency, address, phone number, and email of the person responsible for executing the grant agreement for the applicant. Persons that are subcontractors to be paid by the grant cannot be listed as the Project Director.

Mark Drew, Ph.D. Eastern Sierra Program Manager California Trout PO Box 3442 Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546 760-924-1008 mdrew@caltrout.org

Q3. Project Manager:

Provide the name, title, agency, address, phone number, and email of the Project Manager from the applicant agency or organization that will be the day-to-day contact on this application.

Holly Alpert, Ph.D. Program Manager Inyo-Mono IRWM Program PO Box 3442 Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546 760-709-2212 holly@inyomonowater.org

Q4. Applicant Information:

Provide the agency name and address of the applicant submitting the application.

California Trout, Inc. PO Box 3442 Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546

Q5. Additional Information:

Based on the region's location, what is the applicable DWR region office (Northern, North Central, South Central, and/or Southern)? The following link can be used to view each DWR region office boundaries:

http://www.water.ca.gov/groundwater/groundwater_basics/gw_contacts_info.cfm

- a) Northern Region
 b) North Central Region
 c) South Central Region
 d) Southern Region

Q6. Additional Information:

List the name of the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) in which your Project is located. For a region that extends beyond more than one RWQCB boundary, list the name of each region.

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterboards_map.shtml

North and South Lahontan RWQCBs

Q7. Additional Information:

Name the entity(ies) providing the fund(s) reported in the application information tab (Budget section under the category "Other Contribution"). If there are no "Other Contributions" please answer this question with, "No Other Contributions".

No Other Contributions

Q8. Eligibility:

List the urban water suppliers that will receive funding from the proposed grant. Please provide the agency name, a contact phone number and email address. Those listed must submit self certification of compliance with California Water Code (CWC) §525 *et seq.* and Assembly Bill (AB) 1420, see information on Attachment 7 (PSP, Page 18). If there are none, so indicate.

No urban water suppliers will receive funding through this proposed grant.

Q9. Eligibility:

Have all of the urban water suppliers, listed in Q8 above, submitted complete 2010 Urban Water Management Plans (UWMP) to DWR? If not, explain.

No urban water suppliers will receive funding through this proposed grant.

Q10. Completeness Check:

Have all of the fields in the application been completed?

Q10. Completeness Check (cont):

If no, please explain.

Section : Application Attachments Tab

APPLICATION ATTACHMENTS TAB

Attachment 1. Authorizing Documentation

Upload authorizing documentation here. Ensure file name is consistent with section VI of the Planning Grant PSP (disregard the 5 digit pin).

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att1_PG2_CT_AuthDoc_1of1.pdf

Upload additional authorizing documentation here.

Upload additional authorizing documentation here.

Upload additional authorizing documentation here.

Attachment 2. Eligible Applicant Documentation

Upload eligible applicant documentation here. Ensure file name is consistent with section VI of the Planning Grant PSP (disregard the 5 digit pin).

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att2_PG2_CT_EligDoc_1of1.pdf

Upload additional eligible applicant documentation here.

Upload additional eligible applicant documentation here.

Upload additional eligible applicant documentation here.

Attachment 3. Work Plan

Upload the work plan here. Ensure file name is consistent with section VI of the Planning Grant PSP (disregard the 5 digit pin).

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att3_PG2_CT_WrkPln_1of1.pdf

Upload additional work plan components here.

Upload additional work plan components here.

Upload additional work plan components here.

Attachment 4. Budget

Upload Budget here. Ensure file name is consistent with section VI of the Planning Grant PSP (disregard the 5 digit pin).

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att4_PG2_CT_BUDGET_1of1.pdf

Upload additional budget components here.

Upload additional budget components here.

Upload additional budget components here.

Attachment 5. Schedule

Upload schedule here. Ensure file name is consistent with section VI of the Planning Grant PSP (disregard the 5 digit pin).

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att5_PG2_CT_SCHED_1of1.pdf

Upload additional schedule components here.

Upload additional schedule components here.

Upload additional schedule components here.

Attachment 6. Program Preferences

Upload program preferences here. Ensure file name is consistent with section VI of the Planning Grant PSP (disregard the 5 digit pin).

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att6_PG2_CT_PREF_1of1.pdf

Upload additional program preferences here.

Upload additional program preferences here.

Upload additional program preferences here.

Attachment 7. AB 1420 and Water Meter Implementation Compliance

Upload AB 1420 and Water Meter Implementation Compliance here, if applicable. Ensure file name is consistent with section VI of the Planning Grant PSP (disregard the 5 digit pin).

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att7_PG2_CT_1420_1of1.pdf

Upload additional AB 1420 and Water Meter Implementation Compliance, if applicable.

Upload additional AB 1420 and Water Meter Implementation Compliance, if applicable.

Upload additional AB 1420 and Water Meter Implementation Compliance, if applicable.