Appendix D: DWR's Groundwater Bulletin 118

PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

Tulare Lake Hydrologic Region

Figure 37 Tulare Lake Hydrologic Region

Basins and Subbasins of Tulare Lake Hydrologic Region

Basin/subbasin	Basin name
5-22	San Joaquin Valley
5-22.08	Kings
5-22.09	Westside
5-22.10	Pleasant Valley
5-22.11	Kaweah
5-22.12	Tulare Lake
5-22.13	Tule
5-22.14	Kern County
5-23	Panoche Valley
5-25	Kern River Valley
5-26	Walker Basin Creek Valley
5-27	Cummings Valley
5-28	Tehachapi Valley West
5-29	Castaic Lake Valley
5-71	Vallecitos Creek Valley
5-80	Brite Valley
5-82	Cuddy Canyon Valley
5-83	Cuddy Ranch Area
5-84	Cuddy Valley
5-85	Mil Potrero Area

Description of the Region

The Tulare Lake HR covers approximately 10.9 million acres (17,000 square miles) and includes all of Kings and Tulare counties and most of Fresno and Kern counties (Figure 37). The region corresponds to approximately the southern one-third of RWQCB 5. Significant geographic features include the southern half of the San Joaquin Valley, the Temblor Range to the west, the Tehachapi Mountains to the south, and the southern Sierra Nevada to the east. The region is home to more than 1.7 million people as of 1995 (DWR, 1998). Major population centers include Fresno, Bakersfield, and Visalia. The cities of Fresno and Visalia are entirely dependent on groundwater for their supply, with Fresno being the second largest city in the United States reliant solely on groundwater.

Groundwater Development

The region has 12 distinct groundwater basins and 7 subbasins of the San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin, which crosses north into the San Joaquin River HR. These basins underlie approximately 5.33 million acres (8,330 square miles) or 49 percent of the entire HR area.

Groundwater has historically been important to both urban and agricultural uses, accounting for 41 percent of the region's total annual supply and 35 percent of all groundwater use in the State. Groundwater use in the region represents about 10 percent of the State's overall supply for agricultural and urban uses (DWR 1998).

The aquifers are generally quite thick in the San Joaquin Valley subbasins with groundwater wells commonly exceeding 1,000 feet in depth. The maximum thickness of freshwater-bearing deposits (4,400 feet) occurs at the southern end of the San Joaquin Valley. Typical well yields in the San Joaquin Valley range from 300 gpm to 2,000 gpm with yields of 4,000 gpm possible. The smaller basins in the mountains surrounding the San Joaquin Valley have thinner aquifers and generally lower well yields averaging less than 500 gpm.

The cities of Fresno, Bakersfield, and Visalia have groundwater recharge programs to ensure that groundwater will continue to be a viable water supply in the future. Extensive groundwater recharge programs are also in place in the south valley where water districts have recharged several million acre-feet for future use and transfer through water banking programs.

The extensive use of groundwater in the San Joaquin Valley has historically caused subsidence of the land surface primarily along the west side and south end of the valley.

Groundwater Quality

In general, groundwater quality throughout the region is suitable for most urban and agricultural uses with only local impairments. The primary constituents of concern are high TDS, nitrate, arsenic, and organic compounds.

The areas of high TDS content are primarily along the west side of the San Joaquin Valley and in the trough of the valley. High TDS content of west-side water is due to recharge of stream flow originating from marine sediments in the Coast Range. High TDS content in the trough of the valley is the result of concentration of salts because of evaporation and poor drainage. In the central and west-side portions of the valley, where the Corcoran Clay confining layer exists, water quality is generally better beneath the clay than above it. Nitrates may occur naturally or as a result of disposal of human and animal waste products and fertilizer. Areas of high nitrate concentrations are known to exist near the town of Shafter and other isolated areas in the San Joaquin Valley. High levels of arsenic occur locally and appear to be associated with lakebed areas. Elevated arsenic levels have been reported in the Tulare Lake, Kern Lake and Buena Vista Lake bed areas. Organic contaminants can be broken into two categories, agricultural and industrial. Agricultural pesticides and herbicides have been detected throughout the valley, but primarily along the east side where soil permeability is higher and depth to groundwater is shallower. The most notable agricultural contaminant is DBCP, a now-banned soil fumigant and known carcinogen once used extensively on grapes. Industrial organic contaminants include TCE, DCE, and other solvents. They are found in groundwater near airports, industrial areas, and landfills.

Water Quality in Public Supply Wells

From 1994 through 2000, 1,476 public supply water wells were sampled in 14 of the 19 groundwater basins and subbasins in the Tulare Lake HR. Evaluation of analyzed samples shows that 1,049 of the wells, or 71 percent, met the state primary MCLs for drinking water. Four-hundred-twenty-seven wells, or 29 percent, exceeded one or more MCL. Figure 38 shows the percentages of each contaminant group that exceeded MCLs in the 427 wells.



Figure 38 MCL exceedances by contaminant group in public supply wells in the Tulare Lake Hydrologic Region

Table 31 lists the three most frequently occurring contaminants in each of the six contaminant groups and shows the number of wells in the HR that exceeded the MCL for those contaminants.

Table 31 Most frequently occurring contaminants by contaminant group in the Tulare Lake Hydrologic Region

Contaminant group	Contaminant - # of wells	Contaminant - # of wells	Contaminant - # of wells
Inorganics - Primary	Fluoride – 32	Arsenic – 16	Aluminum – 13
Inorganics - Secondary	Iron – 155	Manganese – 82	TDS – 9
Radiological	Gross Alpha – 74	Uranium – 24	Radium 228 – 8
Nitrates	Nitrate(as NO_3) – 83	Nitrate + Nitrite – 14	Nitrite(as N) – 3
Pesticides	DBCP – 130	EDB – 24	Di(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate – 7
VOCs/SVOCs	TCE – 17	PCE – 16	Benzene – 6 MTBE – 6

DBCP = Dibromochloropropane
EDB = Ethylenedibromide
TCE = Trichloroethylene
PCE = Tetrachloroehylene
VOC = Volatile organic compound
SVOC = Semivolatile organic compound

Changes from Bulletin 118-80

There are no newly defined basins since Bulletin 118-80. However, the subbasins of the San Joaquin Valley, which were delineated as part of the 118-80 update, are given their first numeric designation in this report (Table 32).

Table 32 Modifications since Bulletin 118-80 of groundwater basins and subbasins in Tulare Lake Hydrologic Region

Subbasin name	New number	Old number
Kings	5-22.08	5-22
Westside	5-22.09	5-22
Pleasant Valley	5-22.10	5-22
Kaweah	5-22.11	5-22
Tulare Lake	5-22.12	5-22
Tule	5-22.13	5-22
Kern County	5-22.14	5-22
Squaw Valley	deleted	5-24
Cedar Grove Area	deleted	5-72
Three Rivers Area	deleted	5-73
Springville Area	deleted	5-74
Templeton Mountain Area	deleted	5-75
Manache Meadow Area	deleted	5-76
Sacator Canyon Valley	deleted	5-77
Rockhouse Meadows Valley	deleted	5-78
Inns Valley	deleted	5-79
Bear Valley	deleted	5-81

Several basins have been deleted from the Bulletin 118-80 report. In Squaw Valley (5-24) all 118 wells are completed in hard rock. Cedar Grove Area (5-72) is a narrow river valley in Kings Canyon National Park with no wells. Three Rivers Area (5-73) has a thin alluvial terrace deposit but 128 of 130 wells are completed in hard rock. Springville Area (5-74) is this strip of alluvium adjacent to Tule River and all wells are completed in hard rock. Templeton Mountain Area (5-75), Manache Meadow Area (5-76), and Sacator Canyon Valley (5-77) are all at the crest of mountains with no wells. Rockhouse Meadows Valley (5-78) is in wilderness with no wells. Inns Valley (5-79) and Bear Valley (5-81) both have all wells completed in hard rock.

Table 33 Tulare Lake Hydrologic Region groundwater data

Basin/Subbasin	Basin Name	Area (acres)	Groundwater Budget Type	Well Yields (gpm)		Types of Monitoring			TDS (mg/L)	
				Maximum	Average	Levels	Quality	Title 22	Average	Range
5-22	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY									
5-22.08	KINGS	976,000	C	3,000	500-1,500	909	-	722	200-700	40-2000
5-22.09	WESTSIDE	640,000	C	2,000	1,100	960	-	50	520	220-35,000
5-22.10	PLEASANT VALLEY	146,000	B	3,300	-	151	-	2	1,500	1000-3000
5-22.11	KAWEAH	446,000	B	2,500	1,000-2,000	568	-	270	189	35-580
5-22.12	TULARE LAKE	524,000	B	3,000	300-1,000	241	-	86	200-600	200-40,000
5-22.13	TULE	467,000	B	3,000	-	459	-	150	256	200-30,000
5-22.14	KERN COUNTY	1,950,000	A	4,000	1,200-1,500	2,258	249	476	400-450	150-5000
5-23	PANOCHE VALLEY	33,100	C	-	-	48	-	-	1,300	394-3530
5-25	KERN RIVER VALLEY	74,000	C	3,650	350	-	-	92	378	253-480
5-26	WALKER BASIN CREEK VALLEY	7,670	C	650	-	-	-	1	-	-
5-27	CUMMINGS VALLEY	10,000	A	150	56	51	-	15	344	-
5-28	TEHACHAPI VALLEY WEST	14,800	A	1,500	454	64	-	19	315	280-365
5-29	CASTAC LAKE VALLEY	3,600	C	400	375	-	-	3	583	570-605
5-71	VALLECITOS CREEK VALLEY	15,100	C	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
5-80	BRITE VALLEY	3,170	A	500	50	-	-	-	-	-
5-82	CUDDY CANYON VALLEY	3,300	C	500	400	-	-	3	693	695
5-83	CUDDY RANCH AREA	4,200	C	300	180	-	-	4	550	480-645
5-84	CUDDY VALLEY	3,500	A	160	135	3	-	3	407	325-645
5-85	MIL POTRERO AREA	2,300	C	3,200	240	7	-	7	460	372-657

gpm - gallons per minute
mg/L - milligram per liter
TDS -total dissolved solids

PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin
Kaweah Subbasin

- Groundwater Subbasin Number: 5-22.11
- County: Tulare, Kings
- Surface Area: 446,000 acres (696 square miles)

Basin Boundaries and Hydrology

The San Joaquin Valley is surrounded on the west by the Coast Ranges, on the south by the San Emigdio and Tehachapi Mountains, on the east by the Sierra Nevada and on the north by the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and Sacramento Valley. The northern portion of the San Joaquin Valley drains toward the Delta by the San Joaquin River and its tributaries, the Fresno, Merced, Tuolumne, and Stanislaus Rivers. The southern portion of the valley is internally drained by the Kings, Kaweah, Tule, and Kern Rivers that flow into the Tulare drainage basin including the beds of the former Tulare, Buena Vista, and Kern Lakes.

The Kaweah subbasin lies between the Kings Groundwater Subbasin on the north, the Tule Groundwater Subbasin on the south, crystalline bedrock of the Sierra Nevada foothills on the east, and the Kings River Conservation District on the west. The subbasin generally comprises lands in the Kaweah Delta Water Conservation District. Major rivers and streams in the subbasin include the Kaweah and St. Johns Rivers. The Kaweah River is the primary source of recharge to the area. Average annual precipitation is seven to 13 inches, increasing eastward.

Hydrogeologic Information

The San Joaquin Valley represents the southern portion of the Great Central Valley of California. The San Joaquin Valley is a structural trough up to 200 miles long and 70 miles wide. It is filled with up to 32,000 feet of marine and continental sediments deposited during periodic inundation by the Pacific Ocean and by erosion of the surrounding mountains, respectively. Continental deposits shed from the surrounding mountains form an alluvial wedge that thickens from the valley margins toward the axis of the structural trough. This depositional axis is below to slightly west of the series of rivers, lakes, sloughs, and marshes, which mark the current and historic axis of surface drainage in the San Joaquin Valley.

Water Bearing Formations

The sediments that comprise the Kaweah Subbasin aquifers are unconsolidated deposits of Pliocene, Pleistocene, and Holocene age. On the east side of the subbasin, these deposits consist of arkosic material derived from the Sierra Nevada and are divided into three stratigraphic units: continental deposits, older alluvium and younger alluvium. In the western portion of the subbasin, near Tulare Lake bed, unconsolidated deposits consisting of flood-subbasin and lacustrine and marsh deposits interfinger with east side deposits.

The continental deposits of Pliocene and Pleistocene age are divided into oxidized and reduced deposits based on depositional environment. The oxidized deposits, which crop out along the eastern margin of the valley, consist of deeply weathered, poorly permeable, reddish-brown sandy silt and clay with well-developed soil profiles. The reduced deposits are moderately permeable and consist of micaceous sand, silt, and clay that extend across the trough in the subsurface to the west side of the valley.

Older alluvium, which overlies the continental deposits, is moderately to highly permeable and is the major aquifer in the subbasin. Younger alluvium consists of arkosic beds, moderately to highly permeable consisting of sand and silty sand. Flood-basin deposits consist of poorly permeable silt, clay, and fine sand. Ground water in the flood-basin deposits is often of poor quality. Lacustrine and marsh deposits consist of blue, green, or gray silty clay and fine sand and underlie the flood-subbasin deposits. Clay beds of the lacustrine and marsh deposits form aquitards that control the vertical and lateral movement of ground water. The most prominent clay bed is the Corcoran clay which underlies the western half of the Kaweah Subbasin at depths ranging from about 200 to 500 feet (DWR 1981). In the eastern portion of the subbasin, ground water occurs under unconfined and semi-confined conditions. In the western half of the subbasin, where the Corcoran Clay is present, ground water is confined below the clay.

Land subsidence of up to 4 feet due to deep compaction of fine-grained units has occurred in separate areas of the southern and western portion of the Subbasin (Ireland and others 1984). The estimated average specific yield for this subbasin is 10.8 percent (based on DWR internal data and Davis 1959).

Restrictive Structures

Groundwater flow is generally southwestward. Small groundwater depressions occurred to the north and south of Visalia and at the subbasin's northwest corner, and a groundwater mound was present in the central western subbasin during 1999 (DWR 2000). Based on current and historical groundwater elevation maps, horizontal groundwater barriers do not appear to exist in the Subbasin.

Groundwater Level Trends

Changes in groundwater levels are based on annual water level measurements by DWR and cooperators. Water level changes were evaluated by quarter township and computed through a custom DWR computer program using geostatistics (kriging). On average, the subbasin water level has declined about 12 feet from 1970 through 2000. The period from 1970 through 1978 showed steep declines totaling about 25 feet. The ten-year period from 1978 to 1988 saw stabilization and rebound of about 50 feet, bringing water levels above the 1970 water level by 25 feet. 1988 through 1995 again showed steep declines, bottoming out in 1995 at nearly 35 feet below the 1970 level. Water levels then rose about 22 feet from 1996 to 2000, bringing water levels to approximately 12 feet below 1970 levels.

Groundwater Storage

Estimations of the total storage capacity of the subbasin and the amount of water in storage as of 1995 were calculated using an estimated specific yield of 10.8 percent and water levels collected by DWR and cooperators.

According to these calculations, the total storage capacity of this subbasin is estimated to be 15,400,000 af to a depth of 300 feet and 107,000,000 af to the base of fresh groundwater. These same calculations give an estimate of 11,600,000 af of groundwater to a depth of 300 feet stored in this subbasin as of 1995 (DWR 1995). According to published literature, the amount of stored groundwater in this subbasin as of 1961 is 34,000,000 af to a depth of ≤ 1000 feet (Williamson 1989).

Groundwater Budget (Type B)

Although a detailed budget was not available for this subbasin, an estimate of groundwater demand was calculated based on the 1990 normalized year and data on land and water use. A subsequent analysis was done by a DWR water budget spreadsheet to estimate overall applied water demands, agricultural groundwater pumpage, urban pumping demand and other extraction data.

Natural recharge is estimated to be 62,400 af. Artificial recharge was not determined for all entities, but Lakeside Irrigation District has recharged about 7,000 af per year and in wet years may recharge up to 30,000 af (Cartwright 2001). There is approximately 286,000 af of applied water recharge into the subbasin. Subsurface inflow was not determined. Annual urban and agricultural extraction is estimated to be 58,800 af and 699,000 af, respectively. Other extractions and subsurface inflow were not determined.

Groundwater Quality

Characterization. The groundwater in this basin is generally of a calcium bicarbonate type, with sodium bicarbonate waters near the western margin. TDS values range from 35 to 1,000 mg/L, with a typical range of 300 to 600 mg/L. The Department of Health Services, which monitors Title 22 water quality standards, reports TDS values in 153 wells ranging from 35 to 580 mg/L, with an average value of 189 mg/L.

Impairments. There are localized areas of high nitrate pollution on the eastern side of the basin. There is also high salinity water between Lindsay and Exeter (Edwards 2001).

Water Quality in Public Supply Wells

Constituent Group[1]	Number of wells sampled[2]	Number of wells with a concentration above an MCL[3]
Inorganics – Primary	157	1
Radiological	158	8
Nitrates	165	13
Pesticides	167	16
VOCs and SVOCs	165	5
Inorganics – Secondary	157	25

[1] A description of each member in the constituent groups and a generalized discussion of the relevance of these groups are included in *California's Groundwater – Bulletin 118* by DWR (2003).
[2] Represents distinct number of wells sampled as required under DHS Title 22 program from 1994 through 2000.

[3] Each well reported with a concentration above an MCL was confirmed with a second detection above an MCL. This information is intended as an indicator of the types of activities that cause contamination in a given basin. It represents the water quality at the sample location. It does not indicate the water quality delivered to the consumer. More detailed drinking water quality information can be obtained from the local water purveyor and its annual Consumer Confidence Report.

Well Characteristics

	Well yields (gal/min)	
Municipal/Irrigation	Range: 100 – 2,500	Average: 1,000 – 2,000
	Total depths (ft)	
Domestic		
Municipal/Irrigation	Range: 100 - 500	

Active Monitoring Data

Agency	Parameter	Number of wells /measurement frequency
DWR (incl. Cooperators)	Groundwater levels	568 Semi-annually
Department of Health Services (inc. cooperators)	Title 22 water quality	270 Varies

Basin Management

Groundwater management:	Kings County Water District promulgated a Ground Water Management Plan under AB 255 during 1992, and the Kaweah Delta Water Conservation District passed a Ground Water Management Plan under AB 3030 in 1995.
Water agencies	
Public	Exeter I.D., Ivanhoe I.D., Kaweah-Delta Water Conservation District, Kings River Conservation District, Lakeside Irrigation Water District, Lindmore I.D., Lindsay-Strathmore I.D., St. Johns W.D., Tulare I.D., and Stone Corral W.D.
Private	California Water Service – Visalia; Melga Canal Company; Settlers Ditch Company; Corcoran Irrigation Company.

References Cited

California Department of Water Resources (DWR), San Joaquin District. Unpublished Land and Water Use Data.

________. Well completion report files.

________. 1995. Internal computer spreadsheet for 1990 normal computation of net water demand used in preparation of DWR Bulletin 160-93.

________. 2000. *Spring 1999, Lines of Equal Elevation of Water in Wells, Unconfined Aquifer*. 1:253,440 scale map sheet.

________. 1981. Depth to Top of Corcoran Clay. 1:253,440 scale map.

Croft, MG, and Gordon, GV. 1968. *Geology, Hydrology, and Quality of Water in the Hanford-Visalia Area, San Joaquin Valley, California.* USGS Open-File Report.

Davis, GH, Green, JH, Olmstead, SH, and Brown, DW. 1959*. Ground Water Conditions and Storage Capacity in the San Joaquin Valley, California.* US Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 1469. 287p.

Edwards, Scott A., General Manager, Lindsay-Strathmore I.D. 2001. Response to DWR questionnaire. February 6.

Hendrix, Paul., Engineer Manager, Tulare I.D. 2001. Response to DWR questionnaire. March 1.

Ireland, RL, Poland, JF, and Riley FS. 1984. *Land Subsidence in the San Joaquin Valley, California as of 1980.* USGS Professional Paper 437-I.

Williamson, Alex K, Prudic, David E, and Swain, Lindsay A. 1989. *Groundwater flow in the Central Valley, California.* US Geological Survey Professional Paper 1401-D. 127 p.

Additional References

California Department of Water Resources (DWR). 1994. Bulletin 160-93. *California Water Plan Update, Volume 1.*

________. 1980. Bulletin 118-80. *Ground Water Subbasins in California.*

Schafer, RL & Associates. 1995. Written Correspondence.

Errata

Changes made to the basin description will be noted here.