

**DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES**

1416 NINTH STREET, P.O. BOX 942836  
SACRAMENTO, CA 94236-0001  
(916) 653-5791



July 31, 2014

Ms. Jennifer Jenkins Kuszmar  
Supervising Planner, Natural Resources Planning Division  
Community Development Services Department, County of Humboldt  
3015 H Street  
Eureka, CA 95501

Plan Review Process, North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan

Dear Ms. Kuszmar:

Attached please find the Department of Water Resources (DWR) Draft Review of the North Coast IRWM Plan. Based on the plan and comment responses, DWR has determined that your IRWM Plan is consistent with the IRWM Planning Act and the related IRWM Plan Standards contained in the 2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines.

Pursuant to Appendix H of the Guidelines the public comment period for this review will begin on August 1, 2014. The Draft Review will be available for public comments for 30 calendar days on the following website: <http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/prp.cfm>.

We will inform you of any comments received. After the public comment period ends the link to the draft review will become inactive on the website. DWR will consider comments, and if necessary work with you on any resultant follow up action. DWR will finalize the review and send it to you prior to posting on our website. The final review cover letter will state the plan's applicability in satisfying existing grant agreement conditions and plan eligibility requirements for future IRWM grant solicitations.

The Draft Review consists of a summary of the overall review and an individual review form for each of the 16 Plan Standards. On the individual review forms locations in the plan are noted for applicable material. Although they may be found elsewhere in the plan, these are the locations of the requirements used to show sufficiency with a given standard.

If you have any questions, please contact Ted Daum at (916) 651-9264 or [Theodore.Daum@water.ca.gov](mailto:Theodore.Daum@water.ca.gov).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tracie L. Billington", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Tracie L. Billington, P.E. Chief  
Financial Assistance Branch  
Division of Integrated Regional Water Management

**INTRODUCTION**

IRWM planning regions must have an IRWM Plan that has been reviewed and deemed consistent with the 2012 IRWM Plan Standards by DWR for eligibility to receiving Round 3 Proposition 84 funding. This 2012 IRWM Plan Standards Review Form for DWR staff use provides a consistent means in determining whether the 2012 IRWM Guidelines are being addressed in the IRWM Plan. It is part of the Plan Review Process that will begin prior to Round 3 solicitation. The form is similar to a grant application review form in that there is a checklist for each of the 16 Plan Standards and narrative evaluations where required. However, the evaluation is pass/fail; there is no numeric scoring. Each Plan Standard is either sufficient or not based on its associated requirements. Each Standard consists of between one and fourteen requirements. A Yes or No is automatically calculated in each Plan Standard header based on the individual requirement evaluations. In general, a passing score of "C" (i.e. 70% of the requirements for a given Plan Standard) is required for a Standard to pass. Standards with only one or 2 requirements will need one or both of those requirements to pass. Standards with 3 requirements will need at least 2 of the requirements to pass. Standards with 4 or 5 requirements will need at least 3 to pass. Some plan elements are legislated requirements. Such plan elements must be met in order to be considered consistent with plan standards. A summary of the sufficiency of each Standard is automatically calculated on the Standards Summary worksheet. A "No" evaluation indicates that a Standard was not met due to insufficient requirements comprising the Standard. The evaluation for each Plan Standard and any associated insufficiencies is automatically compiled on the Standards Summary page. Additional reviewer comments may be added at the bottom of each standards work sheet.

**Note: This review form is meant to be a tool used in conjunction with the 2012 IRWM Guidelines document to assist in the evaluation of IRWM plans. It is not designed to be a substitute for the Guidelines document itself. Reviewers must use the Guidelines in determining plan consistency.**

**DEFINITION OF TABLE HEADINGS**

**IRWM Plan Standard:** As named in the November 2012 IRWM Prop 84 and 1E Guidelines.

**Overall Standard Sufficient:** This field is either "YES" or "NO" and is automatically calculated based on the "Sufficient" column described below. If all fields are "y", the the overall standard is deemed sufficient. Any entry other than a "y" in the Sufficient column (i.e. "n", "?", not sure, more detail needed, etc.) results in a NO.

**Plan Standard Requirements Which Must Be Addressed** Fields with an asterisk \* are required by legislation to be included in an IRWM Plan.

<b>Requirement</b>	Requirements are taken directly from the November 2012 Guidelines.
<b>Included</b>	Is the Guideline Requirement included in the IRWM Plan? The options are: y = yes, requirement is included in the IRWMP; or n = no, requirement is not included in the IRWMP. If only y or n then presence/absence of the requirement is sufficient for evaluation. If there is a "q" (qualitative) then add a brief narrative, similar to a Grant Application Review public evaluation or supporting information.
<b>Plan Standard Source</b>	
<b>2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)</b>	Page(s) in the Guidelines (November 2012) which pertain to the Requirement.
<b>Legislative Support and/or Other Citations</b>	The CWC or other regulations that pertain to the Requirement, <b>if applicable</b> . This is for reference purposes. The cell links to a weblink of the regulatory code.
<b>Evidence of Sufficiency</b>	
<b>Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan</b>	The page(s) or sections in the IRWM Plan where information on the Requirement can be found. This can be specific paragraphs or entire chapters for more general requirements.
<b>Brief Qualitative Evaluation Narrative</b>	Supporting information for the Requirement if a "q" is in the Included column. This can be just a few sentences or a paragraph and can be taken directly from the IRWM Plan. Comments or supporting information may be entered regardless of whether required.
<b>Sufficient</b>	Is the Guidelines requirement sufficiently represented in the IRWM Plan (y/n).

## 2012 IRWM Plan Standards Review Form

Regional Acceptance Process Planning Region: North Coast  
 Regional Water Management Group: North Coast Resource Partnership  
 IRWM Plan Title: North Coast IRWMP

### PLAN IS SUFFICIENT

IRWM Plan Standard	Overall Standard Sufficient	Requirement(s) Insufficient
<a href="#">Governance</a>	Yes	
<a href="#">Region Description</a>	Yes	
<a href="#">Objectives</a>	Yes	
<a href="#">Resource Management Strategies</a>	Yes	
<a href="#">Integration *</a>	Yes	
<a href="#">Project Review Process</a>	Yes	
<a href="#">Impact and Benefit</a>	Yes	
<a href="#">Plan Performance and Monitoring</a>	Yes	
<a href="#">Data Management</a>	Yes	
<a href="#">Finance</a>	Yes	
<a href="#">Technical Analysis</a>	Yes	
<a href="#">Relation to Local Water Planning</a>	Yes	
<a href="#">Relation to Local Land Use Planning</a>	Yes	
<a href="#">Stakeholder Involvement</a>	Yes	
<a href="#">Coordination</a>	Yes	
<a href="#">Climate Change</a>	Yes	

\* If not included as an individual section use Governance, Project Review Process, and Data Management Standards per November 2012 Guidelines, p. 44.

#### Additional Comments:

IRWM Plan Standard: Governance					Overall Standard Sufficient		Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
<b>Document a governance structure to ensure updates to the IRWM Plan</b>							
The name of the RWMG responsible for implementation of the IRWMP	y/n	y	18/35	CWC §10539	Sec. 2.1	North Coast Resource Partnership (NCRP)	y
A description of the IRWM governance structure	y/n	y	19/36		Sec. 2.1, 2.2, 2.5 & Appendix M		y
<b>A description of how the chosen form of governance addresses and ensures:</b>							
Public outreach and involvement processes	y/n/q	y	19/36-37	§10540, §10541	Sec. 2.3 & Appendix L	Section 2.3 describes the public outreach and stakeholder involvement methods used, while Appendix L lists all the stakeholders and participants involved in the planning process. These methods included: Regular public meetings of the NCRP governing and technical bodies; Public notices, meetings, and workshops; The NCRP website; Email listserve; Targeted local outreach to encourage representative participation; Interviews and surveys; Conferences and presentations; Networking; Technical assistance to project proponents; Regular NCRWMP Plan review and input. The NCRWMP has over 100 stakeholders involved in the process.	y
Effective decision making	y/n/q	y	19/37		Sec. 2.5 & Appendix M	The NCRP Policy Review Panel (PRP) conducts decision-making for matters related to the NCRWMP. PRP members are each granted one vote. The PRP makes the majority of its decisions by consensus and, in those instances where there is not consensus, the majority votes prevail, assuming a quorum (one half or more) of the PRP is present. All decisions are made by the PRP with input representing hundreds of hours of research and review from the Technical Peer Review Committee, staff, and stakeholders. The PRP welcomes public input, and agencies public comment prior to each decision at its meetings.	y
Balanced access and opportunity for participation in the IRWM process	y/n/q	y	19/37		Sec. 1.1, 1.4.2, 3.1 & 3.3	Numerous public meetings were held throughout the region to provide opportunities for engaging stakeholder and public input. Outreach efforts were made with all the tribes and DAGs in the region.	y
Effective communication – both internal and external to the IRWM region	y/n/q	y	19/37-38		Sec. 2.3, 2.4 & 3.2.1	Section 2.3 describes the internal communication methods used to solicit input and inform stakeholders during the process. Section 2.4 describes the communication methods used with surrounding IRWM regions, state and federal agencies, and other external entities.	y
Long term implementation of the IRWM Plan	y/n/q	y	19/38		Sec. 2.6	Section 2.6 describes the process for plan implementation as well as known and potential funding sources for plan implementation over a 20-year timeframe.	y
Coordination with neighboring IRWM efforts and State and federal agencies	y/n/q	y	19/38		Sec. 2.4, 1.3 & 3.3	Coordination with surrounding IRWM regions, and state and federal agencies is generally achieved via the NCRP website, email, and numerous workshops, conferences, one-on-one conversations, and academic collaborations. In addition, NCRP members also participate in efforts such as the IRWM Roundtable of Regions in order to share information with other regions and learn from their experiences. Members of the NCRP have also established long-term collaborative relationships and working partnerships with various local, state, and federal agencies. The NCRWMP incorporates applicable federal, state, regional, county, Tribal, and local water and watershed management plans.	y
The collaborative process(es) used to establish plan objectives	y/n/q	y	19/38		Sec. 4.1	The establishment of NCRWMP Plan goals and objectives was accomplished with input from the PRP, TPRC, resource agencies, and stakeholders in the North Coast Region during focused strategic planning meetings facilitated by the PRP Chair, as well as via ongoing stakeholder input to staff and PRP members at public meetings and workshops and via e-mail and phone.	y
How interim changes and formal changes to the IRWM Plan will be performed	y/n/q	y	19/38		Sec. 2.5	Section 2.5 discusses how interim changes and formal changes to the IRWM Plan will be performed.	y
Updating or amending the IRWM Plan	y/n/q	y	19/38		Sec. 2.7 & 3.2.8	Section 2.7 & 3.2.8 mainly describe historical changes, amendments, and/or updates to the plan using adaptive management principles. Section 3.2.8 goes on to describe how changes are identified, the process used to determine if they are formal or informal changes, and the process used to periodically re-adopt the plan.	y
Publish NOI to prepare/update the plan; adopt the plan in a public meeting	y/n/q	y	35	CWC §10543	Sec. 2.7.2	Section 2.7.2 discusses how the RWMG will prepare NOI and plan adoption at a public meeting.	y

IRWM Plan Standard: Region Description					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
If applicable, describe and explain how the plan will help reduce dependence on the Delta supply regionally	y/n	y	20	--			N/A
Describe watersheds and water systems	y/n	y	19/39	<a href="#">PRC §75026.(b)(1) and CWP Update 2009</a>	Sec. 5.2-5.7, & 5.9-5.12; & Appendix H, N, & P		y
Describe internal boundaries	y/n	y	19/39	--	Sec. 5.1		y
Describe water supplies and demands for minimum 20 year planning horizon	y/n	y	19/39	--	Sec. 5.8 & Appendix H & N		y
Describe water quality conditions	y/n	n	19/40	--	Sec. 5.6		y
Describe social and cultural makeup, including specific information on DACs and tribal communities in the region and their water challenges.	y/n/q	y	19/40	--	Sec. 5.14, 5.15, 6.3 & Appendix P	All of these sections combined, address the social and cultural makeup for the region, including DACs, tribes and their water challenges.	y
Describe major water related objectives and conflicts *	y/n/q	y	19/40	<a href="#">§10541. (e)(3)</a>	Chapter 6	Chapter 6 breaks down all the major water related issues, goals, objectives, and challenges in the region.	y
Explain how IRWM regional boundary was determined and why region is an appropriate area for IRWM planning.	y/n/q	y	19/40	--	Chapter 5 Introduction	Boundary is based on major watersheds and the hydrologic unit of the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Region1.	y
Describe neighboring and/or overlapping IRWM efforts	y/n	y	19/40	--	Sec. 2.4		y
Explain how opportunities are maximized (e.g. people at the table, natural features, infrastructure) for integration of water management activities	y/n	y	38	--	Sec 3.3, 5.15.3 & 6.4		y

\* Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Objectives					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Qualitative Narrative	y/n
Through the objectives or other areas of the plan, the 7 items on pg 41 of GL are addressed.*	y/n	y	20/40 - 41	<a href="#">§10540.(c)</a>	Sec 4.1, 5.3, 5.6, 5.7, 6.2, 6.3 & Appendix C		y
Describe the collaborative process and tools used to establish objectives: - How the objectives were developed - What information was considered (i.e., water management or local land use plans, etc.) - What groups were involved in the process - How the final decision was made and accepted by the IRWM effort	y/n	y	20/41	--	Sec 4.1, 5.3, 5.6, 5.7, 6.2, 6.3 & Appendix C		y
Identify quantitative or qualitative metrics and measurable objectives: Objectives must be measurable - there must be some metric the IRWM region can use to determine if the objective is being met as the IRWM Plan is implemented. Neither quantitative nor qualitative metrics are considered inherently better. *	y/n/q	y	20/41 - 42	<a href="#">10541.(e)</a>	Sec. 4.2 & Appendix F	Section 4.2 & Appendix F list the objectives followed by the quantitative or qualitative metrics used to determine if the objective is being met as implementation progresses.	y
Explain how objectives are prioritized or reason why the objectives are not prioritized	y/n/q	y	20/42-43	--	Sec. 4.1.2	The objectives are not prioritized since all the objectives are important through out the region, plus all the objectives are interrelated, and are relevant at both the local and regional scale.	y
Reference specific overall goals for the region: RWMGs may choose to use goals as an additional layer for organizing and prioritizing objectives, or they may choose to not use the term at all.	y/n	y	43	--	Sec. 4.1.2 & 4.2		y

\* Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Resource Management Strategies (RMS)					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify RMS incorporated in the IRWM Plan: Consider all California Water Plan (CWP) RMS criteria (29) listed in Table 3 from the CWP Update 2009 *	y/n	y	20/43	<a href="#">CWP Update 2009 Volume II: 10541(e)(1)</a>	Sec. 8.1		y
Consideration of climate change effects on the IRWM region must be factored into RMS	y/n	y	20/43	--			y
Address which RMS will be implemented in achieving IRWM Plan Objectives	y/n	y	44	--	Sec 10.1		y

\* Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Integration					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contains structure and processes for developing and fostering integration <sup>1</sup> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Stakeholder/institutional</li> <li>- Resource</li> <li>- Project implementation</li> </ul>	y/n/q	y	20/44 - 45	<a href="#">§10540.(g);</a> <a href="#">§10541.(h)(2)</a>	Section 1.4.6, 1.5, 4.3, 5.15.3, 6.4, & 7.3	All of these sections describe stakeholder, institutional, resource, and project integration.	y

1. If not included as an individual section use Governance, Project Review Process, and Data Management Standards per November 2012 Guidelines, p. 44.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Project Review Process					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Process for projects included in IRWM plan must address 3 components: - procedures for submitting projects - procedures for reviewing projects - procedures for communicating lists of selected projects	y/n	y	20/45		Sec 7.1; see p119-120		y
Does the project review process in the plan incorporate the following factors:							
How a project contributes to plan objectives	y/n	y	20		Sec. 7.0 Intro, & Appendix B		y
How a project is related to Resource Management Strategies identified in the plan.	y/n	y	20		Sec 7.0		Y
The technical feasibility of a project.	y/n	y	20		Section 7.0		y
A projects specific benefits to a DAC water issue.	y/n	y	20		2.1.5, Section 2 p15		Y
Environmental Justice considerations.	y/n	y	20	<a href="#">§75028.(a)</a>	Sec 7.1.4		Y
Project costs and financing	y/n	y	20		see 12.5.4, 12.6, p171		y
Address economic feasibility	y/n	y	21		see 2.1.3 (2), 2.5.2		y
Project status	y/n	y	21		Project Review and Selection Process Guidelines		Y
Strategic implementation of plan and project merit	y/n	y	21/48		Sec. 7.1.3 & 7.3		y
Project's contribution to climate change adaptation	y/n	y	21		Appendix B		y
Contribution of project in reducing GHGs compared to project alternatives	y/n	y	21		Appendix B & F		Y
Status of the Project Proponent's IRWM plan adoption	y/n	y	21		Sec 7.3		Y
Project's contribution to reducing dependence on Delta supply (for IRWM regions receiving water from the Delta).	y/n	y	21				N/A

IRWM Plan Standard: Impact and Benefit					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Discuss potential impacts and benefits of plan implementation within IRWM region, between regions, with DAC/EJ concerns and Native American Tribal communities	y/n	y	21	--	Sec 10.3-10.6		y
State when a more detailed project-specific impact and benefit analysis will occur (prior to any implementation activity)	y/n	y	49	--	Sec 10.3.3		y
Review and update the impacts and benefits section of the plan as part of the normal plan management activities	y/n	y	50	--	Sec 10.2.2		y

IRWM Plan Standard: Plan Performance and Monitoring					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contain performance measures and monitoring methods to ensure that IRWM objectives are met *	y/n	y	21/53	<a href="#">PRC §75026.(a)</a>	Sec. 11.3		y
Contain a methodology that the RWMG will use to oversee and evaluate implementation of projects.	y/n	y	21/53		Sec. 11.3		y

\* Requirement must be addressed.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Data Management					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Describe data needs within the IRWM region	y/n	y	54	--	Sec. 13.3		y
Describe typical data collection techniques	y/n	y	54	--	Appendix G		y
Describe stakeholder contributions of data to a data management system	y/n	y	54	--	Sec. 13.4		Y
Describe the entity responsible for maintaining data in the data management system	y/n	y	54	--	Sec. 13.4 & Appendix G		y
Describe the QA/QC measures for data	y/n	y	54	--	Sec. 13.4		Y
Explain how data collected will be transferred or shared between members of the RWMG and other interested parties throughout the IRWM region, including local, State, and federal agencies *	y/n	y	54	--	Sec. 13.4		Y
Explain how the Data Management System supports the RWMG's efforts to share collected data	y/n	y	54	--	Sec. 13.4		y
Outline how data saved in the data management system will be distributed and remain compatible with State databases including CEDEN, Water Data Library (WDL), CASGEM, California Environmental Information Catalog (CEIC), and the California Environmental Resources Evaluation System (CERES).	y/n	Y	54	--	Sec. 13.4		Y

\* Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Finance				Overall Standard Sufficient		Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Include a programmatic level (i.e. general) plan for implementation and financing of identified projects and programs* including the following:	y/n	y	21	<a href="#">§10541.(e)(8)</a>	Chapter 12.0		y
List known, as well as, possible funding sources, programs, and grant opportunities for the development and ongoing funding of the IRWM Plan.	y/n	y	21		Sec. 12.5		y
List the funding mechanisms, including water enterprise funds, rate structures, and private financing options, for projects that implement the IRWM Plan.	y/n	y	21		Sec. 12.5.3, 12.5.4, & Appendix K		y
An explanation of the certainty and longevity of known or potential funding for the IRWM Plan and projects that implement the Plan.	y/n	y	21		Sec 2.6		y
An explanation of how operation and maintenance (O&M) costs for projects that implement the IRWM Plan would be covered and the certainty of operation and maintenance funding.	y/n	y	21		Sec 12.3 & Appendix K		y

\* Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Technical Analysis					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document the data and technical analyses that were used in the development of the plan *	y/n	y	22	--	Appendix E & Q		y

\* Requirement must be addressed.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Relation to Local Water Planning					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify a list of local water plans used in the IRWM plan	y/n	y	22		Appendix E		y
Discuss how the plan relates to these other planning documents and programs	y/n	y	22	<a href="#">§10540.(b)</a>	Sec 9.2 & Appendix E		y
Describe the dynamics between the IRWM plan and other planning documents	y/n	y	22		Sec 9.2 & Appendix E		y
Describe how the RWMG will coordinate its water mgmt planning activities	y/n	y	58		Section 9.3 & 9.4		y

IRWM Plan Standard: Relation to Local Land Use Planning					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document current relationship between local land use planning, regional water issues, and water management objectives	y/n	y	22/59 - 62	--	Sec. 9.3		y
Document future plans to further a collaborative, proactive relationship between land use planners and water managers	y/n	y	22/59 - 62	--	Sec. 9.4-9.7		y

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Stakeholder Involvement					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contain a public process that provides outreach and opportunity to participate in the IRWM plan *	y/n	y	22/63	<a href="#">§10541.(g)</a>	Sec 2.3, 2.7 & Chapter 3		y
Identify process to involve and facilitate stakeholders during development and implementation of plan regardless of ability to pay; include barriers to involvement *	y/n	y	64	§10541.(h) (2)	Sec 2.7 & 3.2		y
Discuss involvement of DACs and tribal communities in the IRWM planning effort	y/n	y	23	--	Sec 2.1.5, 2.1.6, & 3.3		y
Describe decision-making process and roles that stakeholders can occupy	y/n	y	23	--	Sec 2.1 & 2.5		y
Discuss how stakeholders are necessary to address objectives and RMS	y/n	y	23	--	Sec 3.2, 3.3 & 4.1		y
Discuss how a collaborative process will engage a balance in interest groups	y/n	y	23	--	Sec 3.2, 3.3, 5.15.3 & 6.4		y

\* Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Coordination					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify the process to coordinate water management projects and activities of participating local agencies and stakeholders to avoid conflicts and take advantage of efficiencies *	y/n	y	23/65	<a href="#">§10541.(e)(13)</a>	Sec. 5.15, 6.4, 9.3-9.7 & 12.4		y
Identify neighboring IRWM efforts and ways to cooperate or coordinate, and a discussion of any ongoing water management conflicts with adjacent IRWM efforts	y/n	y	23/65	--	Sec. 2.4		y
Identify areas where a state agency or other agencies may be able to assist in communication or cooperation, or implementation of IRWM Plan components, processes, and projects, or where State or federal regulatory decisions are required before implementing the projects.	y/n	y	23	--	Sec. 2.4		y

\* Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Climate Change				Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes		
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source	Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient		
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Evaluate IRWM region's vulnerabilities to climate change and potential adaptation responses based on vulnerabilities assessment in the DWR Climate Change Handbook for Regional Water Planning *	y/n	y	23/66 - 73	Climate Change Handbook vulnerability assessment: <a href="http://www.water.ca.gov/climatechange/CCHandbook.cfm">http://www.water.ca.gov/climatechange/CCHandbook.cfm</a> ; November 2012 Guidelines Legislative and Policy Context, p. 66 §10541.(e)(11)	App. N		y
Provide a process that considers GHG emissions when choosing between project alternatives *	y/n	y	23/68		App. B & F		y
Include a list of prioritized vulnerabilities based on the vulnerability assessment and the IRWM's decision making process.	y/n	y	23/66 - 73		App. N		y
Contain a plan, program, or methodology for further data gathering and analysis of prioritized vulnerabilities	y/n	y	23/66 - 73		App. N		y
Include climate change as part of the project review process	y/n	y	23/68		App. B		y

\* Requirement must be addressed.

Regulatory Citation	Link	Notes
IRWM Prop 84 and 1E Guidelines	<a href="http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/docs/Guidelines/GL_2012_FINAL.pdf">http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/docs/Guidelines/GL_2012_FINAL.pdf</a>	DWR November 2012 Guidelines - Final
CWC §10539	<a href="http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&amp;group=10001-11000&amp;file=10532-10539">http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&amp;group=10001-11000&amp;file=10532-10539</a>	
CWC §10540, §10541	<a href="http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&amp;group=10001-11000&amp;file=10540-10543">http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&amp;group=10001-11000&amp;file=10540-10543</a>	
CWC §10543	<a href="http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&amp;group=10001-11000&amp;file=10540-10543">http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&amp;group=10001-11000&amp;file=10540-10543</a>	
PRC §75026, §75028, CWP Update 2009, and California Watershed Portal	<a href="http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=prc&amp;group=75001-76000&amp;file=75020-75029.5">http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=prc&amp;group=75001-76000&amp;file=75020-75029.5</a>	The Department of Water Resources shall give preference to proposals that satisfy the criteria specified in PRC §75026.(b)(1). §75028.(a) - the department shall defer to approved local project selection, and review projects only for consistency with the purposes of Section 75026.
	<a href="http://www.waterplan.water.ca.gov/cwpu2009/index.cfm">http://www.waterplan.water.ca.gov/cwpu2009/index.cfm</a>	2009 California Water Plan Volumes I and II
	<a href="http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/watershedportal/Pages/Index.aspx">http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/watershedportal/Pages/Index.aspx</a>	California Watershed Portal
§10541. (e)(3)	<a href="http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&amp;group=10001-11000&amp;file=10540-10543">http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&amp;group=10001-11000&amp;file=10540-10543</a>	