


State of California
The Resources Agency
Department of Water Resources
Office of Water Use Efficiency and Transfers



Submitted by California water suppliers to the
Department of Water Resources

**A report to the Legislature pursuant to
Section 10644(b) of the California Water Code**

December 31, 2006

State of California
Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor

The Resources Agency
Mike Chrisman, Secretary for Resources

Department of Water Resources
Lester A. Snow, Director

Kasey Schimke
Assistant Director
Legislative Affairs

David Sandino
Acting Chief Counsel

Susan Sims-Teixeira
Assistant Director
Public Affairs

Mark W. Cowin
Deputy Director

Timothy Haines
Deputy Director

Leslie Harder Jr.
Deputy Director

Reuben A. Jimenez
Deputy Director

Gerald E. Johns
Deputy Director

Ralph Torres
Deputy Director

Manucher Alemi
Acting Chief, Office of Water Use Efficiency and Transfers

This report was prepared by
Dave Todd
Chief, Technical Assistance and Outreach Branch

Assisted by:

Chriss Fakunding Research Analyst II
Gretchen Goettl..... Supervisor of Technical Publications
Nikki Blomquist Research Writer
Marilee Talley..... Research Writer

In cooperation with:

Eugene Pixley Associate Land & Water Use Scientist, Northern District
Kim Rosmaier Associate Land & Water Use Scientist, Central District
Luis Avila Associate Land & Water Use Scientist, San Joaquin District
David Inouye..... Senior Land & Water Use Scientist, Southern District
Sergio Fierro Associate Land & Water Use Scientist, Southern District

Contents

Introduction	1
Background	1
Status of Submittal.....	2
Outstanding Elements of Plans	4
Other Review Findings	11
Acknowledgments.....	11

Appendices

Appendix A 2005 Urban Water Management Plan Submittal Summary.....	A-1
Appendix B Summary of Missing Information in the Reviewed Plans	B-1
Appendix C Authority for Urban Water Management Plans.....	C-1

2005 URBAN WATER MANAGEMENT PLANS

Introduction

This report is respectfully submitted to the Legislature pursuant to the requirements of the Urban Water Management Planning Act, sections 10610 through 10653 of the Water Code. Section 10644 of the Act requires the Department of Water Resources (Department) to evaluate the Urban Water Management Plans (UWMP) adopted by urban water suppliers and submitted to the Department (no later than 30 days after adoption) and updating once every five years, on or before December 31 in years ending in five and zero.

Water Code section 10644(b) requires the Department to prepare and submit a report to the Legislature, by December 31 of each year ending in six and one, summarizing the status of the plans and identifying the outstanding elements of individual plans prepared.

Background

The UWMPs are required by the Urban Water Management Planning Act to assist urban water suppliers with long-term water resources planning and to ensure adequate water supplies for existing and future demands. The Department has provided technical assistance for preparing these plans and made recommendations for resolving issues, and developing conservation programs.

An urban water supplier that does not prepare, adopt, and submit its urban water management plan to the Department in accordance with this part, is ineligible to receive funding pursuant to Division 24 (commencing with Section 78500 with the passage of Proposition 204) or Division 26 (commencing with Section 79000 with the passage of Proposition 13) or receive drought assistance from the State until the urban water management plan is submitted pursuant to this article.

The Department distributed a 2005 Urban Water Management Plan Guidebook as well as the 2005 Urban Water Management Plan Review Sheets, used by Department staff for plan review, to assist water suppliers preparing plans. These materials are also available on the web site. The Guidebook and Review Sheets, except where noted (for Senate Bill 610 and Senate Bill 221 water supply documents), request information that is required by the Urban Water Management Planning Act. The Department conducted a series of ten workshops to assist urban water suppliers, consultants, planners and other interested parties in preparing Urban Water Management Plans. These

workshops were designed to provide step-by-step guidance and information on the following subjects:

- Using the Department's Urban Water Management Plan Guidebook
- Identifying new legislative requirements affecting the UWMP
- Using the Department's Review Sheets as a template
- Understanding the two mechanisms for reporting: Demand Management Measures and/or Best Management Practices
- Streamlining the preparation of a Water Supply Assessment and Verification in accordance with Senate Bill 221 and Senate Bill 610, respectively.

Approximately 480 participants attended the workshops held in Santa Rosa, San Jose, Granite Bay, Redding, Santa Clarita, Fresno, Carson, Rancho Cucamonga, San Diego, and Santa Ana. Presentations were also made to professional groups and organizations throughout the state.

Status of Submittal

An urban water supplier that has more than 3,000 connections or supplies more than 3,000 acre-feet of water must file an Urban Water Management Plan with the Department. The annual lists prepared by the State Department of Health Services, the United States Environmental Protection Agency as well as water supplier web sites are reviewed to determine whether a supplier meets the criteria. The Department determined that 460 water suppliers need to submit Year 2005 plans.

Some water suppliers have multiple service areas (notably investor-owned water utilities) and develop individual plans for each service area. A supplier can meet the requirements of the law by participation in area-wide, regional, watershed, or basin-wide urban water management planning where those plans will reduce preparation costs and contribute to the achievement of conservation and efficient water use. Six regional plans (from Castaic Lake Water Agency, Mojave Water Agency, Metropolitan Water District, Inland Empire Utilities Agency, Sonoma County Water Agency, and West Basin Municipal Water District) were submitted to the Department. The regional plans were adopted by local water suppliers in their service areas.

148 plans were received by the Department by the December 31, 2005 deadline for adoption of a plan; 77 plans were received by the January 30, 2006 deadline for submitting plans to the Department; and since January 2006, 119 additional plans have been received, for a total of 344 plans. The Department expects to receive additional plans from other agencies during the coming year. The agencies submitting plans range in size from suppliers that deliver 3,000 acre feet per year to those that deliver 4,100,000 acre feet per year. Of the 460 water suppliers required to submit a plan, 116 have not submitted plans and therefore are not in compliance with the Act.

Preliminary screening has been conducted on all the 344 plans submitted to date. In the preliminary screening, the plans were examined to determine if they contained the basic information required for the 2005 UWMP cycle, such as: a water shortage contingency

plan, information about the status of the implementation of demand management measures, wholesale water supplies, groundwater basin information (if applicable), water supply sources, water service reliability, water quality and recycled water. Department staff has conducted full review of 139 plans, and it is anticipated that the remaining plans will be reviewed by September 30, 2007. Of the 139 plans reviewed, 100 do not contain all of the required information and are considered incomplete at this time, and 39 plans are complete and meet all of the requirements of the Act. The missing information in the 100 reviewed plans is listed in Appendix B.

Limited staff resources have delayed the review of plans. The Department continues to work with agencies that have not submitted plans, offering technical assistance for completing a plan and calling their attention to the current requirements of the Act.

The Department uses the information provided in the plans to (1) improve its water use efficiency program, (2) improve coordination of information with the California Urban Water Conservation Council and other entities to provide more efficient technical assistance to water suppliers, and (3) provide updated information for California Water Plan forecasting of future local and regional demands.

Appendix A summarizes the status of the submittal of 2005 Urban Water Management Plans. If an urban water supplier submitted a plan to the Department with proof of adoption by their governing authority, a “yes” is noted in column two and the date submitted is noted in column three. If the Department has finished its review, a “yes” is noted in column four. If the plan has been identified by the Department as complete, a “yes” is noted in column five and the date the supplier was sent a “Final” letter to notify them that their plan is complete is noted in column six. If the plan has been identified by the Department as not complete, a “no” is noted in column five and the date the supplier was sent an “Incomplete” letter to notify them that their plan is incomplete and requires additional information is noted in column six. Those suppliers that are interacting with the Department to complete their plans do not receive an “Incomplete” letter and a “N/A” is noted in column six.

Outstanding Elements of Plans

The Urban Water Management Planning Act requires the Department to identify the outstanding elements of individual plans. To determine the plan elements that were outstanding in their content, the Department evaluated the Urban Water Management Plan content in the following six major categories:

- Implementation of Best Management Practices/ Demand Management Measures (BMPs/DMMs)
- Groundwater (if applicable)
- Water Shortage Contingency Plan
- Recycled Water Plan
- Water Quality Impacts on Water Supply Reliability
- Water Service Reliability

An element to qualify as outstanding must be well written, clearly organized, integrated well with other sections of the plan, and comply with or exceed the provisions of the Act.

Department staff determined that 39 of the plans reviewed to date had one or more outstanding elements. The following are examples of water suppliers with complete plans followed by outstanding elements of their plans.

Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District Zone 7

This plan contains multiple outstanding elements including on-going implementation of BMPs, comprehensive and detailed water shortage contingency plan, recycled water plan, and water service reliability section.

Alameda County Water District

The Alameda County Water District included extensive and detailed estimates of water supply and demand in its plan. In addition, the BMPs are being implemented by the District.

Amador Water Agency

The Amador Water Agency provides detailed information about its water service reliability. It includes supply and demand comparisons for normal, single-dry years and multiple-dry years over a twenty-five year period. The water shortage contingency plan is particularly detailed and comprehensive. The Agency also provided detailed information on its wastewater treatment and collections, recycling program and projected future uses of recycled water. It projects use of a substantial portion of the available treated wastewater for recycling over the next twenty years.

City of Benicia

An outstanding examination of current and projected sources of water supplies is contained in the Urban Water Management Plan provided by the City of Benicia. In addition to quantifying its water supplies by source, the agency provided detailed information on uses and losses. This information provided good data in the water supply reliability and supply and demand comparison sections of the plan.

California Water Service – Marysville

This private water company provides detailed information in its plan on how it will respond to water supply shortages caused by drought or other kinds of natural disasters. Noteworthy discussions include how the agency will deal with any potential shortfalls in revenues caused by decreased customer demands during drought and how it will determine and monitor mandatory water delivery reductions.

Calluegas Municipal Water District

This plan contains multiple outstanding elements including on-going implementation of BMPs, detailed groundwater section, comprehensive water shortage contingency plan, water service reliability section and a recycled water plan that includes a guarantee of water as a method to encourage recycled water use.

City of Camarillo

The City of Camarillo has one of the most comprehensive plans. The plan is exceptional in thoroughness with which all applicable elements are addressed. Multi-agency participation in the preparation of the City's plan extends to the water recycling plan. Multiple other outstanding elements of the plan include on-going implementation of BMPs, comprehensive and detailed groundwater section, water shortage contingency plan and water service reliability section.

City of Clovis

The City of Clovis has a comprehensive plan that demonstrates what close attention to detail and planning can achieve. Multiple outstanding elements of the plan include on-going implementation of DMMS, comprehensive and detailed groundwater section, water shortage contingency plan, recycled water plan and water service reliability section.

Contra Costa Water District

The Contra Costa Water District plan contains multiple outstanding elements including on-going implementation of BMPs, comprehensive and detailed water shortage contingency plan, recycled water plan and water service reliability section.

Diablo Water District

The plan submitted by the Diablo Water District Company contains specific information on its DMMs and includes an extensive water shortage contingency plan.

East Bay Municipal Water District

The East Bay Municipal Utility District plan makes important connections between its water shortage contingency plan and its water supply reliability sections. It provided a thorough description of its water rationing stages with accompanying reduction goals and the type of rationing program (voluntary or mandatory) that would be implemented for each stage.

El Dorado Irrigation District

The plan submitted by El Dorado Irrigation District contains concise information and data on current and future wastewater generation and treatment levels in its service area. The plan also contains discussions on the current status of recycled water use, potential uses, and actions that the agency will undertake to promote the use of this alternative water supply in its service area.

City of Escondido

The City of Escondido plan contains a comprehensive water shortage contingency plan, on-going implementation of the BMPs, detailed water service reliability section and recycled water plan which are outstanding elements of the City's plan.

Golden State Water Company – South San Gabriel

The description of groundwater in the adjudicated basin in this supplier's plan is one of the outstanding elements detailed by this private water company's plan. An extensive water shortage contingency plan includes use prohibitions, consumption reduction methods and penalties that include termination of service.

Golden State Water Company – Southwest

This private water company's plan provides detailed information in its water shortage contingency plan on how it will respond to water supply shortages caused by malevolent acts as well as drought or other kinds of natural disasters. In addition, it contains detailed information about the complexities of an adjudicated groundwater basin, includes on-going implementation of the BMPs and a detailed water supply reliability section.

City of Huntington Beach

The City of Huntington Beach plan is exceptional in the thoroughness within which all applicable elements are addressed. Particularly noteworthy is the thorough coverage of groundwater and the recycled water plan which notes that the City makes full use of wastewater that meets recycled water standards for recharge and salt intrusion.

City of Lakewood

Outstanding elements of the City of Lakewood plan include an extensive description of groundwater in an adjudicated basin, its conjunctive use program and a detailed discussion of its water shortage contingency plan.

Linda County Water District

Outstanding elements in the Linda County Water District plan include a full and comprehensive water shortage contingency plan and water service reliability section.

Marin Municipal Water District

The plan developed by the Marin Municipal Water District includes full implementation of the BMPs, a comprehensive and detailed water shortage contingency plan and water service reliability section.

City of Mountain View

The plan developed by the City of Mountain View includes extensive and detailed estimates of water supply and demand. In addition, the BMPs are being implemented by the District.

Orange Vale Water Company

The outstanding elements in the Orange Vale Water Company plan include on-going implementation of the DMMs, a comprehensive and detailed water shortage contingency plan, and water service reliability section.

City of Palo Alto

The City of Palo Alto plan includes multiple outstanding elements including on-going implementation of BMPs, a comprehensive and detailed water shortage contingency plan, recycled water plan and water service reliability section.

City of Redwood City

An outstanding element of the City of Redwood City plan is the aggressive schedule for implementation of the DMMs. Additional outstanding elements include the water shortage contingency plan, recycled water plan and water service reliability section.

Sacramento County Water Agency

The Sacramento County Water Agency plan was one of the most complete and detailed plans received. Many of the projections included in the plan covered a twenty-five year period (the Act only requires twenty-year projections). Implementation of the BMPs by the County is on-going.

Sacramento Suburban Water Agency

One of the most outstanding elements of the Sacramento Suburban Water Agency plan is the existing scale of DMM implementation and the aggressive schedule for expanded implementation of the DMMs. The water shortage contingency plan, recycled water plan and water service reliability section are also outstanding.

City of San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

The City of San Francisco Public Utilities Commission plan provides detailed information about its water service reliability. It includes supply and demand comparisons for normal, single-dry years and multiple-dry years over a twenty-year period. The City also provided detailed information on its wastewater treatment and collections, recycling program and projected future uses of recycled water.

San Jose Water Company

The plan submitted by the San Jose Water Company contains specific information on the extent and effectiveness of its DMMs. Implementation of some measures began in 1991. Several measures implemented in cooperation with the Santa Clara Valley Water District are good examples of the effectiveness of a public-private partnership for water use efficiency.

City of San Jose

The City of San Jose plan contains multiple outstanding elements including a comprehensive and detailed water shortage contingency plan, recycled water plan and water service reliability section. Many of the City's projections covered a twenty-five year period when the law only requires twenty-year projections. Implementation of the BMPs is on-going.

City of San Juan Capistrano

The outstanding elements of the plan developed by the City of San Juan Capistrano are an example of the attention given by coastal communities to water conservation, through on-going implementation of the BMPs and emergency preparedness. The water shortage contingency plan includes preparation for pipeline breaks and treatment plant outages. The plan also includes a detailed water service reliability section.

San Juan Water District

A thorough examination of the steps to be taken in San Juan Water District water shortage contingency plan is one of the outstanding characteristics of the District plan. Restrictions on building permits is one of the consumption reduction methods included. Other outstanding elements are on-going implementation of the BMPs and a detailed water service reliability section.

City of Santa Clara

A groundwater management plan is one outstanding element in the City of Santa Clara plan. On-going implementation of the BMPs, a detailed examination of the three-year estimated minimum water supply in the water shortage contingency plan, a detailed water service reliability section and an aggressive plan to recycle approximately twenty percent of treated wastewater by 2030 are other outstanding elements.

Santa Clara Valley Water District

The plan submitted by the Santa Clara Valley Water District provides examples of a comprehensive approach to water supply planning by a wholesale water supplier. The thoroughness of the review and attention to detail of its water supply reliability analysis is noteworthy. Other notable elements include a recycled water plan that includes a wide range of methods to encourage the use of recycled water.

City of Santa Cruz

On-going implementation of the BMPs and a water shortage contingency plan that includes penalties are outstanding elements of this coastal community plan.

Santa Margarita Water District

An aggressive recycling plan that includes a grant or loan program, rate discounts, and the discontinuation of domestic water service is an outstanding element of the Santa Margarita Water District plan. Other notable elements include the water shortage contingency plan and water service reliability elements.

Soquel Creek Water District

Every applicable element in the Soquel Creek Water District plan is outstanding. One example is the very comprehensive and detailed water shortage contingency plan that includes provisions for extreme shortages. The recycled water plan, aggressive schedule for expanded implementation of the DMMs and water service reliability section are examples of how small water suppliers can create a well developed plan for their community.

City of Soledad

This plan contains multiple outstanding elements that include a comprehensive and detailed water shortage contingency plan, recycled water plan and water service reliability section.

City of Watsonville

The outstanding elements of the City of Watsonville plan include one of the most extensive and detailed water shortage contingency plans. It also includes a detailed water service reliability section and substantial current implementation of the DMMs as well as expanded implementation of several of the DMMs, particularly the residential plumbing retrofit and the leak detection and repair program.

City of West Sacramento

The outstanding elements of the City of West Sacramento plan include an extensive and detailed water shortage contingency plan. It also includes a detailed water service reliability section.

City of Woodland

Outstanding elements of the City of Woodland plan include the water shortage contingency plan and water service reliability section.

Other Review Findings

The Department has concluded that additional information should be provided by water suppliers to provide data to assist in more comprehensive water resources planning including development of the California Water Plan Update. The Department requests that urban water agencies include this information in their next Urban Water Management Plan submittals. However, requiring the additional information is only possible through changes in the Act. The additional information is as follows:

- Include projected water deliveries and number of accounts by water use sector.
- Quantify projected demand management measure scheduled for implementation and cost effectiveness evaluations for demand management measures that are not scheduled for implementation.
- Include supply and demand comparisons for multiple dry-year scenarios in one-year increments for each five-year period.
- Describe wastewater collected by any agency in the water supplier's service area.
- Define "multiple dry-years" in Water Code § 10635(a) as a three or more dry-year sequence consistent with Water Code § 10632(b). Define an "average" water year as a single year that most closely represents average runoff levels and patterns in a watershed.
- Describe the opportunities for exchanges or transfers and projected quantities on a short-term or long-term basis.

Acknowledgments

Review of UWMP was conducted by the staff of Office of Water Use Efficiency and Transfers (OWUET) assisted by staff from Division of Planning and Local Assistance.

Appendix A

2005 Urban Water Management Plan Submittal Summary

Urban water supplier (1)	Did the supplier submit a plan? (2)	Date supplier submitted a plan (3)	Did DWR finish its review as of 12/30/06? (4)	Is the plan complete? (5)	Date water supplier informed* (6)
Adelanto City of	No				
Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District Zone 7	Yes	10/12/2005	Yes	Yes	3/27/2006
Alameda County Water District	Yes	1 /17/2006	Yes	Yes	6/16/2006
Alco Water Service	No				
Alhambra City of	Yes	12/27/2005	No		
Amador Water Agency	Yes	12/23/2005	Yes	Yes	1/6/2006
American Canyon City of	Yes	3 /13/2006	No		
Anaheim City of	Yes	1 /23/2006	No		
Antelope Valley East Kern Water Agency	Yes	12/29/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Antioch City of	Yes	2 /21/2006	Yes	No	N/A
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	Yes	12/18/2005	No		
Arcadia City of	Yes	12/22/2005	No		
Arcata City of	Yes	12/23/2005	No		
Arroyo Grande City of	Yes	3 /27/2006	No		
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	Yes	6 /19/2006	Yes	No	N/A
Atwater City of	No				
Azusa City of	Yes	1 /3 /2006	No		
Bakersfield City of	Yes	12/30/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Baldy Mesa Water District	Yes	08/15/2006	No		
Banning City of	Yes	12/27/2005	No		
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	Yes	2 /28/2006	No		
Bella Vista Water District	No				
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	Yes	12/30/2005	No		
Benicia City of	Yes	12/23/2005	Yes	Yes	3/27/2006
Beverly Hills City of	Yes	12/29/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Big Bear Community Services District	No				
Big Bear Lake City of	Yes	5 /3 /2006	No		
Blythe City of	Yes	12/19/2005	No		
Borrego Water District	No				
Brawley City of	Yes	1 /12/2006	No		
Brea City of	Yes	12/20/2005	No		
Brentwood City of	Yes	2 /17/2006	No		
Buena Park City of	Yes	12/27/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Burbank City of	Yes	12/23/2005	No		
Burlingame City of	Yes	12/22/2005	No		
Calaveras County Water District	No				
Calexico City of	No				
California City City of	No				
California Domestic Water Company	Yes	12/22/2005	No		

2005 Urban Water Management Plans

Urban water supplier (1)	Did the supplier submit a plan? (2)	Date supplier submitted a plan (3)	Did DWR finish its review as of 12/30/06? (4)	Is the plan complete? (5)	Date water supplier informed* (6)
California Water Service Company - Bakersfield	Yes	12/20/2006	No		
California Water Service Company - Bear Gulch	Yes	1 /11/2006	No		
California Water Service Company - Chico District	No				
California Water Service Company - Dixon	Yes	12/20/2006	No		
California Water Service Company - Dominquez	Yes	1 /11/2006	No		
California Water Service Company - East Los Angeles	No				
California Water Service Company - Hawthorne	No				
California Water Service Company - Hermosa/Redondo	Yes	1 /11/2006	No		
California Water Service Company - Kern River Valley	Yes	3 /13/2006	Yes	No	N/A
California Water Service Company - King City	Yes	12/20/2006	No		
California Water Service Company - Livermore	No				
California Water Service Company - Los Altos/Suburban	No				
California Water Service Company - Marysville	Yes	11/6 /2005	Yes	Yes	6/12/2006
California Water Service Company - Mid Peninsula	No				
California Water Service Company - North Garden	No				
California Water Service Company - Oroville	Yes	12/20/2006	No		
California Water Service Company - Palos Verdes	Yes	1 /11/2006	No		
California Water Service Company - Salinas District	No				
California Water Service Company - Selma	Yes	12/20/2006	No		
California Water Service Company - South San Francisco	Yes	12/20/2006	No		
California Water Service Company - Stockton	No				
California Water Service Company - Visalia	Yes	1 /20/2006	No		
California Water Service Company - Westlake	Yes	12/20/2006	No		
California Water Service Company - Willows	Yes	12/20/2006	No		
California-American Water Company - Antelope	No				
California-American Water Company - Baldwin Hills	No				
California-American Water Company - Coronado Division	Yes	7 /13/2006	No		
California-American Water Company - Duarte	No				
California-American Water Company - Imperial Beach	No				
California-American Water Company - Lincoln Oaks	No				
California-American Water Company - Los Angeles District	Yes	7 /13/2006	Yes	No	N/A
California-American Water Company - Monterey District	Yes	7 /13/2006	No		
California-American Water Company - Newbury Park	No				
California-American Water Company - Parkway	No				
California-American Water Company - Rosemont	No				
California-American Water Company - Suburban	No				
California-American Water Company - Village	Yes	7 /13/2006	Yes	No	N/A

Urban water supplier (1)	Did the supplier submit a plan? (2)	Date supplier submitted a plan (3)	Did DWR finish its review as of 12/30/06? (4)	Is the plan complete? (5)	Date water supplier informed* (6)
District					
Calleguas Municipal Water District	Yes	10/12/2005	Yes	Yes	9/6/2006
Camarillo City of	Yes	1 /13/2006	Yes	Yes	11/1/2006
Cambria Community Services District	Yes	10/25/2006	No		
Camrosa Water District	Yes	4 /26/2006	Yes	No	N/A
Capistrano Valley Water District	No				
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	Yes	12/30/2005	No		
Carmichael Water District	Yes	12/30/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Carpinteria Valley Water District	No				
Casitas Municipal Water District	Yes	12/15/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Castaic Lake Water Agency	Yes	12/6 /2005	Yes	No	N/A
Castaic Lake Water Agency - Santa Clarita	Yes	12/6/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Central Basin Municipal Water District	Yes	12/28/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Central Coast Water Authority	Yes	1 /30/2006	No		
Ceres City of	Yes	12/22/2005	Yes	No	4/4/2006
Cerritos City of	Yes	5 /5 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Chino Basin Desalter Authority - Chino City of	Yes	1 /13/2006	No		
Chino Hills City of	Yes	11/27/2005	No		
Citrus Heights Water District	Yes	12/19/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Cloverdale	No				
Clovis City of	Yes	2 /14/2006	Yes	Yes	12/28/2006
Coachella City of	No				
Coachella Valley Water District	Yes	12/13/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Coalinga City of	Yes	7 /27/2005	Yes	No	11/10/2005
Coastside County Water District	Yes	1 /3 /2006	No		
Colton Public Utilities District	No				
Compton City of	Yes	5 /24/2006	No		
Contra Costa Water District	Yes	12/29/2005	Yes	Yes	9/6/2006
Corcoran City of	No				
Corona City of	Yes	12/9 /2005	No		
Covina City of	Yes	1 /11/2006	Yes	No	N/A
Crescent City City of	Yes	8/14/2006	Yes	No	N/A
Crescenta Valley Water District	Yes	1 /13/2006	No		
Crestline Village Water District	No				
Cucamonga County Water District	Yes	1 /9 /2006	No		
Cupertino City of	No				
Daly City City of	Yes	12/23/2005	No		
Davis City of	Yes	7 /12/2006	No		
Del Oro Water Company	No				
Delano City of	No				
Desert Tortoise Public Finance Authority	No				
Desert Water Agency	Yes	1 /3 /2006	No		
Diablo Water District	Yes	12/27/2005	Yes	Yes	10/26/2006

2005 Urban Water Management Plans

Urban water supplier (1)	Did the supplier submit a plan? (2)	Date supplier submitted a plan (3)	Did DWR finish its review as of 12/30/06? (4)	Is the plan complete? (5)	Date water supplier informed* (6)
Dinuba City of	Yes	4 /20/2006	Yes	No	6/14/2006
Discovery Bay Community Services District	No				
Dominquez Water Corporation	No				
Downey City of	Yes	2 /24/2006	No		
Dublin San Ramon Services District	Yes	5 /25/2005	Yes	No	N/A
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	Yes	12/19/2005	Yes	Yes	6/16/2006
East Niles Community Service District	Yes	12/30/2005	Yes	No	11/14/2006
East Palo Alto Waterworks District	Yes	1 /13/2006	No		
East Valley Water District	Yes	12/29/2005	Yes	No	12/4/2006
Eastern Municipal Water District	Yes	1 /3 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
El Centro City of	Yes	11/13/2006	No		
El Dorado Irrigation District	Yes	1 /27/2006	Yes	Yes	3/28/2006
El Monte City of	Yes	6 /19/2006	No		
El Paso de Robles City of	No				
El Segundo City of	Yes	6 /22/2006	No		
El Toro Water District	Yes	12/27/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Elk Grove Water Service	Yes	1 /1 /2006	No		
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	Yes	12/22/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Escondido City of	Yes	12/27/2005	Yes	Yes	11/17/2006
Estero Municipal Improvement District	Yes	12/22/2005	No		
Eureka City of	Yes	12/30/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Exeter City of	No				
Fair Oaks Water District	Yes	12/30/2005	No		
Fairfield City of	No				
Fallbrook Public Utility District	Yes	12/27/2005	No		
Fillmore City of	Yes	1 /3 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Folsom City of	Yes	5 /5 /2006	No		
Foothill Municipal Water District	Yes	12/19/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Fortuna City of	No				
Fountain Valley City of	Yes	1 /5 /2006	No		
Fresno City of	No				
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	No				
Fullerton City of	Yes	12/28/2005	No		
Galt City of	Yes	2 /6 /2006	No		
Garden Grove City of	Yes	1 /3 /2006	No		
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	Yes	1 /30/2006	Yes	No	N/A
Gilroy City of	Yes	1 /9 /2006	No		
Glendale City of	Yes	12/29/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Glendora City of	Yes	12/28/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Golden State Water Company - Artesia	Yes	2 /7 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Golden State Water Company - Barstow	Yes	2 /14/2006	Yes	No	11/14/2006
Golden State Water Company - Bay Point	Yes	2 /9 /2006	No		
Golden State Water Company - Bell-Bell Gardens	Yes	2 /7 /2006	No		

Urban water supplier (1)	Did the supplier submit a plan? (2)	Date supplier submitted a plan (3)	Did DWR finish its review as of 12/30/06? (4)	Is the plan complete? (5)	Date water supplier informed* (6)
Golden State Water Company - Claremont	Yes	2 /7 /2006	No		
Golden State Water Company - Cordova	Yes	2 /9 /2006	No		
Golden State Water Company - Cowan Heights	Yes	2 /7 /2006	No		
Golden State Water Company - Culver City	Yes	2 /7 /2006	No		
Golden State Water Company - Florence Graham	Yes	2 /7 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Golden State Water Company - Norwalk	Yes	2 /7 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Golden State Water Company - Ojai	Yes	3 /6 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Golden State Water Company - Orcutt	Yes	6 /20/2006	Yes	No	N/A
Golden State Water Company - Placentia	Yes	2 /7 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Golden State Water Company - S Arcadia	Yes	2 /7 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Golden State Water Company - S San Gabriel	Yes	2 /7 /2006	Yes	Yes	9/26/2006
Golden State Water Company - San Dimas	Yes	2 /7 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Golden State Water Company - Simi Valley	Yes	2 /9 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Golden State Water Company - Southwest	Yes	2 /7 /2006	Yes	Yes	9/25/2006
Golden State Water Company - West Orange	Yes	2 /6 /2006	No		
Goleta Water District	Yes	12/29/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Gonzalez Water District	No				
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	Yes	8 /1 /2005	Yes	No	6/14/2006
Grover Beach City of	Yes	4 /17/2006	No		
Guadalupe City of	No				
Hanford City of	Yes	5 /3 /2006	No		
Hawthorne City of	No				
Hayward City of	Yes	1 /3 /2006	No		
Healdsburg City of	No				
Helix Water District	Yes	12/30/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Hemet City of	Yes	3 /16/2006	No		
Hesperia Water District - City of Hesperia.	Yes	12/14/2005	No		
Hi-Desert Water District	Yes	5 /1 /2006	No		
Highland Water Company	No				
Hillsborough Town of	Yes	12/29/2005	No		
Hollister City of	No				
Holtville City of	No				
Hughson City of	Yes	12/22/2006	No		
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	Yes	10/23/2006	No		
Humboldt Community Service District	No				
Huntington Beach City of	Yes	12/2 /2005	Yes	Yes	11/17/2006
Huntington Park City of	Yes	12/27/2005	No		
Indian Wells Valley Water District	No				
Indio City of	No				
Inglewood City of	Yes	1 /30/2006	No		
Inland Empire Utilities Agency	Yes	12/15/2006	Yes	No	N/A
Irvine Ranch Water District	Yes	12/5 /2005	No		
Joint Regional Water Supply System	No				

2005 Urban Water Management Plans

Urban water supplier (1)	Did the supplier submit a plan? (2)	Date supplier submitted a plan (3)	Did DWR finish its review as of 12/30/06? (4)	Is the plan complete? (5)	Date water supplier informed* (6)
Joshua Basin Water District	Yes	1 /3 /2006	No		
Jurupa Community Service District	No				
Kerman City of	No				
Kern County Water Agency - Improvement District No 4	Yes	12/30/2005	Yes	No	4/4/2006
Kingsburg City of	No				
La Habra City of	Yes	1 /20/2006	No		
La Habra Heights Community Water District	No				
La Palma City of	Yes	2 /20/2006	No		
La Verne City of	Yes	12/9 /2005	No		
Laguna Beach County Water District	Yes	2 /14/2006	No		
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	Yes	12/19/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	Yes	1 /17/2006	No		
Lakeside Water District	No				
Lakewood City of	Yes	12/27/2005	Yes	Yes	9/28/2006
Lamont Public Utility District	No				
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	Yes	12/14/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Lathrop City of	Yes	8 /12/2004	Yes	No	6/14/2006
Lemoore City of	Yes	12/30/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Lincoln City of	Yes	12/27/2005	Yes	No	10/25/2006
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	Yes	12/28/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Linda County Water District	Yes	11/1 /2005	Yes	Yes	6/16/2006
Lindsay City of	No				
Livermore City of	Yes	12/27/2005	No		
Livingston City of	No				
Lodi City of	Yes	4 /30/2006	No		
Loma Linda City of	Yes	11/3/2006	No		
Lomita City of	Yes	1 /17/2006	No		
Lompoc City of	Yes	1 /9 /2005	No		
Long Beach City of	Yes	12/21/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Long Beach County	Yes	12/19/2005	No		
Los Angeles City	Yes	12/22/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Los Angeles County - Public Works Waterworks District 29	Yes	12/27/2005	No		
Los Angeles County - Public Works Waterworks District 38	No				
Los Angeles County - Public Works Waterworks District 4 & 34	No				
Los Angeles County - Public Works Waterworks District 40	Yes	11/27/2005	No		
Los Angeles County - Public Works Waterworks District 80	No				
Los Banos City of	Yes	4 /26/2006	No		
Lynwood City of	Yes	12/30/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Madera City of	Yes	12/27/2005	Yes	No	3/27/2006
Mammoth Community Water District	Yes	12/27/2005	No		

Urban water supplier (1)	Did the supplier submit a plan? (2)	Date supplier submitted a plan (3)	Did DWR finish its review as of 12/30/06? (4)	Is the plan complete? (5)	Date water supplier informed* (6)
Manhattan Beach City of	Yes	2 /9 /2006	No		
Manteca City of	Yes	3 /27/2006	No		
Marin Municipal Water District	Yes	1 /23/2006	Yes	Yes	12/15/2006
Marina Coast Water District	Yes	1 /9 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Martinez City of	Yes	1 /18/2006	No		
McKinleyville Community Service District	Yes	1 /3 /2006	No		
Menlo Park City of	Yes	9 /29/2006	No		
Merced City of	Yes	7 /17/2006	No		
Mesa Consolidated Water District	Yes	12/19/2005	No		
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California	Yes	12/8 /2005	No		
Mid-Peninsula Water District	Yes	2 /3 /2006	No		
Millbrae City of	Yes	12/21/2005	No		
Milpitas City of	Yes	1 /6 /2006	No		
Mission Springs Water District	Yes	2 /14/2006	No		
Modesto City of	No				
Modesto Irrigation District	No				
Mojave Water Agency	Yes	12/27/2005	No		
Monrovia City of	No				
Monte Vista Water District	Yes	1 /13/2006	No		
Montebello Land and Water Company	Yes	12/27/2005	No		
Montecito Water District	Yes	10/12/2006	No		
Monterey Park City of	Yes	7 /28/2006	No		
Morgan Hill City of	Yes	12/7/2006	No		
Morro Bay City of	Yes	12/5 /2006	No		
Moulton Niguel Water District	Yes	12/19/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Mountain View City of	Yes	12/22/2005	Yes	Yes	8/15/2006
Municipal Water District of Orange County	Yes	12/28/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company	No				
Napa City of	Yes	2 /6 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Nevada Irrigation District	Yes	1 /30/2006	Yes	No	N/A
Newhall County Water District	Yes	12/6 /2005	Yes	No	N/A
Newport Beach City of	Yes	12/29/2005	No		
Nipomo Community Services District	Yes	2 /8 /2006	No		
Norco City of	No				
North Coast County Water District	Yes	2 /9 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
North Marin Water District	Yes	3 /27/2006	No		
North of The River Municipal Water District	Yes	1 /9 /2006	Yes	No	11/14/2006
North Tahoe Public Utility District	No				
Norwalk City of	Yes	1 /24/2006	No		
Oakdale City of	No				
Oceanside City of	Yes	1 /11/2006	No		
Oildale Mutual Water Company	Yes	12/30/2005	Yes	No	5/22/2006
Olivehurst Public Utilities District	No				

2005 Urban Water Management Plans

Urban water supplier (1)	Did the supplier submit a plan? (2)	Date supplier submitted a plan (3)	Did DWR finish its review as of 12/30/06? (4)	Is the plan complete? (5)	Date water supplier informed* (6)
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	Yes	1 /3 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Ontario City of	Yes	12/28/2005	No		
Orange City of	Yes	12/14/2005	No		
Orange Vale Water Company	Yes	12/19/2005	Yes	Yes	9/6/2006
Orchard Dale Water District	Yes	12/30/2005	No		
Otay Water District	Yes	12/19/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Oxnard City of	Yes	5 /5 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	Yes	12/29/2005	No		
Palmdale Water District	Yes	12/29/2005	No		
Palo Alto City of	Yes	1 /6 /2006	Yes	Yes	9/5/2006
Paradise Irrigation District	Yes	1 /5 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Paramount City of	Yes	12/22/2005	No		
Park Water Company	Yes	12/19/2005	No		
Paso Robles City of	No				
Pasadena City of	Yes	12/27/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Patterson City of	No				
Perris, City of	No				
Petaluma City of	No				
Pico Rivera City of	No				
Pico Water District	Yes	7 /3 /2006	No		
Pismo Beach City of	Yes	12/14/2006	No		
Pittsburg City of	Yes	3 /7 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Placer County Water Agency	Yes	1 /3 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Pleasanton City of	No				
Pomona City of	Yes	1 /1 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Port Hueneme City of	No				
Porterville City of	No				
Poway City of	Yes	12/12/2005	No		
Presidio of Monterey Annex Water Company	No				
Quartz Hill Water District	Yes	1 /1 /2006	No		
Rainbow Municipal Water District	No				
Ramona Municipal Water District	Yes	1 /27/2006	No		
Rancho California Water District	Yes	12/23/2005	No		
Red Bluff City of	Yes	6/21/2006	No		
Redding City of	Yes	9 /6 /2005	Yes	No	N/A
Redlands City of	Yes	3 /6 /2006	No		
Redwood City City of	Yes	1 /18/2006	Yes	Yes	8/1/2006
Reedley City of	Yes	1 /6 /2006	Yes	No	5/12/2006
Rialto City of	Yes	7 /28/2006	No		
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	Yes	12/29/2005	No		
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	Yes	1 /26/2006	No		
Ripon City of	Yes	12/30/2005	No		
Riverbank City of	No				

Urban water supplier (1)	Did the supplier submit a plan? (2)	Date supplier submitted a plan (3)	Did DWR finish its review as of 12/30/06? (4)	Is the plan complete? (5)	Date water supplier informed* (6)
Riverside City of	Yes	12/29/2005	No		
Riverside Highland Water Company	Yes	12/2 /2005	No		
Rohnert Park City of	No				
Rosamond Community Service District	Yes	12/23/2005	No		
Roseville City of	Yes	4 /5 /2006	No		
Rowland Water District	Yes	12/22/2005	No		
Rubidoux Community Service District	No				
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	Yes	12/27/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Sacramento City of	Yes	11/29/2006	No		
Sacramento County Water Agency	Yes	12/21/2005	Yes	Yes	8/22/2006
Sacramento Suburban Water District	Yes	12/22/2005	Yes	Yes	7/28/2006
San Benito County Water District	No				
San Bernardino City of	Yes	12/29/2005	No		
San Bernardino County - Service Area 64	No				
San Bernardino County - Service Area 70	No				
San Bruno City of	No				
San Buenaventura City of	Yes	1 /1 /2006	No		
San Clemente City of	Yes	12/22/2005	Yes	No	N/A
San Diego City of	Yes	10/23/2006	No		
San Diego County Water Authority	Yes	12/15/2005	Yes	No	N/A
San Dieguito Water District	Yes	1 /3 /2006	No		
San Fernando City of	Yes	2 /22/2006	No		
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	Yes	12/27/2005	Yes	Yes	9/6/2006
San Gabriel County Water District	Yes	12/30/2005	Yes	No	N/A
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Municipal Water District	Yes	1 /30/2006	No		
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	Yes	11/23/2006	No		
San Jacinto City of	Yes	4 /26/2006	No		
San Joaquin County - Public Works	No				
San Jose City of	Yes	12/19/2005	Yes	Yes	7/13/2006
San Jose Water Company	Yes	11/16/2005	Yes	Yes	10/24/2006
San Juan Capistrano City of	Yes	12/14/2005	Yes	Yes	12/4/2006
San Juan Water District	Yes	12/27/2005	Yes	Yes	12/5/2006
San Lorenzo Valley Water District	No				
San Luis Obispo City of	Yes	1 /5 /2006	No		
San Luis Obispo County - Flood Control & Water Conservation District Zone 3	Yes	1 /9 /2006	No		
Sanger City of	Yes	1 /6 /2006	Yes	No	11/14/2006
Santa Ana City of	Yes	12/2 /2005	Yes	No	N/A
Santa Barbara City of	Yes	1 /3 /2006	Yes	No	10/5/2006
Santa Clara City of	Yes	12/1 /2005	Yes	Yes	11/7/2006
Santa Clara Valley Water District	Yes	11/10/2005	Yes	Yes	8/22/2006
Santa Cruz City of	Yes	3 /14/2006	Yes	Yes	12/27/2006
Santa Fe Irrigation District	Yes	12/29/2005	No		

2005 Urban Water Management Plans

Urban water supplier (1)	Did the supplier submit a plan? (2)	Date supplier submitted a plan (3)	Did DWR finish its review as of 12/30/06? (4)	Is the plan complete? (5)	Date water supplier informed* (6)
Santa Fe Springs City of	Yes	1 /26/2006	No		
Santa Margarita Water District	Yes	1 /23/2006	Yes	Yes	12/28/2006
Santa Maria City of	No				
Santa Monica City of	Yes	12/23/2005	No		
Santa Paula City of	Yes	9 /13/2006	No		
Santa Rosa City of	Yes	6 /30/2006	No		
Santa Ynez River Water Conservation District	No				
Scotts Valley Water District	Yes	12/27/2005	Yes	No	5/22/2006
Seal Beach City of	Yes	12/21/2005	No		
Shafter City of	Yes	12/29/2005	Yes	No	3/28/2006
Shasta Lake City of	Yes	2 /16/2006	No		
Sierra Madre City of	Yes	12/22/2005	No		
Solano County Water Agency	Yes	10/17/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Soledad City of	Yes	1 /1 /2006	Yes	Yes	3/27/2006
Sonoma City of	No				
Sonoma County Water Agency	Yes	12/19/2006	No		
Soquel Creek Water District	Yes	12/19/2005	Yes	Yes	11/14/2006
South Coast Water District	Yes	12/22/2005	Yes	No	N/A
South Feather Water and Power Agency	Yes	2/23/2006	No		
South Gate City of	No				
South Pasadena City of	Yes	1 /3 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	No				
Southern California Water Company - Central Basin East CSA Norwalk System	No				
Southern California Water Company - Central Basin W CSA Florence-Graham System	No				
Southern California Water Company - Los Alamitos CSA West Orange County System	No				
Southern California Water Company - Los Osos System	No				
Southern California Water Company - Orcutt System	No				
Southern California Water Company - Placentia CSA Yorba Linda System	No				
Southern California Water Company - South Arcadia System	No				
Stockton City of	Yes	1 /3 /2006	No		
Stockton East Water District	Yes	12/4/2006	No		
Suburban Water Systems	Yes	3 /27/2006	No		
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	No				
Sunny Slope Water Company	Yes	12/22/2005	No		
Sunnyslope County Water District	No				
Sunnyvale City of	Yes	12/29/2005	No		
Susanville City of	No				
Sweetwater Authority	Yes	12/19/2005	No		
Sweetwater Springs Water District	No				
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	Yes	3 /28/2006	No		

Urban water supplier (1)	Did the supplier submit a plan? (2)	Date supplier submitted a plan (3)	Did DWR finish its review as of 12/30/06? (4)	Is the plan complete? (5)	Date water supplier informed* (6)
Tejon-Castac Water District	Yes	12/27/2005	No		
Thousand Oaks City of	Yes	1 /3 /2006	No		
Three Valleys Municipal Water District	Yes	1 /23/2006	Yes	No	N/A
Torrance City of	Yes	1 /9 /2006	No		
Trabuco Canyon Water District	Yes	1 /19/2006	No		
Tracy City of	Yes	1 /9 /2006	No		
Triunfo Sanitation District/Oak Park Water District	Yes	12/30/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	Yes	11/14/2005	Yes	No	3/27/2006
Tulare City of	No				
Tuolumne Utilities District	Yes	12/7/2006	No		
Turlock City of	Yes	1 /20/2006	No		
Tustin City of	Yes	5 /2 /2006	No		
Twentynine Palms Water District	Yes	1 /6 /2006	No		
Ukiah City of	No				
United Water Conservation District	Yes	4 /5 /2005	No		
Upland City of	Yes	12/30/2005	No		
Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water	Yes	1 /3 /2006	No		
Vacaville City of	Yes	1 /3 /2006	No		
Valencia Water Company	Yes	12/23/2005	Yes	No	N/A
Vallecitos Water District	Yes	3 /3 /2006	No		
Vallejo City of	Yes	3 /26/2006	No		
Valley Center Municipal Water District	Yes	2 /24/2006	No		
Valley County Water District	Yes	6 /12/2006	No		
Valley of the Moon Water District	No				
Valley Water Company	Yes	3 /3 /2006	No		
Vaughn Water Company	Yes	2 /22/2006	Yes	No	5/22/2006
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	Yes	1 /9 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	Yes	6 /19/2006	Yes	No	N/A
Vernon City of	Yes	12/27/2005	No		
Victor Valley Water District	Yes	12/29/2005	No		
Vista Irrigation District	Yes	12/21/2005	No		
Walnut Valley Water District	Yes	12/28/2005	No		
Wasco City of	No				
Watsonville City of	Yes	3 /31/2006	Yes	Yes	10/23/2006
West Basin Municipal Water District	Yes	12/30/2005	Yes	No	N/A
West Kern Water District	Yes	12/19/2006	No		
West Sacramento City of	Yes	9 /26/2005	Yes	Yes	1/17/2006
West San Bernardino County Water District	No				
West Valley Water District	Yes	1 /13/2006	No		
Westborough Water District	Yes	10/3 /2006	No		
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	Yes	1 /1 /2006	Yes	No	N/A
Westminster City of	Yes	12/22/2005	No		
Whittier City of	Yes	3 /13/2006	No		

2005 Urban Water Management Plans

Urban water supplier (1)	Did the supplier submit a plan? (2)	Date supplier submitted a plan (3)	Did DWR finish its review as of 12/30/06? (4)	Is the plan complete? (5)	Date water supplier informed* (6)
Woodland City of	Yes	12/29/2005	Yes	Yes	3/28/2006
Yorba Linda Water District	Yes	1 /9 /2006	No		
Yuba City City of	Yes	3 /27/2006	No		
Yucaipa Valley Water District	Yes	5 /26/2006	No		
Yuima Municipal Water District	Yes	2 /1 /2006	No		

Number of Urban Water Suppliers Required to File a Plan	460
Number of Urban Water Suppliers that Filed a Plan	344
Number of Urban Water Suppliers that Did Not File a Plan	116
Number of plans reviewed by the Department	139
Number of plans that are complete	39
Number of plans that are incomplete *	100

*If the plan has been identified by the Department as not complete, a “no” is noted in column five. The date the supplier was sent an “Incomplete” letter to notify them that their plan is incomplete and requires additional information is noted in column six. Suppliers with an incomplete plan that are interacting with the Department to complete their plans do not receive an “Incomplete” letter and a “N/A” is noted in column six.

Appendix B

Summary of Missing Information in the Reviewed Plans

Categories of Information	Percent of plans with missing information
Water Source	12%
Water Source Groundwater	26%
Reliability of Supply	39%
Transfer & Exchange Opportunities	14%
Water Use by Customer Type	29%
2003-2004 Best Management Practices*	See footnote*
Development of Desalinated Water	24%
Current or Projected Supply Includes Wholesale Water**	See footnote **
Water Shortage Contingency Plan	51%
Recycled Water Plan	51%
Water Quality Impact on Reliability	20%
Water Service Reliability	35%
Demand Management Measures	
Residential Water Survey Programs	68%
Residential Plumbing Retrofit	64%
System Water Audits, Lead Detection & Repair	64%
Metering with Commodity Rates	40%
Large Landscape Conservation Programs	70%
High-Efficiency Washing Machine Rebate Programs	73%
Public Information Programs	37%
School Education Programs	54%
Commercial, Industrial & Institutional Conservation Programs	73%
Wholesale Agency Programs**	36%
Conservation Pricing	52%
Water Conservation Coordinator	55%
Water Waste Prohibition	49%
Residential Ultra-Low-Flush Toilet Replacement Programs	61%

* suppliers not reporting BMPs are required to report DMMs.

** if supplier has no wholesale supply this requirement is not applicable

Appendix C Authority for Urban Water Management Plans

The California Water Code, Division 6, Part 2.6, Section 10610, et seq, is known as the Urban Water Management Planning Act. The Urban Water Management Planning Act specifies which urban water suppliers must prepare urban water management plans, what the urban water suppliers should include in the plans, where the plans should be submitted, when the plans should be prepared or updated, and why the plans are necessary.

10610.4. The Legislature finds and declares that it is the policy of the state as follows:

(a) The management of urban water demands and efficient use of water shall be actively pursued to protect both the people of the state and their water resources.

10617. Urban water supplier means a supplier, either publicly or privately owned, providing water for municipal purposes either directly or indirectly to more than 3,000 customers or supplying more than 3,000 acre-feet of water annually. An urban water supplier includes a supplier or contractor for water, regardless of the basis of right, which distributes or sells for ultimate resale to customers.

10620. (a) Every urban water supplier shall prepare and adopt an urban water management plan.

10621. (a) Each urban water supplier shall update its plan at least once every five years on or before December 31, in years ending in five and zero.

10644. (a) An urban water supplier shall file with the department a copy of its plan no later than 30 days after adoption.

10644. (b) The department shall prepare and submit to the Legislature, on or before December 31, in the years ending in six and one, a report summarizing the status of the plans adopted pursuant to this part. The report prepared by the department shall identify the outstanding elements of individual plans. The department shall provide a copy of the report to each urban water supplier that has filed its plan with the department.

Printed by
DWR Printing Production
Services



Copies of this report are available from:

State of California
Department of Water Resources
P. O. Box 942836
Sacramento, CA 94236-0001

This report is also available on the Office of Water Use Efficiency and Transfers Web site at:
<http://www.owue.water.ca.gov/urbanplan/index.cfm>