STATE OF CALIFORNIA
GRANTEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION
DEPARMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1. AGREEMENT NUMBER:	2. AGREEMENT START DATE:	3. AGREEMENT END DATE (List final date if amended for time):
4600008194	June 20, 2008	May 15, 2011

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES	4. GRANTEE'S NAME AND ADDRESS: Napa County, Department of Public Works, 1195 Third Street, Napa, CA 94559
5. DIVISION/OFFICE: Division of Integrated Regional Water Management	
6. BRANCH: North Central Region Office	

7. PROJECT TITLE:
Napa County Groundwater Monitoring Program – CAPACITY BUILDING GRANT

8. TOTAL AGREEMENT AMOUNT INCLUDING AMENDMENTS:	9. TOTAL AMOUNT OF GRANT FUNDS:	10. TOTAL AMOUNT OF COST-SHARE/MATCHING FUNDS:
$ 49,999.69	$ 49,999.69	$ 0.00

11. LIST ANY AMENDMENTS AND THE REASON(S) FOR EACH AMENDMENT:

Due to State of California budget constraints in 2008, grant funds for the Local Groundwater Assistance Program were frozen until approximately September 2009. As a result of this unanticipated project delay, the Capacity Building Grant awarded to Napa County was automatically extended for a period of 12 months.

12. DESCRIBE THE WORK THAT WAS IN THE AGREEMENT SCOPE OF WORK:

The Work Plan submitted by Napa County included the following tasks:

1. Determine Areas Lacking Sufficient Groundwater Data
2. Conduct Groundwater Issues Assessment
3. Begin Public Outreach, Track Volunteer Data, and Establish Community Involvement
4. Update Database and Establish Monitoring Protocols
5. Prepare Progress Reports and Maintain Stakeholder Involvement

13. WAS THE WORK PERFORMED? ___ NO – If no, explain why X YES – if yes, explain what work was preformed.

All of the tasks outlined above were performed in a timely and professional manner, and Napa County staff worked diligently to complete all of the grant tasks by May 2011. Although Napa County did not receive all of its requested grant funds, as outlined in its grant application, and was instead awarded a lesser-amount Capacity Building Grant, County staff were able to successfully modify its original scope of work to produce groundwater-related products that are currently assisting the County to educate the public and generate groundwater management awareness.

14. DID THE GRANTEE FULFILL ALL REQUIREMENTS OF THE AGREEMENT?
___ NO – If no, explain why X YES – if yes, explain how the work met the specific problem, administrative requirement, or program need for the project.

Napa County fulfilled all requirements of the grant agreement, which included 7 quarterly reports and invoices, a final report, and copies of the products generated by the tasks of the work plan. During the duration of the grant, Napa County's project manager maintained good communication with the Department's project manager.

15. PROJECT MANAGER NAME (Person completing form):	16. TITLE:	17. DATE:	18. TELEPHONE NUMBER:
Mark Nordberg	Engineering Geologist	6/8/12	916-376-9618
19. PROJECT MANAGER'S SIGNATURE:			
20. REVIEWER'S NAME:	21. TITLE:	22. DATE:	23. TELEPHONE NUMBER:
J. Pierre Stephens	Chief, Regional Planning and Coordination Branch	6/8/12	916-376-9656
24. REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE: for			

(Version 10/2010)