STATE OF CALIFORNIA – CALIFORNIA NATURAL RESOURCES AGENCY

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., *Governor*

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
1416 NINTH STREET, P.O. BOX 942836
SACRAMENTO, CA 94236-0001
(916) 653-5791

August, 15 2014

Ms. Carolyn Berg
IRWM Program Manager
County of San Luis Obispo, Public Works Department
County Government Center, Rm 206
San Luis Obispo, California 93408

Subject: Plan Review Process, San Luis Obispo County Integrated Regional Water Management Plan

Dear Ms. Berg,

Attached please find the Department of Water Resources (DWR) Draft Review of the San Luis Obispo County IRWM Plan. DWR has determined that your IRWM Plan is consistent with the IRWM Planning Act and the related IRWM Plan Standards contained in the 2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines.

Pursuant to Appendix H of the Guidelines the public comment period for this review will begin on August 15, 2014. The Draft Review will be available for public comments for 30 calendar days on the following website:http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/prp.cfm.

We will inform you of any comments received. After the public comment period ends the link to the draft review will become inactive on the website. DWR will consider comments, and if necessary work with you on any resultant follow up action. DWR will finalize the review and send it to you prior to posting on our website. The final review cover letter will state the plan's applicability in satisfying existing grant agreement conditions and plan eligibility requirements for future IRWM grant solicitations.

The Draft Review consists of a summary of the overall review and an individual review form for each of the 16 Plan Standards. On the individual review forms locations in the plan are noted for applicable material. Although they may be found elsewhere in the plan, these are the locations of the requirements used to show sufficiency with a given standard.

If you have any questions, please contact Ted Daum at (916) 651-9264 or Theodore.Daum@water.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Tracie L. Billington, P.E. Chief
Financial Assistance Branch
Division of Integrated Regional Water Management

INTRODUCTION

IRWM planning regions must have an IRWM Plan that has been reviewed and deemed consistent with the 2012 IRWM Plan Standards by DWR for eligibilty to receiving Round 3 Proposition 84 funding. This 2012 IRWM Plan Standards Review Form for DWR staff use provides a consistent means in determining whether the 2012 IRWM Guidelines are being addressed in the IRWM Plan. It is part of the Plan Review Process that will begin prior to Round 3 solicitation. The form is similar to a grant application review form in that there is a checklist for each of the 16 Plan Standards and narrative evaluations where required. However, the evaluation is pass/fail; there is no numeric scoring. Each Plan Standard is either sufficient or not based on its associated requirements. Each Standard consists of between one and fourteen requirements. A Yes or No is automatically calculated in each Plan Standard header based on the individual requirement evaluations. In general, a passing score of "C" (i.e. 70% of the requirements for a given Plan Standard) is required for a Standard to pass. Standards with only one or 2 requirements will need one or both of those requirements to pass. Standards with 3 requirements will need at least 2 of the requirements to pass. Standards with 4 or 5 requirements will need at least 3 to pass. Some plan elements are legislated requirements. Such plan elements must be met in order to be considered consistent with plan standards. A summary of the sufficiency of each Standard is automatically calculated on the Standards Summary worksheet. A "No" evaluation indicates that a Standard was not met due to insufficient requirements comprising the Standard. The evaluation for each Plan Standard and any associated insufficiencies is automatically compiled on the Standards Summary page. Additional reviewer comments may be added at the bottom of each standards work sheet.

Note: This review form is meant to be a tool used in conjunction with the 2012 IRWM Guidelines document to assist in the evaluation of IRWM plans. It is not designed to be a substitute for the Guidelines document itself. Reviewers must use the Guidelines in determining plan consistency.

DEFINITION OF TABLE HEADINGS

IRWM Plan Standard: As named in the November 2012 IRWM Prop 84 and 1E Guidlelines.

Overall Standard Sufficient: This field is either "YES" or "NO" and is automatically calculated based on the "Sufficient" column described below. If all fields are "y", the the overall standard is deemed sufficient. Any entry other than a "y" in the Sufficient column (i.e. "n", ?, not sure, more detail needed, etc.) results in a NO.

Plan Standard Requirements Which Must Be Addressed **Fields with an asterisk * are required by legislation to be included in an IRWM Plan.**

Requirement	Requirements are taken directly from the November 2012 Guidelines.
Included	Is the Guideline Requirement included in the IRWM Plan? The options are: y = yes, requirement is included in the IRWMP; or n = no, requirement is not included in the IRWMP. If only y or n then presence/absence of the requirement is sufficient for evaluation. If there is a "q" (qualitative) then add a brief narrative, similar to a Grant Application Review public evaluation or supporting information.
Plan Standard Source	
2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Page(s) in the Guidelines (November 2012) which pertain to the Requirement.
Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	The CWC or other regulations that pertain to the Requirement, **if applicable**. This is for reference purposes. The cell links to a weblink of the regulatory code.
Evidence of Sufficiency	
Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	The page(s) or sections in the IRWM Plan where information on the Requirement can be found. This can be specific paragraphs or entire chapters for more general requirements.
Brief Qualitative Evaluation Narrative	Supporting information for the Requirement if a "q" is in the Included column. This can be just a few sentences or a paragraph and can be taken directly from the IRWM Plan. Comments or supporting information may be entered regardless of whether required.
Sufficient	Is the Guidelines requirement sufficiently represented in the IRWM Plan (y/n).

2012 IRWM Plan Standards Review Form

Regional Acceptance Process Planning Region: San Luis Obispo County

Regional Water Management Group: San Luis Obispo RWMG

IRWM Plan Title: San Luis Obispo County 2014 Integrated Regional Water Management Plan

PLAN IS SUFFICIENT

IRWM Plan Standard	Overall Standard Sufficient	Requirement(s) Insufficient
Governance	Yes	
Region Description	Yes	
Objectives	Yes	
Resource Management Strategies	Yes	
Integration *	Yes	
Project Review Process	Yes	
Impact and Benefit	Yes	
Plan Performance and Monitoring	Yes	
Data Management	Yes	
Finance	Yes	
Technical Analysis	Yes	
Relation to Local Water Planning	Yes	
Relation to Local Land Use Planning	Yes	
Stakeholder Involvement	Yes	
Coordination	Yes	
Climate Change	Yes	

* If not included as an individual section use Governance, Project Review Process, and Data Management Standards per November 2012 Guidelines, p. 44.

Additional Comments:

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Governance						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	**Included**		**Plan Standard Source**			**Evidence of Sufficiency**	**Sufficient**
From IRWM Guidelines	**y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.**		**2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)**	**Regulatory and/or Other Citations**	**Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan**	**Brief Evaluation Narrative**	**y/n**
Document a governance structure to ensure updates to the IRWM Plan							
The name of the RWMG responsible for implementation of the IRWMP	**y/n**	y	**18/35**	CWC §10539	B.2, A1.2, A1.3		y
A description of the IRWM governance structure	**y/n**	y	**19/36**		B.3, Figure B-3		y
A description of how the chosen form of governance **addresses** and **ensures**:							
Public outreach and involvement processes	**y/n/q**	y	**19/36-37**	§10540, §10541	B.4, App C	A Communications and Outreach Plan (adopted by the RWMG in May, 2013) was developed to guide on how communication will flow and be managed through the life of the IRWM Program.	y
Effective decision making	**y/n/q**	y	**19/37**		B.3.2	Decisions are made by simple majority vote at the RWMG meetings and then elevated to the Lead Agency Board of Supervisors as needed for final approval and coordination with DWR.	y
Balanced access and opportunity for participation in the IRWM process	**y/n/q**	y	**19/37**		B.2, B.3, B.4.6	The Governance structure shows four levels of participation involving all stakeholders and interested groups.	y
Effective communication – both internal and external to the IRWM region	**y/n/q**	y	**19/37-38**		B.4.1, App C	There is no mention of effective communication external to the IRWM region.	y
Long term implementation of the IRWM Plan	**y/n/q**	y	**19/38**		B.5	Section B.5 discusses the long-term implementation of the Plan. Also, IRWM Plan implementation will be monitored as discussed in Section J, Plan Performance and Monitoring.	y
Coordination with neighboring IRWM efforts and State and federal agencies	**y/n/q**	y	**19/38**		B.5.4, Section O	Section B.5.4 and Section O discuss the coordination efforts with neighboring IRWMs and state and federal agencies. They participate in the Rountable of Regions meeting conference calls, and meet with neighboring IRWMs that overlap and have similar water-related concerns. They coordinate with USACE, RWQCB, SWB, and DWR; they need better partnerships and coordination with DFW and USFWS.	y
The collaborative process(es) used to establish plan objectives	**y/n/q**	y	**19/38**		E.1, App C	Section E.1 and the Communications and Outreach Plan discusses the collaboration efforts and processes that were used to vet and establish Plan Objectives. Section E.1 specifically discusses the process and determination of the goals and objectives and how they were envisioned and developed with stakeholder input throughout the process.	y
How interim changes and formal changes to the IRWM Plan will be performed	**y/n/q**	y	**19/38**		B.5.2, Figure B-5	Section B.5.2 discusses how interim and formal changes to the IRWM Plan will be performed, including the process for updating the lists of projects.	y
Updating or amending the IRWM Plan	**y/n/q**	y	**19/38**		B.5.2, Figure B-5	Figure B- 5 illustrates the Plan Update schedule and shows the activates that require Plan re-adoption	y
Publish NOI to prepare/update the plan; adopt the plan in a public meeting	**y/n/q**	y	**35**	CWC §10543	B.5.1, B.5.3	Section B.5.1 describes the IRWM's effort for publishing an NOI for preparing the Plan and Section B.5.3 discusses the process for publishing an NOI and adopting the Plan in a public meeting.	y

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Region Description						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
If applicable, describe and explain how the plan will help reduce dependence on the Delta supply regionally	y/n	y	20	--	C.8.6.1, D	The Plan discusses the region's effort to increase water reliability by diversifying communities' water portfolios (desalination, recycling, use reduction). This effort is as a result of demand increase and deficiencies in their water contract.	y
Describe watersheds and water systems	y/n	y	19/39	PRC §75026.(b)(1) and CWP Update 2009	C.7, C.5, C.8		y
Describe internal boundaries	y/n	y	19/39	--	C.2		y
Describe water supplies and demands for minimum 20 year planning horizon	y/n	y	19/39	--	D (all)		y
Describe water quality conditions	y/n	y	19/40	--	C.9		y
Describe social and cultural makeup, including specific information on DACs and tribal communities in the region and their water challenges.	y/n/q	y	19/40	--	C.5, C.15.1	DACs and their water issues are discussed in the description of Water Planning Areas. Tribes are discussed in Section C.15.1. However, the plan does not include a discussion of tribal water challenges.	Y
Describe major water related objectives and conflicts *	y/n/q	y	19/40	§10541. (e)(3)	C.13, E.5, Table E-11	C.13 discusses the water issues and challenges for all three sub-regions. Sub-region priorities are set by the local objectives.	y
Explain how IRWM regional boundary was determined and why region is an appropriate area for IRWM planning.	y/n/q	y	19/40	--	C.2	Defining the IRWM region as the County has enabled them to use existing infrastructure management systems, funding mechanisms, partnerships, and planning documents, and seems to have made it more efficient overall.	y
Describe neighboring and/or overlapping IRWM efforts	y/n	y	19/40	--	O.2, C.17		y
Explain how opportunities are maximized (e.g. people at the table, natural features, infrastructure) for integration of water management activities	y/n	y	38	--	H, O.1		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Objectives						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Qualitative Narrative	y/n
Through the objectives or other areas of the plan, the 7 items on pg 41 of GL are addressed.*	**y/n**	y	**20/40 - 41**	§10540.(c)	E.1.2.3, E.2, Table E-4		y
Describe the collaborative process and tools used to establish objectives: - How the objectives were developed - What information was considered (i.e., water management or local land use plans, etc.) - What groups were involved in the process - How the final decision was made and accepted by the IRWM effort	**y/n**	y	**20/41**	--	E.1		y
Identify quantitative or qualitative metrics and measureable objectives: Objectives must be measurable - there must be some metric the IRWM region can use to determine if the objective is being met as the IRWM Plan is implemented. Neither quantitative nor qualitative metrics are considered inherently	**y/n/q**	y	**20/41 - 42**	10541.(e)	E.4, Tables E-6 to E-10	E.4 provides the intended qualitative and quantitative metrics, as appropriate and practical, for each objective. The qualitative and quantitative measurements for each of the objectives is shown in Tables E-6 through E-10, broken down by the 5 Plan Goals.	y
Explain how objectives are prioritized or reason why the objectives are not prioritized	**y/n/q**	y	**20/42-43**	--	E.5	The RWMG has decided not to prioritize the IRWM Plan Objectives on a regional level, but to prioritize locally driven objectives that are tied to the IRWM Plan's Objectives at the designated sub-regional level.	y
Reference specific overall goals for the region: RWMGs may choose to use goals as an additional layer for organizing and prioritizing objectives, or they may choose to not use the term at all.	**y/n**	y	**43**	--	E.2, Figure E-7		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Resource Management Strategies (RMS)						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify RMS incorporated in the IRWM Plan: Consider all California Water Plan (CWP) RMS criteria (29) listed in Table 3 from the CWP Update 2009 *	**y/n**	y	**20/43**	CWP Update 2009 Volume II; 10541(e)(1)	F.2, Table F-1	The RWMG developed a list of SLO Region Water Management Strategies (WMS) after review of each RMS individually. The WMSs are tailored to reflect local conditions.	y
Consideration of climate change effects on the IRWM region must be factored into RMS	**y/n**	y	**20/43**	--	Tables E-11, F-1; F.2.2, F.3; pp. H-6 & H-8; App. F	While this element is sufficently addressed, it is recommend that the CC elements (Adapting to CC) for the strategies in Appendix F be copied into a separate appendix or sub-appendix or even sub-section of the Plan to improve the discussion on linkages of resource/water mgt strategies in addressing CC, which are not obvious in the body of the Plan.	y
Address which RMS will be implemented in achieving IRWM Plan Objectives	**y/n**	y	**44**	--	F.3, Table F-2, Appendix F		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Integration						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contains structure and processes for developing and fostering integration [1]: - Stakeholder/institutional - Resource - Project implementation	y/n/q	y	20/44 - 45	§10540.(g); §10541.(h)(2)	Section H	Figure H-1 - Project integration process; High priority projects are considered in the context of regional objectives and then integrated through the 12 Project Elements consideration. Figure H-2 - illustration of Stakeholder/institutional and resource integration.	y

1. If not included as an individual section use Governance, Project Review Process, and Data Management Standards per November 2012 Guidelines, p. 44.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Project Review Process						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	**Included**		**Plan Standard Source**		**Evidence of Sufficiency**		**Sufficient**
From IRWM Guidelines	**y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.**		**2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)**	**Regulatory and/or Other Citations**	**Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan**	**Brief Evaluation Narrative**	**y/n**
Process for projects included in IRWM plan must address 3 components: - procedures for submitting projects - procedures for reviewing projects - procedures for communicating lists of selected projects	**y/n**	y	**20/45**		G.2, Figure G-2		y
Does the project review process in the plan incorporate the following factors:							
How a project contributes to plan objectives	**y/n**	y	**20**		G.2.2		y
How a project is related to Resource Management Strategies identified in the plan.	**y/n**	y	**20**		G.2.5, App G-5, Table H-1		y
The technical feasibility of a project.	**y/n**	y	**20**		G.3.1, Appendix G-2		y
A projects specific benefits to a DAC water issue.	**y/n**	y	**20**		G.3, App G-5		y
Environmental Justice considerations.	**y/n**	y	**20**		G.3, App G-5		y
Project costs and financing	**y/n**	y	**20**		G.3, App G-5		y
Address economic feasibility	**y/n**	y	**21**	§75028.(a)	G.3, App G-5		y
Project status	**y/n**	y	**21**		G.3, App G-5		y
Strategic implementation of plan and project merit	**y/n**	y	**21/48**		G.3, App G-5		y
Project's contribution to climate change adaptation	**y/n**	y	**21**		Chs. P-13.1, P-13.3; Table P-7; App. G-3 (project abstract reports) & project review forms		y
Contribution of project in reducing GHGs compared to project alternatives	**y/n**	y	**21**		P-13.2, P-13.3; Table P-9; App. G-3 (project abstract reports) & project review forms		y
Status of the Project Proponent's IRWM plan adoption	**y/n**	y	**21**		B.5.3, Figure 5-3		y
Project's contribution to reducing dependence on Delta supply (for IRWM regions receiving water from the Delta).	**y/n**	y	**21**		G.3, Appendix G-5		y

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Impact and Benefit						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Discuss potential impacts and benefits of plan implementation within IRWM region, between regions, with DAC/EJ concerns and Native American Tribal communities	**y/n**	y	**21**	--	Table I-6		y
State when a more detailed project-specific impact and benefit analysis will occur (prior to any implementation activity)	**y/n**	y	**49**	--	G.3, Appendix G-5, I.2		y
Review and update the impacts and benefits section of the plan as part of the normal plan management activities	**y/n**	y	**50**	--	I (entire section), Figure Q-4		y

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Plan Performance and Monitoring						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contain performance measures and monitoring methods to ensure that IRWM objectives are met *	y/n	y	21/53	PRC §75026.(a)	J.2, Table J-1 to J-5	Section E discusses the Goals and Objectives of the Plan and provide a quantitative or qualitative performance measure to assess the Objectives. Section J.2 and Tables J-1 through J-5 combine the measures and discuss the monitoring methodology for each objective.	y
Contain a methodology that the RWMG will use to oversee and evaluate implementation of projects.	y/n	y	21/53		J.4, J.4.2		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Data Management						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Describe data needs within the IRWM region	y/n	y	54	--	K.2, K.3, Table K-2		y
Describe typical data collection techniques	y/n	y	54	--	K.4, Table K-2		y
Describe stakeholder contributions of data to a data management system	y/n	y	54	--	K.4, K.8.3, K.8.4		y
Describe the entity responsible for maintaining data in the data management system	y/n	y	54	--	K.1, K.8.4		y
Describe the QA/QC measures for data	y/n	y	54	--	K.8.1.1		y
Explain how data collected will be transferred or shared between members of the RWMG and other interested parties throughout the IRWM region, including local, State, and federal agencies *	y/n	y	54	--	K.4, K.4.1, K.7, K.8.1	K.7 Provides a brief overview of the potential transition of the existing DMS to a GIS-based DMS used for monitoring data throughout the IRWM Region.	y
Explain how the Data Management System supports the RWMG's efforts to share collected data	y/n	y	54	--	K.7		y
Outline how data saved in the data management system will be distributed and remain compatible with State databases including CEDEN, Water Data Library (WDL), CASGEM, California Environmental Information Catalog (CEIC), and the California Environmental Resources Evaluation System (CERES).	y/n	y	54	--	K.4.1, K.8.1	The District currently participates in state data management programs (e.g., CASGEM groundwater elevations, GeoTracker local remedial clean-up sites, etc.).	y

* Requirement must be addressed.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Finance						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Include a programmatic level (i.e. general) plan for implementation and financing of identified projects and programs* including the following:	y/n	y	21		Section L	Section L provides an overview of Federal, State and local fundings for the long-term development and funding of the IRWM Plan implementation.	y
List known, as well as, possible funding sources, programs, and grant opportunities for the development and ongoing funding of the IRWM Plan.	y/n	y	21		Section L, Tables L-4 through L-13		y
List the funding mechanisms, including water enterprise funds, rate structures, and private financing options, for projects that implement the IRWM Plan.	y/n	y	21	§10541.(e)(8)	L.2		y
An explanation of the certainty and longevity of known or potential funding for the IRWM Plan and projects that implement the Plan.	y/n	y	21		L.2 and L.3		y
An explanation of how operation and maintenance (O&M) costs for projects that implement the IRWM Plan would be covered and the certainty of operation and maintenance funding.	y/n	y	21		L.2 and Appendix G-5		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Technical Analysis						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document the data and technical analyses that were used in the development of the plan *	y/n	y	22	--	Section M		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Relation to Local Water Planning						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify a list of local water plans used in the IRWM plan	y/n	y	22	§10540.(b)	N.1, N.2, and Table N-1		y
Discuss how the plan relates to these other planning documents and programs	y/n	y	22		N.1, N.2, and Table N-1		y
Describe the dynamics between the IRWM plan and other planning documents	y/n	y	22		N.6		y
Describe how the RWMG will coordinate its water mgmt planning activities	y/n	y	58		N.7, Tables N-4 and N-5		y

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Relation to Local Land Use Planning						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document current relationship between local land use planning, regional water issues, and water management objectives	y/n	y	22/59 - 62	--	Section N		y
Document future plans to further a collaborative, proactive relationship between land use planners and water managers	y/n	y	22/59 - 62	--	N.6 and N.7.1, and Table N-5		y

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Stakeholder Involvement						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contain a public process that provides outreach and opportunity to participate in the IRWM plan *	**y/n**	y	**22/63**	§10541.(g)	B.4, Appendix C	Section B.4 discusses the stakeholder involvement and public outreach process, and the Communications and Outreach Plan (Appendix C) elaborates on the processes.	y
Identify process to involve and facilitate stakeholders during development and implementation of plan regardless of ability to pay; include barriers to invlovement *	**y/n**	y	**64**	§10541.(h) (2)	B.4, Appendix C	-Communications and Outreach Plan -Program Management Team (PMT) -RWMG Working Group and Workshops -Public Outreach to Disadvantaged Communities (DACs)	y
Discuss involvement of DACs and tribal communities in the IRWM planning effort	**y/n**	y	**23**	--	B.4, B.4.8, Appendix C		y
Describe decision-making process and roles that stakeholders can occupy	**y/n**	y	**23**	--	B.3.2, Appendix C		y
Discuss how stakeholders are necessary to address objectives and RMS	**y/n**	y	**23**	--	B.4.3, Appendix C		y
Discuss how a collaborative process will engage a balance in interest groups	**y/n**	y	**23**	--	B.2, Figure B-2, Appendix C		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Coordination						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify the process to coordinate water management projects and activities of participating local agencies and stakeholders to avoid conflicts and take advantage of efficiencies *	**y/n**	y	**23/65**	§10541.(e)(13)	O.1, O.5	The Plan discusses pre-project coordination and early engagement in addition to the the IRWM Plan's outreach program to avoid conflicts.	y
Identify neighboring IRWM efforts and ways to cooperate or coordinate, and a discussion of any ongoing water management conflicts with adjacent IRWM efforts	**y/n**	y	**23/65**	--	O.2, O.5		y
Identify areas where a state agency or other agencies may be able to assist in communication or cooperation, or implementation of IRWM Plan components, processes, and projects, or where State or federal regulatory decisions are required before implementing the projects.	**y/n**	y	**23**	--	O.3, Table O-1, Table O-2, Table O-3		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Climate Change						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Evaluate IRWM region's vulnerabilities to climate change and potential adaptation responses based on vulnerabilites assessment in the DWR Climate Change Handbook for Regional Water Planning *	**y/n**	y	**23/66 - 73**	Climate Change Handbook vulnerability assessment: http://www.water.ca.gov/climatechange/CCHandbook.cfm; November 2012 Guidelines Legislative and Policy Context, p. 66 §10541.(e)(11)	Table P-1; P-10 (including P-10.1, 10.2, & 10.3); Table E-11		y
Provide a process that considers GHG emissions when choosing between project alternatives *	**y/n**	y	**23/68**		P-13.2, P-13.3; Table P-9; App. G-3 (project abstract reports) & project review forms		y
Include a list of prioritized vulnerabilites based on the vulnerability assessment and the IRWM's decision making process.	**y/n**	y	**23/66 - 73**		Table P-6; P-10.1, 10.2, & 10.3	Vulnerabilities prioritized in Table P-6 and further discussed in detail by sub-region in Chs. P-10.1, 10.2, & 10.3. However, what's missing is the link to the IRWM decision-making process on how the priorities were determined. Evidence of stakeholder involvement for the CC process in meeting information found in App. D. Recommend inserting a statement on what was involved in the decision-making and connect with other sections of the Plan (e.g., Ch. C.13).	y
Contain a plan, program, or methodology for further data gathering and analysis of prioritized vulnerabilities	**y/n**	y	**23/66 - 73**		P-12.1; Tables J-1 & J-2		y
Include climate change as part of the project review process	**y/n**	y	**23/68**		P-13.1, P-13.3; Table P-7; App. G-3 (project abstract reports) & project review forms		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

DRAFT

Regulatory Citation	Link	Notes
IRWM Prop 84 and 1E Guidelines	http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/docs/Guidelines/GL_2012_FINAL.pdf	DWR November 2012 Guidelines - Final
CWC §10539	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10532-10539	
CWC §10540, §10541	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540-10543	
CWC §10543	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540-10543	
PRC §75026, §75028, CWP Update 2009, and California Watershed Portal	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=prc&group=75001-76000&file=75020-75029.5	The Department of Water Resources shall give preference to proposals that satisfy the criteria specified in PRC §75026.(b)(1). §75028.(a) - the department shall defer to approved local project selection, and review projects only for consistency with the purposes of Section 75026.
	http://www.waterplan.water.ca.gov/cwpu2009/index.cfm	2009 California Water Plan Volumes I and II
	http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/watershedportal/Pages/Index.aspx	California Watershed Portal
§10541. (e)(3)	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540-10543	