

Delta smelt, salmon, & exports Spring 2005

Presented to
CalFed Ops Group

April 14, 2005

BJ Miller

San Luis and Delta-Mendota Water
Authority


Delta smelt background

A thick, horizontal blue brushstroke underline with a slightly irregular, hand-painted appearance, positioned below the text.


What controls the fall midwater trawl index of sub-adult delta smelt?

- No correlation between FMWT index of sub-adult abundance and STN index of juvenile abundance in smelt “post-decline” period (after 1980).???
- There is a correlation between the STN and previous FMWT, so these data are good enough to show a correlation between FMWT and previous STN if one existed.
- Bennett: Based on lab analyses of smelt from 1999 concludes that factor(s) in late summer controls sub-adult abundance in fall. Most likely food limitation.
- We compared smelt abundance and prey density in July for ten areas of smelt habitat.
- Found highly significant correlation of FMWT vs. sum over areas of product of smelt abundance and prey density.

Delta smelt abundance & prey density areas



FMWT vs. smelt-prey co-occurrence 1985-2004



weighted July tow net catch*avg. density of prey
(sum of products for Eurytem, Pdiapfor over areas)

co-occurrence products, smelt abundance and prey density

year	San Pablo Bay	Carquinez St.	Suisun Bay	Suisun Marsh	Chippis Is.	Lower Sac. R.	Lower SJR	nr Franks Tract	E-SE Delta	SE Delta
1985	0	0	0	0	440,690	344,045	30,664	0	0	0
1986	0	0	450,941	14,533	1,242,206	1,267,601	21,035	0	0	0
1987	0	0	0	5,518	0	604,187	88,799	2,195	0	0
1988	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1989	0	0	163,253	397,592	0	1,681,362	0	0	0	24,771
1990	0	0	63,145	0	51,242	6,110,920	964,590	0	0	0
1991	0	0	0	0	74,938	7,207,645	920,136	64,015	0	0
1992	0	0	11,392	0	504,997	1,485,621	314,314	0	0	0
1993	0	3,049	907,585	27,597	1,895,987	3,324,685	2,588,521	0	0	0
1994	0	0	11,070	5,630	29,798	2,490,736	0	0	27,957	0
1995	0	0	6,404,571	75,496	680,357	253,148	0	0	0	0
1996	0	0	112,081	1,436,198	643,081	1,538,178	1,688,019	0	0	0
1997	0	0	288,588	0	629,147	1,237,496	136,774	0	0	0
1998	0	18,940	1,956,598	1,021,038	70,601	82,325	188,754	0	0	0
1999	0	0	1,471,853	444,747	4,418,652	4,446,508	647,666	189,416	0	0
2000	0	0	157,713	405,110	676,491	3,629,136	340,656	0	0	0
2001	0	0	12,533	2,581	0	2,431,670	0	0	0	0
2002	0	0	10,244	0	44,512	993,401	136,284	0	0	0
2003	0	0	1,399	4,810	259,573	899,270	203,891	0	0	0
2004	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



The sum of each year's products over these areas is the value on the x-axis of the previous graph.

Conclusions


- There is a highly significant correlation ($R^2=0.62$, $p=0.00003$) between FMWT and the July co-occurrence of smelt and prey.
- The primary area of co-occurrence in July is the lower Sacramento River and, in some years, nearby areas.
- Areas near the export pumps are not areas of significant co-occurrence of smelt and prey in July.
- If export entrainment is affecting FMWT, must be affecting smelt and/or prey that end up in co-occurrence areas in July.

The key questions:


- Where do smelt in the high co-occurrence areas in the late summer come from? Export effect?
- What factors affect prey (pseudodiaptomus) density in the high co-occurrence areas in the late summer?




lower San Joaquin R. pseudodiaptomus




Chippis Island pseudodiaptomus




delta smelt abundance in the lower Sacramento



delta smelt abundance in the Chipps Island



delta smelt abundance in the lower San Joaquin



Conclusions:

- Water project operations are not affecting pseudodiaptomus (prey) abundance in July by affecting flows in the lower Sacramento River.
- Pseudodiaptomus densities in the lower Sac. R. have shown a marked decline over the last 20 years.???

explanation

- ◆ Smelt that co-occur with prey in July must have co-occurred with prey as larvae and juveniles AND THEN ended up in/near lower Sac. R. in late summer.
- ◆ Year-to-year variations in this co-occurrence and in migration would explain lack of relationship between exports and juvenile abundance.

Next steps


- Bennett lab analysis of origin of smelt in high co-occurrence areas in July
- Analysis of smelt abundance and co-occurrence with prey before July
- Identification of factors affecting prey abundance in areas of co-occurrence

Delta smelt: this year

Conclusions:

- Distribution of spawning adults and PTM runs: essentially no chance of entrainment, regardless of export rate
- Application of past analysis to this year's situation: exports could be at least 5,000 cfs


fall midwater trawl sub-adult abundance index




Adult distribution and PTM runs




Spring Kodiak Trawl Survey #1 of 2005
Distribution of Female Delta Smelt
 (1/24/2005 - 1/28/2005)



Spring Kodiak Trawl Survey #2 of 2005
Distribution of Female Delta Smelt
 (2/22/2005 - 2/25/2005)



Spring Kodiak Trawl Survey #3 of 2005
Distribution of Female Delta Smelt
 (3/22/2005 - 3/25/2005)




Conclusions:


- Adult smelt are spawning in and downstream of the lower Sacramento River and in the Cache Slough area.
- No spawning adults have been found near the export pumps.

female delta smelt distribution and maturity 2002


Spring Kodiak Trawl Survey #1 of 2002
Distribution of Female Delta Smelt
(1/7/2002 - 1/10/2002)



Spring Kodiak Trawl Survey #2 of 2002
Distribution of Female Delta Smelt
(2/4/2002 - 2/7/2002)




Spring Kodiak Trawl Survey #3 of 2002
Distribution of Female Delta Smelt
(3/4/2002 - 3/7/2002)




female delta smelt distribution and maturity 2003


Spring Kodiak Trawl Survey #1 of 2003
Distribution of Female Delta Smelt
(2/18/2003 - 2/21/2003)




Spring Kodiak Trawl Survey #2 of 2003
Distribution of Female Delta Smelt
(3/17/2003 - 3/20/2003)




Spring Kodiak Trawl Survey #3 of 2003
Distribution of Female Delta Smelt
(4/14/2003 - 4/17/2003)




Spring Kodiak Trawl Survey #4 of 2003
Distribution of Female Delta Smelt
(5/13/2003 - 5/16/2003)








Spring Kodiak Trawl Survey #11 of 2003
Distribution of Female Delta Smelt
(3/3/2003 - 3/4/2003)



Spring Kodiak Trawl Survey #12 of 2003
Distribution of Female Delta Smelt
(4/2/2003 - 4/4/2003)



female delta smelt distribution and maturity 2004




Conclusions:

- Past year's adult Kodiak surveys indicate that spawning adults are not likely to occur closer to the export pumps than they are now.
- High flows on the San Joaquin River make subsequent occurrence near the pumps even less likely this year.


This year's particle tracking model runs

- Results from these runs are plotted on the following graphs.
- Each graph shows the chance of being entrained at the export pumps after a certain number of days from a particular location.
- May 1, this year's likely start date for the VAMP experiment is shown on each graph.


Chance of entrainment from Turner Cut




Chance of entrainment from Holland Tract




Chance of entrainment from Twitchell Island



Chance of entrainment from Rio Vista



Chance of entrainment from Cache Slough



Conclusions:

- Essentially all smelt spawned west of Twitchell Island
- Past year's data indicate adults don't migrate upstream
- PTM runs indicate:
 - Almost no chance of entrainment from Twitchell
 - No chance of entrainment from locations where most spawning is occurring
 - Regardless of export rates and river flows

Application of past analysis

A thick, horizontal blue brushstroke underline with a slightly irregular, hand-painted appearance, positioned directly beneath the title text.

Estimating % juvenile entrainment

- Used data from 20 mm surveys, 1995-2004
- Estimated distribution of smelt from 20 mm surveys
- Estimated entrainment from PTM data
- Accounted for fraction hatched using temp




annual juvenile delta smelt entrainment

year	% population entrained		
	avg cpue	avg cpue*vol wtg factor	avg cpue* area wtg factor
1995	0%	0%	0%
1996	21%	17%	18%
1997	9%	7%	6%
1998	0%	0%	0%
1999	10%	9%	9%
2000	4%	5%	5%
2001	24%	18%	17%
2002	15%	12%	13%
2003	25%	18%	17%
2004	18%	14%	15%




Does % juvenile entrainment affect subsequent abundance?

- No apparent relationship with subsequent juvenile abundance (summer townet survey)
- No apparent relationship with subsequent sub-adult abundance (fall midwater trawl survey)
- Suggest that target % juvenile entrainment should be 20% or less.

These three graphs differ only as to whether and how the catch per unit effort at each station in the 20 mm survey data are weighted by station water volume or water surface area.






These three graphs differ only as to whether and how the catch per unit effort at each station in the 20 mm survey data are weighted by station water volume or water surface area.






Predicting % juvenile entrainment

- % juvenile entrainment depends on two factors:
 - % of juveniles near the export pumps
 - Export rate
- % juveniles near pumps depends on Delta outflow
- % juvenile entrainment depends on product of % near pumps and export rate

Percentage of total delta smelt in the southeastern Delta vs. export rate





Current data

- Delta outflow: 3/15 to 4/13 = 38,827 cfs average
- % smelt southeast Delta = 12% (conservative because of relatively high SJR flows)
- Target exports for 1st five surveys depends on target % juvenile entrainment (recall, no correlation between % entrained and either STN or FMWT)

Target exports for Apr-May

target % juvenile entrainment	target export rate cfs
5%	2,500
10%	5,000
15%	7,500
20%	10,000

Delta smelt conclusion:

- By two methods, export rates before and during VAMP could be at least 5,000 cfs without any measurable effect on juvenile or sub-adult smelt populations.

Salmon and VAMP exports

- Higher exports during VAMP (even higher than 3,000 cfs) has two benefits:
 - More likely to show export effect if such an effect exists
 - Probably improves smolt survival

Background

- No correlation between export rate and smolt survival has been found in VAMP experiments
- Correlation between flow and survival has been shown
- Use of the ratio of flow to exports is misleading
- Survival in SJR is 15% or less, 30% for fish entrained at Tracy, ? for un-entrained smolts in Old River.

The ratio of flow to exports

- Has been used for years
- Survival vs. flow correlation drives the survival vs. ratio correlation
- The ratio of flow to any factor unrelated to survival would likely produce a correlation between survival and the ratio.
- Flow and exports can and should be separated; if so, no export effect found yet

Exports and smolt survival

- No effect found yet
- Two possibilities
 - There is no measurable effect
 - There is an effect, but it cannot be detected because exports are always so low.

The VAMP experiment

- Supposed to be an adaptive management experiment
- Already have a relationship between survival and river flow
- Need higher export experiment to see if export effect can be detected
- Do not need a high flow-low export experiment unless you believe in the ratio

Entrainment at Tracy is good for smolts

- SJR survival 15% or less
- Tracy PP survival is about 30%, assuming:
 - 15% pre-screen predation
 - 70% screening efficiency
 - 50% trucking/handling loss
- Therefore, unless un-entrained Old River smolt survival is substantially less than 15%, high pumping and entrainment at Tracy PP will improve survival
- Banks: pre-screen predation is too high.

General conclusion

- Export rates in the pre-VAMP and VAMP periods could be at least 5,000 cfs
- No significant effect on smelt
- Probable benefits for smolts (Tracy, not Banks)
- Produces valuable VAMP data