
Attachment 3

Status of GWMP

Attachment 3: Status of GWMP

The proposed project is the *Development and Use of a Numerical Groundwater-Flow Model of the Six Basins*. The Six Basins, located in the north-eastern section of the Three Valleys Municipal Water District (TVMWD) service area, refers to the six interconnected groundwater basins that underlie portions of the Cities of Claremont, La Verne, Pomona, and Upland, and other surrounding unincorporated areas of Los Angeles and San Bernardino Counties. These basins are the Canyon, Upper Claremont Heights, Lower Claremont Heights, Pomona, Live Oak, and Ganesha Basins.

Water extracted from the Six Basins is a significant source of supply for the water purveyors that serve the overlying area and surrounding regions. The purveyors that extract groundwater from the Six Basins include the City of La Verne, City of Pomona, City of Upland, Golden State Water Company, Pomona College, San Antonio Water Company, TVMWD, and West End Consolidated Water Company. To meet the water demands of their service areas, these agencies also rely on surface water from San Antonio and Evey Canyons; groundwater from the Chino, Cucamonga, and Spadra Basins; and State Water Project water imported by the TVMWD and the Inland Empire Utilities Agency.

In 1996, the aforementioned purveyors and other stakeholders, including the City of Claremont and the Pomona Valley Protective Association, began formal negotiations to adjudicate the Six Basins to ensure long-term, sustainable groundwater production through the management of replenishment, pumping, and storage. In the fall of 1998, the parties developed and filed a stipulated agreement with the Superior Court of California for the County of Los Angeles. The Honorable Judge William O. McVittie signed the stipulated Judgment titled “Southern California Water Company vs. City of La Verne, et al.” (Case No. KC029152) on December 18, 1998. The Judgment established the Safe Yield of the Six Basins at 19,300 acre-feet per year (acre-ft/yr), prescribed a Physical Solution for the management of the Six Basins, and established the Six Basins Watermaster to implement the Physical Solution in the Judgment.

Included with this attachment are key pages of the Six Basins Judgment evidencing that it was adopted on December 18, 1998.

1 SCOTT S. SLATER, ESQ. (State Bar No. 117317)
2 ROBERT J. SAPERSTEIN, ESQ. (State Bar No. 166051)
3 HATCH AND PARENT, PC
4 21 East Carrillo Street
5 Santa Barbara, CA 93101
6 Telephone: (805) 963-7000

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
8 Special Counsel for Southern California Water Company

FILED
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR
DEC 18 1998
JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK
[Signature]

9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

11 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA WATER COMPANY)
12)
13) Plaintiff,)
14)
15) vs.)
16)
17) CITY OF LA VERNE, CITY OF CLAREMONT,)
18) CITY OF POMONA, CITY OF UPLAND,)
19) POMONA COLLEGE, POMONA VALLEY)
20) PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION, SAN ANTONIO)
21) WATER COMPANY, SIMPSON PAPER)
22) COMPANY, THREE VALLEYS MUNICIPAL)
23) WATER DISTRICT, WEST END)
24) CONSOLIDATED WATER COMPANY, and)
25) DOES 1 through 1,000, Inclusive,)
26)
27) Respondents and Defendants.)
28)

CASE NO. KC029152
Assigned for All
Purposes to Judge
William O. McVittie
Department 0
(Complaint Filed, September 28,
1998)

JUDGMENT

THE DOCUMENT TO WHICH THIS CERTIFICATE IS
ATTACHED IS A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT COPY
OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE AND OF RECORD IN
MY OFFICE.

DEC 18 1998

ATTEST _____
JOHN A. CLARKE

Executive Officer/Clerk of the
Superior Court of California, County of
Los Angeles
By _____, Deputy

C. MORALES

1 of a motion upon the Parties is deemed to be satisfied by filing the motion as provided herein. Unless
2 ordered by the Court, any such petition shall not operate to stay the effect of any Watermaster action
3 or decision which is challenged.

4 c. **Time for Motion.** A motion to review any Watermaster action or decision
5 shall be filed within ninety (90) days after such Watermaster action or decision, except that motions
6 to review Watermaster Assessments hereunder shall be filed within thirty (30) days of mailing of
7 notice of the Assessment.

8 d. **De Novo Nature of Proceeding.** Upon filing of a petition to review
9 Watermaster action, the Watermaster shall notify the Parties of a date when the Court will take
10 evidence and hear argument. The Court's review shall be de novo and the Watermaster decision or
11 action shall have no evidentiary weight in such proceeding.

12 e. **Payment of Assessments.** Payment of Assessments levied by Watermaster
13 hereunder shall be made when due, notwithstanding any motion for review of Watermaster action,
14 decision, rules or procedures, including review of Watermaster Assessments.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

B. **Entry of Judgment.** The Clerk shall enter this Judgment.

Dated: DEC 18 1998 1998.



Judge of the Superior Court
WILLIAM J. McVITTIE