

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1416 NINTH STREET, P.O. BOX 942836
SACRAMENTO, CA 94236-0001
(916) 653-5791



August 15, 2014

Mr. Ara Azhderian
Water Policy Administrator
San Luis and Delta-Mendota Water Authority
Post Office Box 2157
Los Banos, California 93635

Subject: Plan Review Process, Westside Integrated Water Resources Integrated Regional Water Management Plan

Dear Mr. Azhderian:

Attached please find the Department of Water Resources (DWR) Draft Review of the Westside Integrated Water Resources Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Plan. DWR has determined that your IRWM Plan is consistent with the IRWM Planning Act and the related IRWM Plan Standards contained in the 2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines.

Pursuant to Appendix H of the Guidelines the public comment period for this review will begin on August 15, 2014. The Draft Review will be available for public comments for 30 calendar days on the following website: <http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/prp.cfm>.

We will inform you of any comments received. After the public comment period ends the link to the draft review will become inactive on the website. DWR will consider comments, and if necessary work with you on any resultant follow up action. DWR will finalize the review and send it to you prior to posting on our website. The final review cover letter will state the plan's applicability in satisfying existing grant agreement conditions and plan eligibility requirements for future IRWM grant solicitations.

The Draft Review consists of a summary of the overall review and an individual review form for each of the 16 Plan Standards. On the individual review forms locations in the plan are noted for applicable material. Although they may be found elsewhere in the plan, these are the locations of the requirements used to show sufficiency with a given standard.

If you have any questions, please contact Ted Daum at (916) 651-9264 or Theodore.Daum@water.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Tracie L. Billington".

Tracie L. Billington, P.E. Chief
Financial Assistance Branch
Division of Integrated Regional Water Management

INTRODUCTION

IRWM planning regions must have an IRWM Plan that has been reviewed and deemed consistent with the 2012 IRWM Plan Standards by DWR for eligibility to receiving Round 3 Proposition 84 funding. This 2012 IRWM Plan Standards Review Form for DWR staff use provides a consistent means in determining whether the 2012 IRWM Guidelines are being addressed in the IRWM Plan. It is part of the Plan Review Process that will begin prior to Round 3 solicitation. The form is similar to a grant application review form in that there is a checklist for each of the 16 Plan Standards and narrative evaluations where required. However, the evaluation is pass/fail; there is no numeric scoring. Each Plan Standard is either sufficient or not based on its associated requirements. Each Standard consists of between one and fourteen requirements. A Yes or No is automatically calculated in each Plan Standard header based on the individual requirement evaluations. In general, a passing score of "C" (i.e. 70% of the requirements for a given Plan Standard) is required for a Standard to pass. Standards with only one or 2 requirements will need one or both of those requirements to pass. Standards with 3 requirements will need at least 2 of the requirements to pass. Standards with 4 or 5 requirements will need at least 3 to pass. Some plan elements are legislated requirements. Such plan elements must be met in order to be considered consistent with plan standards. A summary of the sufficiency of each Standard is automatically calculated on the Standards Summary worksheet. A "No" evaluation indicates that a Standard was not met due to insufficient requirements comprising the Standard. The evaluation for each Plan Standard and any associated insufficiencies is automatically compiled on the Standards Summary page. Additional reviewer comments may be added at the bottom of each standards work sheet.

Note: This review form is meant to be a tool used in conjunction with the 2012 IRWM Guidelines document to assist in the evaluation of IRWM plans. It is not designed to be a substitute for the Guidelines document itself. Reviewers must use the Guidelines in determining plan consistency.

DEFINITION OF TABLE HEADINGS

IRWM Plan Standard: As named in the November 2012 IRWM Prop 84 and 1E Guidelines.

Overall Standard Sufficient: This field is either "YES" or "NO" and is automatically calculated based on the "Sufficient" column described below. If all fields are "y", the overall standard is deemed sufficient. Any entry other than a "y" in the Sufficient column (i.e. "n", "?", not sure, more detail needed, etc.) results in a NO.

Plan Standard Requirements Which Must Be Addressed Fields with an asterisk * are required by legislation to be included in an IRWM Plan.

Requirement	Requirements are taken directly from the November 2012 Guidelines.
Included	Is the Guideline Requirement included in the IRWM Plan? The options are: y = yes, requirement is included in the IRWMP; or n = no, requirement is not included in the IRWMP. If only y or n then presence/absence of the requirement is sufficient for evaluation. If there is a "q" (qualitative) then add a brief narrative, similar to a Grant Application Review public evaluation or supporting information.
Plan Standard Source	
2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Page(s) in the Guidelines (November 2012) which pertain to the Requirement.
Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	The CWC or other regulations that pertain to the Requirement, if applicable . This is for reference purposes. The cell links to a weblink of the regulatory code.
Evidence of Sufficiency	
Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	The page(s) or sections in the IRWM Plan where information on the Requirement can be found. This can be specific paragraphs or entire chapters for more general requirements.
Brief Qualitative Evaluation Narrative	Supporting information for the Requirement if a "q" is in the Included column. This can be just a few sentences or a paragraph and can be taken directly from the IRWM Plan. Comments or supporting information may be entered regardless of whether required.
Sufficient	Is the Guidelines requirement sufficiently represented in the IRWM Plan (y/n).

2012 IRWM Plan Standards Review Form

Regional Acceptance Process Planning Region: Westside San Joaquin
Regional Water Management Group: San Luis & Delta Mendota Water Authority
IRWM Plan Title: Westside San Joaquin Integrated Water Resources Plan

PLAN IS SUFFICIENT

IRWM Plan Standard	Overall Standard Sufficient	Requirement(s) Insufficient
Governance	Yes	
Region Description	Yes	
Objectives	Yes	
Resource Management Strategies	Yes	
Integration *	Yes	
Project Review Process	Yes	
Impact and Benefit	Yes	
Plan Performance and Monitoring	Yes	
Data Management	Yes	
Finance	Yes	
Technical Analysis	Yes	
Relation to Local Water Planning	Yes	
Relation to Local Land Use Planning	Yes	
Stakeholder Involvement	Yes	
Coordination	Yes	
Climate Change	Yes	

* If not included as an individual section use Governance, Project Review Process, and Data Management Standards per November 2012 Guidelines, p. 44.

Additional Comments:

While deemed consistent with the 2012 Guidelines Plan Standards, DWR recommends that the following be addressed in future IRWM Plan updates:

Region Description: Unclear as Section 2.3, page 29 states the water supply and demand projections are based on a study conducted in 1999 that provides estimates up to 2025, and goes on to acknowledge the data is old and a new study is needed. Then Section 2.3, page 33 states that this data is accurate enough to extrapolate estimates up to 2039, which covers the 20 year timeframe required by the Guidelines. **Integration:** While Chapter 5 describes stakeholder, institutional, and project integration, the Plan would benefit from a discussion of resource integration. **Project Review Process:** While the project review process is discussed in Chapter 6, it is not clear what the procedures are for communicating lists of selected projects. **Stakeholder Involvement:** The plan does not clearly describe how stakeholders are necessary to address objectives and RMS's. **Climate Change:** The plan does not clearly address how the plan will perform further data gathering and analysis of prioritized vulnerabilities.

IRWM Plan Standard: Governance					Overall Standard Sufficient		Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document a governance structure to ensure updates to the IRWM Plan							
The name of the RWMG responsible for implementation of the IRWMP	y/n	y	18/35	CWC §10539	Sec. 1.1	San Luis & Delta Mendota Water Authority Board of Directors (SLDMWA BOD)	y
A description of the IRWM governance structure	y/n	y	19/36		Sec. 1.3		y
A description of how the chosen form of governance addresses and ensures:							
Public outreach and involvement processes	y/n/q	y	19/36-37	§10540, §10541	Sec. 1.3 & Chapter 12	Chapter 12 has the most information on public and stakeholder outreach and involvement, including DACs. No federally recognized tribes reside in the area.	y
Effective decision making	y/n/q	y	19/37		Sec. 12.3	Agencies and stakeholders comprise a number of different committees and working groups who evaluate information and make recommendations to the SLDMWA BOD for final decision making authority.	y
Balanced access and opportunity for participation in the IRWM process	y/n/q	y	19/37		Chapters 5 & 12	These two chapters explain how stakeholders are involved, coordinate, and collaborate in the IRWM process.	y
Effective communication – both internal and external to the IRWM region	y/n/q	y	19/37-38		Sec. 1.3 & 1.4	These sections describe the communication used, both internally and externally, in the IRWM process.	y
Long term implementation of the IRWM Plan	y/n/q	y	19/38		Sec. 1.2	Long term implementation of the plan is discussed.	y
Coordination with neighboring IRWM efforts and State and federal agencies	y/n/q	y	19/38		Sec. 1.4	The region is actively coordinating with surrounding IRWM regions and state and federal agencies.	y
The collaborative process(es) used to establish plan objectives	y/n/q	y	19/38		Section 3.1	This section describes how the establishment of plan objectives was a process that used coordination and collaboration with stakeholders and other interested parties.	y
How interim changes and formal changes to the IRWM Plan will be performed	y/n/q	y	19/38		Sec. 1.5	This section describes typical types of changes to the plan that will be considered informal and the process for making the changes.	y
Updating or amending the IRWM Plan	y/n/q	y	19/38		Sec. 1.5	This section describes typical types of formal changes to the plan, and commits to plan updates every 5 years.	y
Publish NOI to prepare/update the plan; adopt the plan in a public meeting	y/n/q	y	35		CWC §10543	Sec. 1.2, 1.5, & Appendix F	A copy of the NOI is in Appendix F, and the plan is scheduled to be adopted at the Sept. 4, 2014 SLDMWA Board meeting.

IRWM Plan Standard: Region Description					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
If applicable, describe and explain how the plan will help reduce dependence on the Delta supply regionally	y/n	Y	20	--		The region acknowledges the need to reduce its Delta water supply and states "Due to shortages in CVP supplies and overall unreliability due to environmental factors, the drought, and potential climate change impacts, it will be imperative for the Region to reduce its dependence on the Delta through the diversification of water supplies, implementation of recycled water projects, and long-term water supply planning that considers reliability and climate change impacts".	Y
Describe watersheds and water systems	y/n	y	19/39	PRC §75026.(b)(1) and CWP Update 2009	Sec. 2.1 & 2.2		y
Describe internal boundaries	y/n	y	19/39	--	Sec. 2.1		y
Describe water supplies and demands for minimum 20 year planning horizon	y/n	N	19/39	--		Unclear as Section 2.3, page 29 states the water supply and demand projections are based on a study conducted in 1999 that provides estimates up to 2025, and goes on to acknowledge the data is old and a new study is needed. Then Section 2.3, page 33 states that this data is accurate enough to extrapolate estimates up to 2039, which covers the 20 year timeframe required by the Guidelines.	N
Describe water quality conditions	y/n	y	19/40	--	Sec. 2.2		y
Describe social and cultural makeup, including specific information on DACs and tribal communities in the region and their water challenges.	y/n/q	y	19/40	--	Sec. 2.4 & 12.4	The plan addresses the social and cultural makeup for the region, including DACs and no tribes reside in the area.	y
Describe major water related objectives and conflicts *	y/n/q	y	19/40	§10541.(e)(3)	Sec. 2.1 & 3.1	Section 2.1 lists the major conflicts and Section 3.1 lists the objectives that will attempt to resolve the conflicts.	y
Explain how IRWM regional boundary was determined and why region is an appropriate area for IRWM planning.	y/n/q	y	19/40	--	Sec. 2.1	Basically defined by the boundary of the SLDMWA.	y
Describe neighboring and/or overlapping IRWM efforts	y/n	y	19/40	--	Section 1.4		y
Explain how opportunities are maximized (e.g. people at the table, natural features, infrastructure) for integration of water management activities	y/n	y	38	--	Sec. 2.1, 12.1, 12.2, 12.3		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Objectives					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Qualitative Narrative	y/n
Through the objectives or other areas of the plan, the 7 items on pg 41 of GL are addressed.*	y/n	y	20/40 - 41	§10540.(c)	Sec. 3.1		y
Describe the collaborative process and tools used to establish objectives: - How the objectives were developed - What information was considered (i.e., water management or local land use plans, etc.) - What groups were involved in the process - How the final decision was made and accepted by the IRWM effort	y/n	y	20/41	--	Sec. 3.1		y
Identify quantitative or qualitative metrics and measurable objectives: Objectives must be measurable - there must be some metric the IRWM region can use to determine if the objective is being met as the IRWM Plan is implemented. Neither quantitative nor qualitative metrics are considered inherently better. *	y/n/q	y	20/41 - 42	10541.(e)	Sec. 3.1 & Table 7	Table 7 lists the qualitative and quantitative metrics used to measure objectives.	y
Explain how objectives are prioritized or reason why the objectives are not prioritized	y/n/q	y	20/42-43	--	Sec. 3.2	The objectives are not prioritized since they are all considered of equal value.	y
Reference specific overall goals for the region: RWMGs may choose to use goals as an additional layer for organizing and prioritizing objectives, or they may choose to not use the term at all.	y/n	y	43	--	Chapter 3 Introduction		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Resource Management Strategies (RMS)					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify RMS incorporated in the IRWM Plan: Consider all California Water Plan (CWP) RMS criteria (29) listed in Table 3 from the CWP Update 2009 *	y/n	y	20/43	CWP Update 2009 Volume II; 10541(e)(1)	Section 4.2-4.31, & Table 8		y
Consideration of climate change effects on the IRWM region must be factored into RMS	y/n	y	20/43	--	Sec. 4.1		y
Address which RMS will be implemented in achieving IRWM Plan Objectives	y/n	y	44	--	Section 4.2-4.31, & Table 9		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Integration					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contains structure and processes for developing and fostering integration ¹ : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Stakeholder/institutional - Resource - Project implementation 	y/n/q	y	20/44 - 45	§10540.(g); §10541.(h)(2)	Chapter 5	While Chapter 5 describes stakeholder, institutional, and project integration, the Plan would benefit from a discussion of resource integration.	y

1. If not included as an individual section use Governance, Project Review Process, and Data Management Standards per November 2012 Guidelines, p. 44.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Project Review Process					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes		
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency			
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	Sufficient	
							y/n	
Process for projects included in IRWM plan must address 3 components: - procedures for submitting projects - procedures for reviewing projects - procedures for communicating lists of selected projects	y/n	N	20/45	§75028.(a)	Chapter 6, pages 57-60 and Appendix E	While the project review process is discussed in Chapter 6, it is not clear what the procedures are for communicating lists of selected projects.	N	
Does the project review process in the plan incorporate the following factors:								
How a project contributes to plan objectives	y/n	y	20		Appendix E			y
How a project is related to Resource Management Strategies identified in the plan.	y/n	y	20		Appendix E			y
The technical feasibility of a project.	y/n	y	20		Appendix E			y
A projects specific benefits to a DAC water issue.	y/n	y	20		Appendix E			y
Environmental Justice considerations.	y/n	y	20		Appendix E			y
Project costs and financing	y/n	y	20		Appendix E			y
Address economic feasibility	y/n	y	21		Appendix E			y
Project status	y/n	y	21		Appendix E			y
Strategic implementation of plan and project merit	y/n	y	21/48		Sec. 6.2, pg 58 & Appendix E			y
Project's contribution to climate change adaptation	y/n	y	21		Appendix E			y
Contribution of project in reducing GHGs compared to project alternatives	y/n	y	21		Appendix E			y
Status of the Project Proponent's IRWM plan adoption	y/n	y	21		Sec. 6.2, pg 59			y
Project's contribution to reducing dependence on Delta supply (for IRWM regions receiving water from the Delta).	y/n	y	21		Sec. 6.2, pg 59			y

IRWM Plan Standard: Impact and Benefit					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Discuss potential impacts and benefits of plan implementation within IRWM region, between regions, with DAC/EJ concerns and Native American Tribal communities	y/n	y	21	--	Section 6.3 & Table 10		y
State when a more detailed project-specific impact and benefit analysis will occur (prior to any implementation activity)	y/n	y	49	--	Sec 6.3, pg 60, 3rd sentence		y
Review and update the impacts and benefits section of the plan as part of the normal plan management activities	y/n	y	50	--	Sec 6.3		y

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Plan Performance and Monitoring					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contain performance measures and monitoring methods to ensure that IRWM objectives are met *	y/n	y	21/53	PRC §75026.(a)	Sec. 7.2 & 7.1		y
Contain a methodology that the RWMG will use to oversee and evaluate implementation of projects.	y/n	y	21/53		Sec. 7.1		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Data Management					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Describe data needs within the IRWM region	y/n	y	54	--	Sec. 8.1		y
Describe typical data collection techniques	y/n	y	54	--	Sec. 8.2		y
Describe stakeholder contributions of data to a data management system	y/n	y	54	--	Sec. 8.2		y
Describe the entity responsible for maintaining data in the data management system	y/n	y	54	--	Sec. 8.2		y
Describe the QA/QC measures for data	y/n	y	54	--	Sec. 8.2		y
Explain how data collected will be transferred or shared between members of the RWMG and other interested parties throughout the IRWM region, including local, State, and federal agencies *	y/n	y	54	--	Sec. 8.2		y
Explain how the Data Management System supports the RWMG's efforts to share collected data	y/n	y	54	--	Sec. 8.2		y
Outline how data saved in the data management system will be distributed and remain compatible with State databases including CEDEN, Water Data Library (WDL), CASGEM, California Environmental Information Catalog (CEIC), and the California Environmental Resources Evaluation System (CERES).	y/n	n	54	--	Sec. 8.2		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Finance				Overall Standard Sufficient		Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Include a programmatic level (i.e. general) plan for implementation and financing of identified projects and programs* including the following:	y/n	y	21	§10541.(e)(8)	Section 9.1 & 9.2, Table 13 & 14		y
List known, as well as, possible funding sources, programs, and grant opportunities for the development and ongoing funding of the IRWM Plan.	y/n	y	21		Sec. 9.1 & Table 13		y
List the funding mechanisms, including water enterprise funds, rate structures, and private financing options, for projects that implement the IRWM Plan.	y/n	y	21		Sec. 9.2 & Table 14		y
An explanation of the certainty and longevity of known or potential funding for the IRWM Plan and projects that implement the Plan.	y/n	y	21		Table 14		y
An explanation of how operation and maintenance (O&M) costs for projects that implement the IRWM Plan would be covered and the certainty of operation and maintenance funding.	y/n	y	21		Table 14		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Technical Analysis					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document the data and technical analyses that were used in the development of the plan *	y/n	y	22	--	Chapter 10, Table 15		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Relation to Local Water Planning					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify a list of local water plans used in the IRWM plan	y/n	y	22	§10540.(b)	Chapter 10, Table 15		y
Discuss how the plan relates to these other planning documents and programs	y/n	y	22		Sec. 11.1		y
Describe the dynamics between the IRWM plan and other planning documents	y/n	y	22		Sec. 11.1		y
Describe how the RWMG will coordinate its water mgmt planning activities	y/n	y	58		Sec. 11.1		y

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Relation to Local Land Use Planning					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document current relationship between local land use planning, regional water issues, and water management objectives	y/n	y	22/59 - 62	--	Sec. 11.2		y
Document future plans to further a collaborative, proactive relationship between land use planners and water managers	y/n	y	22/59 - 62	--	Sec. 11.2		y

DRAFT

IRWM Plan Standard: Stakeholder Involvement					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contain a public process that provides outreach and opportunity to participate in the IRWM plan *	y/n	y	22/63	§10541.(g)	Sec 12.2		y
Identify process to involve and facilitate stakeholders during development and implementation of plan regardless of ability to pay; include barriers to involvement *	y/n	y	64	§10541.(h) (2)	Sec 12.2		y
Discuss involvement of DACs and tribal communities in the IRWM planning effort	y/n	y	23	--	Sec 12.4 & 12.5		y
Describe decision-making process and roles that stakeholders can occupy	y/n	y	23	--	Sec 12.3		y
Discuss how stakeholders are necessary to address objectives and RMS	y/n	N	23	--		The plan does not clearly describe how stakeholders are necessary to address objectives and RMS.	N
Discuss how a collaborative process will engage a balance in interest groups	y/n	y	23	--	Sec 12.2		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Coordination					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify the process to coordinate water management projects and activities of participating local agencies and stakeholders to avoid conflicts and take advantage of efficiencies *	y/n	y	23/65	§10541.(e)(13)	Sec. 1.4		y
Identify neighboring IRWM efforts and ways to cooperate or coordinate, and a discussion of any ongoing water management conflicts with adjacent IRWM efforts	y/n	y	23/65	--	Sec. 1.4		y
Identify areas where a state agency or other agencies may be able to assist in communication or cooperation, or implementation of IRWM Plan components, processes, and projects, or where State or federal regulatory decisions are required before implementing the projects.	y/n	y	23	--	Sec. 1.4		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Climate Change				Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes		
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source	Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient		
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Evaluate IRWM region's vulnerabilities to climate change and potential adaptation responses based on vulnerabilities assessment in the DWR Climate Change Handbook for Regional Water Planning *	y/n	y	23/66 - 73		Sec. 13.3, 13.4, Table 21 & 22		y
Provide a process that considers GHG emissions when choosing between project alternatives *	y/n	y	23/68	Climate Change Handbook vulnerability assessment: http://www.water.ca.gov/climatechange/CCHandbook.cfm ; November 2012	Sec. 6.2 & Appendix D		y
Include a list of prioritized vulnerabilities based on the vulnerability assessment and the IRWM's decision making process.	y/n	y	23/66 - 73		Sec. 13.3, pg 101		y
Contain a plan, program, or methodology for further data gathering and analysis of prioritized vulnerabilities	y/n	N	23/66 - 73		Guidelines Legislative and Policy Context, p. 66	Section 13.5 does not clearly address how the plan will perform further data gathering and analysis of prioritized vulnerabilities.	
Include climate change as part of the project review process	y/n	y	23/68	§10541.(e)(11)	Sec 6.2 & Appendix E		y

* Requirement must be addressed.

Regulatory Citation	Link	Notes
IRWM Prop 84 and 1E Guidelines	http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/docs/Guidelines/GL_2012_FINAL.pdf	DWR November 2012 Guidelines - Final
CWC §10539	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10532-10539	
CWC §10540, §10541	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540-10543	
CWC §10543	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540-10543	
PRC §75026, §75028, CWP Update 2009, and California Watershed Portal	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=prc&group=75001-76000&file=75020-75029.5	The Department of Water Resources shall give preference to proposals that satisfy the criteria specified in PRC §75026.(b)(1). §75028.(a) - the department shall defer to approved local project selection, and review projects only for consistency with the purposes of Section 75026.
	http://www.waterplan.water.ca.gov/cwpu2009/index.cfm	2009 California Water Plan Volumes I and II
	http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/watershedportal/Pages/Index.aspx	California Watershed Portal
§10541. (e)(3)	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540-10543	