

[REDACTED]  
February 21, 2007

Mr. Lester Snow, Director  
Department of Water Resources  
1416 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Agency Requested Public Input on the Integrated  
Regional Water Management(IRWM) Grant Program  
(Propositions 50, 84, and 1E).

Dear Mr. Snow:

This letter is a follow-up to my February 14, 2007 letter on the aforementioned subject. In my February 14, 2007 letter, on Page 20, I stated that I could forward a copy of my letter on the FEMA/County of Ventura/Nolte current Preliminary Flood Insurance Study(FIS), and Preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Maps(FIRMs). I had forgotten that like my January 20, 2005 letter to the Ventura County Flood Mitigation Plan Coordinator, and my January 26, 2005 letter to Anna Davis, URS Corporation, I had also submitted my correspondence on the FIS and FIRMs to the County of Ventura Board of Supervisors for the record. Like the January 2005 letters, the FIS and FIRMs correspondence can be found on the County Website's Board of Supervisors Agenda section(copy enclosed).

Mr. Snow, on February 16, 2007, the article "Federal plan would cede control of water"(copy enclosed) was posted at [www.latimes.com](http://www.latimes.com). I don't know if the Westlands Water District has applied for any IRWMGP funds in the past or currently. But, if the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation approves an agreement that would have the Westlands Water District "drop out of the system" and "assume the rights to a million acre-feet of water - more than the City of Los Angeles consumes in a year", in order to restructure the federal Central Valley Project, then I want to go on the record in opposition to such an agreement and to any future IRWMGP funding application for projects related to fixing the "San Joaquin Valley drainage problem" that now costs the "U.S. taxpayers several billion dollars to solve" because, as I stated to Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger in

my February 20, 2007 letter to him, the moneys from Propositions 50, 84 and 1E could be bankrupted; and for the following reasons.

- #1 - "forgive a debt of nearly half a billion dollars the irrigators owe for construction of the mammoth project",
- #2 - give Westlands Water District, "the most powerful irrigation district in the state", "even more clout",
- #3 - the U.S. taxpayers are still impacted because the "water Westlands was taking over would continue to be pumped by federal facilities from the Sacramento - San Joaquin Delta, the deliveries would still be subject to endangered species and other environmental restrictions", and
- #4 - "ownership of the San Luis Reservoir near Los Banos" would be transferred to "the joint authority and the state, which uses about half of the reservoir to store supplies for the State Water Project."

My February 14, 2007 illustrates some of the types of insurmountable obstacles that are placed on Californians' shoulders because the public participation process is woefully disregarded by federal and local governments, and special districts. I haven't completely decided about the State's compliance with the public participation process (please refer to enclosed copy of my February 21, 2007 letter to Mr. Jonathan Bishop, LARWQCB Executive Director).

Mr. Snow, since I have not heard from Mr. Mark Stuart, or Mr. David Todd, I kindly request of you a copy of the DWR's comments on the City of Simi Valley/WWD #8's 2005 Urban Water Management Plan Update. I would greatly appreciate it if the information is forwarded to my fax No. [REDACTED] as soon as possible. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Teresa Jordan