

David Guy, Northern California Water Association, Comments on Prop 50  
Planning PSP

**Page 7 & 8, Table 1**

- Local Cost Match: Exhibit D indicates that although a Program may be in a disadvantaged community, the applicant must still demonstrate the ability to meet a 25% Funding Match. This is a pass/fail requirement without first considering the requested waived or reduced funding match. We suggest that the waived or reduced funding match first be considered. The applicant in a disadvantaged community should only be able to demonstrate the ability to meet a requested reduced funding match.
- Legislative Information: It is not explicit (as in other categories) whether there will be a way to indicate several districts if a region is large enough to cover more than one State assembly or senate district.
- Q6. Additional Information: “Is the applicant requesting” should replace the existing text at the beginning of the first sentence.

**Page 10, Paragraph on Attachment 4**

- Exhibit D indicates that although a Program may be in a disadvantaged community, the applicant must still demonstrate the ability to meet a 25% Funding Match. This is a pass/fail requirement without first considering the requested waived or reduced funding match. We suggest that the waived or reduced funding match first be considered. The applicant in a disadvantaged community should only be able to demonstrate the ability to meet a requested reduced funding match.

**Page 16, Exhibit D, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph under Purpose**

- Exhibit D indicates that although a Program may be in a disadvantaged community, the applicant must still demonstrate the ability to meet a 25% Funding Match. This is a pass/fail requirement without first considering the requested waived or reduced funding match. We suggest that the waived or reduced funding match first be considered. The applicant in a disadvantaged community should only be able to demonstrate the ability to meet a requested reduced funding match. If a reduced funding match or waiver is denied, then the proposal should still be scored without penalty. If the score is such that the proposal is competitive for a grant award, DWR should work w/ the applicant to put forward a budget that is acceptable to both parties.

**Page 17, Definition of Disadvantaged Community**

- insert “(which, where appropriate, can be defined as townships or counties, for example)” behind “A community..”

**Page 17, Step C**

- Change second sentence to read, “Disadvantaged communities must be involved in the IRWM planning process (e.g., the inclusion of disadvantaged counties as part of a proposed planning process.)”

**Page 18, Step D**

- Add the following sentence to the end of the paragraph: This could include both quantitative and qualitative benefits to protecting groundwater resources and county monitoring practices.