

**Proposition 1 - Sustainable Groundwater Planning Grant Program
2015 Counties with Stressed Basins Grant Solicitation
Application Evaluation Summary**

Applicant: Humboldt County
Total Application Score: 12

Category	Question Number	Question	Attachment(s)	Points Available	Answer Yes/No	Score
Basin Conditions	1	Was a narrative discussion of the stressed basin within the County's boundaries provided?	2	1	yes	1
	2	Does the project area include a groundwater basin(s) identified by DWR as being in critical overdraft?	2	1	no	0
	3	Is the project area experiencing a reduction of groundwater storage?	2	1	no	0
	4	Is the project area experiencing seawater intrusion?	2	1	yes	1
	5	Has the groundwater basin experienced degradation of water quality, including drinking water supplies threatened by contaminant plume migration?	2	1	no	0
	6	Is land subsidence, as a result of groundwater withdrawals, occurring?	2	2	no	0
	7	Is there the existence or potential for groundwater withdrawals to adversely impact surface water?	2	1	no	0
Work Plan	8	Does the Project Summary provide clear project objectives?	3	1	yes	1
	9	Do the tasks described in the Work Plan result in a completed project?	3	1	yes	1
	10	Does the Work Plan include a project status that indicates the current stage of each task (e.g., % complete)?	3	1	no	0
	11	Does the work plan include tasks that address one or more of the stressed basin conditions described in Attachment 2?	3	1	yes	1
	12	Does the work plan include tasks to develop and present to the County Board of Supervisors ordinances designed to improve the sustainable management of groundwater?	3	1	no	0
	13	Does the work plan include a project to update or develop plans that protect basins and their beneficial uses and help facilitate basin-wide sustainable groundwater management, or actions that will lead to the update or development of such plans?	3	1	yes	1
	14	Does the work plan include tasks that are designed to prevent or reduce undesirable results from groundwater pumping?	3	2	yes	2
Budget	15	Are the costs presented in the Budget reasonable for the project type and the current stage of the project?	4	1	yes	1
Schedule	16	Does the schedule demonstrate that it is reasonable to expect that the project will start by July 1, 2016 and be completed by December 2017?	5	1	yes	1
Proposal Consistency	17	Are the Work Plan, Schedule, and Budget consistent with each other?	3, 4, and 5	1	yes	1
	18	Do the Work Plan, Schedule, and Budget contain sufficient detail to demonstrate the project can be completed on-time and within budget?	3, 4, and 5	1	yes	1
To be scored in the event of a tie-breaker	19	Does the project address one or more of the Program Preferences and Statewide Priorities listed in Section II.C of the Guidelines?	6	2	N/A	0
Total Application Score:						12