

mswd_mes_092304.txt

From: Mitchell Nieman [mnieman@mswd.org]
Sent: Thursday, September 23, 2004 8:27 AM
To: Billington, Tracie
Cc: Marilyn McKay; Natalie McMahon
Subject: Prop 50 IRWM Comments Due by September 30, 2004

Hello Tracie,

Per your request at the August 31st Draft Guideline Meeting in Ontario I have come up with my IRWM comment (stated below)

Prop 50 IRWM Grant Comment:

It is unreasonable to require one agency to monitor or otherwise oversee the implementation and administration of a different project in another agencies service area/jurisdiction. Awards for grants with numerous projects in multiple jurisdictions—all with regional benefit—should provide for project by project (agency by agency) accountability. Each project within the overall scope must obviously possess a timeline and budget. Therefore, each agency should have a separation in reporting. Since one of the core components of this grant is regional collaboration, the collaboration component itself can be achieved by having the contract documents require execution by all parties (agencies) involved. This collaboration element alone will inevitably produce multi-jurisdictional accountability.

Thank you,

Mitchell Nieman
Public Information Associate
Mission Springs Water District
66575 Second St.
Desert Hot Springs, CA 92240-3711
Tel: (760) 329-6448
Office: (760) 329-5169 ext. 150
Fax: (760) 329-2482
Email: mnieman@mswd.org
Web: www.mswd.org