

Reported as of 10/2

**Water Supply & Reuse**

Reporting Unit:

**Los Angeles County Waterworks District 29 - Malibu &  
Marina del Rey**

Year:

**2004**

**Water Supply Source Information**

Supply Source Name	Quantity (AF) Supplied	Supply Type
--------------------	------------------------	-------------

**Total AF:**

Reported as of 10/2

**Accounts & Water Use**

Reporting Unit Name: **Los Angeles County Waterworks District 29 - Malibu & Marina del Rey**  
 Submitted to: **CUWCC**  
 Year: **2004**  
 Date: **03/07/2005**

**A. Service Area Population Information:**

1. Total service area population 24900

**B. Number of Accounts and Water Deliveries (AF)**

Type	Metered		Unmetered	
	No. of Accounts	Water Deliveries (AF)	No. of Accounts	Water Deliveries (AF)
1. Single-Family	7063	7293	0	0
2. Multi-Family	85	667	0	0
3. Commercial	118	441	0	0
4. Industrial	0	0	0	0
5. Institutional	29	126	0	0
6. Dedicated Irrigation	110	198	0	0
7. Recycled Water	0	0	0	0
8. Other	177	853	0	0
9. Unaccounted	NA	1137	NA	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>7582</b>	<b>10715</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

**Metered**

**Unmetered**

Reported as of 10/2

Reported as of 10/2

## BMP 01: Water Survey Programs for Single-Family and Multi-Family Residential Customers

Reporting Unit:

**Los Angeles County Waterworks**      **BMP Form Status:**      **Year:**  
**District 29 - Malibu & Marina del Rey**      **100% Complete**      **2004**

### A. Implementation

- |  |            |
|--|------------|
| 1. Based on your signed MOU date, 04/11/1996, your Agency STRATEGY DUE DATE is:  | 04/11/1998 |
| 2. Has your agency developed and implemented a targeting/marketing strategy for SINGLE-FAMILY residential water use surveys? | no         |
| a. If YES, when was it implemented?  |            |
| 3. Has your agency developed and implemented a targeting/marketing strategy for MULTI-FAMILY residential water use surveys?  | no         |
| a. If YES, when was it implemented?  |            |

### B. Water Survey Data

<b>Survey Counts:</b>	<b>Single Family Accounts</b>	<b>Multi-Family Units</b>
1. Number of surveys offered:	0	0
2. Number of surveys completed:	0	0

#### Indoor Survey:

- |   |    |    |
|---|----|----|
| 3. Check for leaks, including toilets, faucets and meter checks   | no | no |
| 4. Check showerhead flow rates, aerator flow rates, and offer to replace or recommend replacement, if necessary   | no | no |
| 5. Check toilet flow rates and offer to install or recommend installation of displacement device or direct customer to ULFT replacement program, as necessary; replace leaking toilet flapper, as necessary | no | no |

#### Outdoor Survey:

- |  |    |      |
|--|----|------|
| 6. Check irrigation system and timers  | no | no   |
| 7. Review or develop customer irrigation schedule  | no | no   |
| 8. Measure landscaped area (Recommended but not required for surveys)  | no | no   |
| 9. Measure total irrigable area (Recommended but not required for surveys)   | no | no   |
| 10. Which measurement method is typically used (Recommended but not required for surveys)                                |    | None |
| 11. Were customers provided with information packets that included evaluation results and water savings recommendations? | no | no   |
| 12. Have the number of surveys offered and completed, survey results, and survey costs been                              | no | no   |

tracked?

- a. If yes, in what form are surveys tracked? None
- b. Describe how your agency tracks this information.

### C. Water Survey Program Expenditures

	This Year	Next Year
1. Budgeted Expenditures	0	0
2. Actual Expenditures	0	

### D. "At Least As Effective As"

1. Is your AGENCY implementing an "at least as effective as" variant of this BMP? No
- a. If YES, please explain in detail how your implementation of this BMP differs from Exhibit 1 and why you consider it to be "at least as effective as."

### E. Comments

Reported as of 10/2

## BMP 02: Residential Plumbing Retrofit

Reporting Unit:

**Los Angeles County Waterworks  
District 29 - Malibu & Marina del Rey**

BMP Form Status:  
**100% Complete**

Year:  
**2004**

### A. Implementation

1. Is there an enforceable ordinance in effect in your service area requiring replacement of high-flow showerheads and other water use fixtures with their low-flow counterparts? no
  - a. If YES, list local jurisdictions in your service area and code or ordinance in each:
  
2. Has your agency satisfied the 75% saturation requirement for single-family housing units? no
3. Estimated percent of single-family households with low-flow showerheads: %
4. Has your agency satisfied the 75% saturation requirement for multi-family housing units? no
5. Estimated percent of multi-family households with low-flow showerheads: %
6. If YES to 2 OR 4 above, please describe how saturation was determined, including the dates and results of any survey research.

### B. Low-Flow Device Distribution Information

1. Has your agency developed a targeting/ marketing strategy for distributing low-flow devices? no
  - a. If YES, when did your agency begin implementing this strategy?
  - b. Describe your targeting/ marketing strategy.

<b>Low-Flow Devices Distributed/ Installed</b>	<b>SF Accounts</b>	<b>MF Units</b>
2. Number of low-flow showerheads distributed:	0	0
3. Number of toilet-displacement devices distributed:	0	0
4. Number of toilet flappers distributed:	0	0
5. Number of faucet aerators distributed:	0	0
6. Does your agency track the distribution and cost of low-flow devices? <span style="float: right;">no</span>		
a. If YES, in what format are low-flow devices tracked?		
b. If yes, describe your tracking and distribution system :		

### C. Low-Flow Device Distribution Expenditures

	<b>This Year</b>	<b>Next Year</b>
1. Budgeted Expenditures	0	0
2. Actual Expenditures	0	

### D. "At Least As Effective As"

1. Is your AGENCY implementing an "at least as effective as" variant of this BMP? yes

a. If YES, please explain in detail how your implementation of this BMP differs from Exhibit 1 and why you consider it to be "at least as effective as."

The County passed Ordinance No. 91-0097U to require all new buildings to use Ultra Low Flow Toilets (ULFT) and urinals. In addition, West Basin MWD service area and MWD has developed a ULF toilet and showerhead replacement rebate program.

### **E. Comments**

Reported as of 10/2

**BMP 03: System Water Audits, Leak Detection and Repair**

Reporting Unit:

**Los Angeles County Waterworks  
District 29 - Malibu & Marina del Rey**BMP Form Status:  
**100% Complete**Year:  
**2004****A. Implementation**

1. Has your agency completed a pre-screening system audit for this reporting year? yes
2. If YES, enter the values (AF/Year) used to calculate verifiable use as a percent of total production:
  - a. Determine metered sales (AF) 9578
  - b. Determine other system verifiable uses (AF) 0
  - c. Determine total supply into the system (AF) 10715
  - d. Using the numbers above, if (Metered Sales + Other Verifiable Uses) / Total Supply is < 0.9 then a full-scale system audit is required. 0.89
3. Does your agency keep necessary data on file to verify the values used to calculate verifiable uses as a percent of total production? yes
4. Did your agency complete a full-scale audit during this report year? yes
5. Does your agency maintain in-house records of audit results or the completed AWWA audit worksheets for the completed audit? yes
6. Does your agency operate a system leak detection program? yes
  - a. If yes, describe the leak detection program:

Los Angeles County Waterworks Districts has hires an as needed consultant to conduct leak detection throughout the year for various districts. Leaks are reported by field personnel and meter-read employees. Also, as street improvement projects are submitted for review, old deteriorated water mains are replaced. Our field personnel also report high leak incidents, which are replaced when reported. The Districts maintain leak records.

**B. Survey Data**

1. Total number of miles of distribution system line. 207
2. Number of miles of distribution system line surveyed. 35

**C. System Audit / Leak Detection Program Expenditures**

	This Year	Next Year
1. Budgeted Expenditures	21000	21000
2. Actual Expenditures	25462	

**D. "At Least As Effective As"**

1. Is your AGENCY implementing an "at least as effective as" variant of this BMP? yes
  - a. If YES, please explain in detail how your implementation of this BMP differs from Exhibit 1 and why you consider it to be "at least as effective as."

The water audit program in place within the County compares the supply purchased versus the water used in order to determine the water loss.

## E. Comments

Reported as of 10/2

## BMP 04: Metering with Commodity Rates for all New Connections and Retrofit of Existing

Reporting Unit:

**Los Angeles County Waterworks**      BMP Form Status:      Year:  
**District 29 - Malibu & Marina del Rey**      **100% Complete**      **2004**

### A. Implementation

1. Does your agency require meters for all new connections and bill by volume-of-use? yes
2. Does your agency have a program for retrofitting existing unmetered connections and bill by volume-of-use? no
  - a. If YES, when was the plan to retrofit and bill by volume-of-use existing unmetered connections completed?
  - b. Describe the program:
3. Number of previously unmetered accounts fitted with meters during report year. 0

### B. Feasibility Study

1. Has your agency conducted a feasibility study to assess the merits of a program to provide incentives to switch mixed-use accounts to dedicated landscape meters? no
  - a. If YES, when was the feasibility study conducted? (mm/dd/yy)
  - b. Describe the feasibility study:
2. Number of CII accounts with mixed-use meters. 0
3. Number of CII accounts with mixed-use meters retrofitted with dedicated irrigation meters during reporting period. 0

### C. Meter Retrofit Program Expenditures

	This Year	Next Year
1. Budgeted Expenditures	0	0
2. Actual Expenditures	0	

### D. "At Least As Effective As"

1. Is your AGENCY implementing an "at least as effective as" variant of this BMP? yes
  - a. If YES, please explain in detail how your implementation of this BMP differs from Exhibit 1 and why you consider it to be "at least as effective as."

All existing connections are metered and billed by volume.

### E. Comments

Reported as of 10/2

## BMP 05: Large Landscape Conservation Programs and Incentives

Reporting Unit:

**Los Angeles County  
Waterworks District 29 -  
Malibu & Marina del Rey**

BMP Form Status:  
**100% Complete**

Year:  
**2004**

### A. Water Use Budgets

- |  |     |
|--|-----|
| 1. Number of Dedicated Irrigation Meter Accounts:  | 110 |
| 2. Number of Dedicated Irrigation Meter Accounts with Water Budgets:                       | 0   |
| 3. Budgeted Use for Irrigation Meter Accounts with Water Budgets (AF):                     | 0   |
| 4. Actual Use for Irrigation Meter Accounts with Water Budgets (AF):                       | 0   |
| 5. Does your agency provide water use notices to accounts with budgets each billing cycle? | no  |

### B. Landscape Surveys

- |  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. Has your agency developed a marketing / targeting strategy for landscape surveys? | no |
| a. If YES, when did your agency begin implementing this strategy?                    |    |
| b. Description of marketing / targeting strategy:                                    |    |
| 2. Number of Surveys Offered.  | 0  |
| 3. Number of Surveys Completed.  | 0  |
| 4. Indicate which of the following Landscape Elements are part of your survey:       |    |
| a. Irrigation System Check   | no |
| b. Distribution Uniformity Analysis  | no |
| c. Review / Develop Irrigation Schedules   | no |
| d. Measure Landscape Area  | no |
| e. Measure Total Irrigable Area  | no |
| f. Provide Customer Report / Information   | no |
| 5. Do you track survey offers and results?   | no |
| 6. Does your agency provide follow-up surveys for previously completed surveys?      | no |
| a. If YES, describe below:   |    |

### C. Other BMP 5 Actions

- |   |    |
|---|----|
| 1. An agency can provide mixed-use accounts with ETo-based landscape budgets in lieu of a large landscape survey program. Does your agency provide mixed-use accounts with landscape budgets? | no |
| 2. Number of CII mixed-use accounts with landscape budgets.   | 0  |
| 3. Do you offer landscape irrigation training?  | no |

4. Does your agency offer financial incentives to improve landscape water use efficiency? no

<b>Type of Financial Incentive:</b>	<b>Budget (Dollars/ Year)</b>	<b>Number Awarded to Customers</b>	<b>Total Amount Awarded</b>
a. Rebates	0	0	0
b. Loans	0	0	0
c. Grants	0	0	0

5. Do you provide landscape water use efficiency information to new customers and customers changing services? No

a. If YES, describe below:

6. Do you have irrigated landscaping at your facilities? no

a. If yes, is it water-efficient? no

b. If yes, does it have dedicated irrigation metering? no

7. Do you provide customer notices at the start of the irrigation season? no

8. Do you provide customer notices at the end of the irrigation season? no

**D. Landscape Conservation Program Expenditures**

	<b>This Year</b>	<b>Next Year</b>
1. Budgeted Expenditures	0	0
2. Actual Expenditures	0	

**E. "At Least As Effective As"**

1. Is your AGENCY implementing an "at least as effective as" variant of this BMP? No

a. If YES, please explain in detail how your implementation of this BMP differs from Exhibit 1 and why you consider it to be "at least as effective as."

**F. Comments**

Reported as of 10/2

## BMP 06: High-Efficiency Washing Machine Rebate Programs

Reporting Unit:

**Los Angeles County Waterworks  
District 29 - Malibu & Marina del Rey**

BMP Form

Status:  
**100% Complete**

Year:

**2004**

### A. Implementation

1. Do any energy service providers or waste water utilities in your service area offer rebates for high-efficiency washers? Yes

a. If YES, describe the offerings and incentives as well as who the energy/waste water utility provider is.

Go to West Basin's website [www.westbasin.org](http://www.westbasin.org) Click on Conservation Link

2. Does your agency offer rebates for high-efficiency washers? no

3. What is the level of the rebate? 0

4. Number of rebates awarded. 0

### B. Rebate Program Expenditures

	This Year	Next Year
1. Budgeted Expenditures	0	0
2. Actual Expenditures	0	

### C. "At Least As Effective As"

1. Is your AGENCY implementing an "at least as effective as" variant of this BMP? no

a. If YES, please explain in detail how your implementation of this BMP differs from Exhibit 1 and why you consider it to be "at least as effective as."

### D. Comments

Reported as of 10/2

**BMP 07: Public Information Programs**

Reporting Unit: **Los Angeles County Waterworks**  
 District 29 - Malibu & Marina del Rey

BMP Form Status: **100% Complete**

Year: **2004**

**A. Implementation**

1. Does your agency maintain an active public information program to promote and educate customers about water conservation? yes
- a. If YES, describe the program and how it's organized.

Three full-time staff dedicated to water conservation practices-newsletter, bill inserts, Web site, radio PSA's, outreach materials at public counter and at public events, planning BMP program for next year

2. Indicate which and how many of the following activities are included in your public information program.

Public Information Program Activity	Yes/No	Number of Events
a. Paid Advertising	no	
b. Public Service Announcement	yes	104
c. Bill Inserts / Newsletters / Brochures	yes	2
d. Bill showing water usage in comparison to previous year's usage	Yes	
e. Demonstration Gardens	no	
f. Special Events, Media Events	yes	3
g. Speaker's Bureau	no	
h. Program to coordinate with other government agencies, industry and public interest groups and media	no	

**B. Conservation Information Program Expenditures**

	This Year	Next Year
1. Budgeted Expenditures	580	580
2. Actual Expenditures	580	

**C. "At Least As Effective As"**

1. Is your AGENCY implementing an "at least as effective as" variant of this BMP? No
- a. If YES, please explain in detail how your implementation of this BMP differs from Exhibit 1 and why you consider it to be "at least as effective as."

**D. Comments**

Reported as of 10/2

### BMP 08: School Education Programs

Reporting Unit:

**Los Angeles County  
Waterworks District 29 -  
Malibu & Marina del Rey**

BMP Form Status:  
**100% Complete**

Year:  
**2004**

#### A. Implementation

1. Has your agency implemented a school information program to promote water conservation? No

2. Please provide information on your school programs (by grade level):

Grade	Are grade-appropriate materials distributed?	No. of class presentations	No. of students reached	No. of teachers' workshops
Grades K-3rd	no	0	0	0
Grades 4th-6th	No	0	0	0
Grades 7th-8th	No	0	0	0
High School	No	0	0	0

3. Did your Agency's materials meet state education framework requirements? No

4. When did your Agency begin implementing this program?

#### B. School Education Program Expenditures

	This Year	Next Year
1. Budgeted Expenditures	0	0
2. Actual Expenditures	0	

#### C. "At Least As Effective As"

1. Is your AGENCY implementing an "at least as effective as" variant of this BMP? No

a. If YES, please explain in detail how your implementation of this BMP differs from Exhibit 1 and why you consider it to be "at least as effective as."

#### D. Comments

Reported as of 10/2

### BMP 09: Conservation Programs for CII Accounts

Reporting Unit:

**Los Angeles County  
Waterworks District 29 -  
Malibu & Marina del Rey**

BMP Form Status:  
**100% Complete**

Year:  
**2004**

#### A. Implementation

- 1. Has your agency identified and ranked COMMERCIAL customers according to use? no
- 2. Has your agency identified and ranked INDUSTRIAL customers according to use? no
- 3. Has your agency identified and ranked INSTITUTIONAL customers according to use? no

#### Option A: CII Water Use Survey and Customer Incentives Program

- 4. Is your agency operating a CII water use survey and customer incentives program for the purpose of complying with BMP 9 under this option? no

CII Surveys	Commercial Accounts	Industrial Accounts	Institutional Accounts
a. Number of New Surveys Offered	0	0	0
b. Number of New Surveys Completed	0	0	0
c. Number of Site Follow-ups of Previous Surveys (within 1 yr)	0	0	0
d. Number of Phone Follow-ups of Previous Surveys (within 1 yr)	0	0	0
CII Survey Components	Commercial Accounts	Industrial Accounts	Institutional Accounts
e. Site Visit	no	no	no
f. Evaluation of all water-using apparatus and processes	no	no	no
g. Customer report identifying recommended efficiency measures, paybacks and agency incentives	no	no	no
Agency CII Customer Incentives	Budget (\$/Year)	No. Awarded to Customers	Total \$ Amount Awarded
h. Rebates	0	0	0
i. Loans	0	0	0
j. Grants	0	0	0
k. Others	0	0	0

#### Option B: CII Conservation Program Targets

- 
- |   |    |
|---|----|
| 5. Does your agency track CII program interventions and water savings for the purpose of complying with BMP 9 under this option?    | no |
| 6. Does your agency document and maintain records on how savings were realized and the method of calculation for estimated savings? | no |
| 7. Estimated annual savings (AF/yr) from site-verified actions taken by agency since 1991.  | 0  |
| 8. Estimated annual savings (AF/yr) from non-site-verified actions taken by agency since 1991.                                      | 0  |

### **B. Conservation Program Expenditures for CII Accounts**

	<b>This Year</b>	<b>Next Year</b>
1. Budgeted Expenditures	0	0
2. Actual Expenditures	0	

### **C. "At Least As Effective As"**

- |   |    |
|---|----|
| 1. Is your AGENCY implementing an "at least as effective as" variant of this BMP?   | No |
| <p>a. If YES, please explain in detail how your implementation of this BMP differs from Exhibit 1 and why you consider it to be "at least as effective as."</p> |    |

### **D. Comments**

Program has not been implemented.

Reported as of 10/2

### BMP 09a: CII ULFT Water Savings

Reporting Unit:

**Los Angeles County  
Waterworks District 29 -  
Malibu & Marina del Rey**

BMP Form Status:  
**100% Complete**

Year:  
**2004**

1. Did your agency implement a CII ULFT replacement program in the reporting year? Yes  
If No, please explain why on Line B. 10.

#### A. Targeting and Marketing

1. What basis does your agency use to target customers for participation in this program? Check all that apply. CII Sector or subsector  
CII ULFT Study subsector targeting

a. Describe which method you found to be the most effective overall, and which was the most effective per dollar expended.

We found CII sectors and sub sectors most effective because we were able to version our marketing efforts appropriately.

2. How does your agency advertise this program? Check all that apply. Direct letter  
Bill insert  
Newsletter  
Web page  
Newspapers  
Trade publications  
Other print media  
Trade shows and events  
Telemarketing

a. Describe which method you found to be the most effective overall, and which was the most effective per dollar expended.

For the purposes of this program, Trade Allies have proven to be the most effective overall marketing tool, as well as the most effective per dollar expended. Trade Allies include plumbers, distributors, retail home improvement stores and product manufacturers.

#### B. Implementation

1. Does your agency keep and maintain customer participant information? (Read the Help information for a complete list of all the information for this BMP.) Yes
2. Would your agency be willing to share this information if the CUWCC did a study to evaluate the program on behalf of your agency? Yes
3. What is the total number of customer accounts participating in the program during the last year ? 2

4.	CII Subsector	Number of Toilets Replaced			
		Standard Gravity Tank	Air Assisted	Valve Floor Mount	Valve Wall Mount
a.	Offices	0	0	0	0
b.	Retail / Wholesale	0	0	0	0

c. Hotels	0	0	0	0
d. Health	0	0	0	0
e. Industrial	0	0	0	0
f. Schools: K to 12	27	0	0	0
g. Eating	0	0	0	0
h. Govern- ment	0	0	0	0
i. Churches	0	0	0	0
j. Other	0	0	0	0

5. Program design. Rebate or voucher
6. Does your agency use outside services to implement this program? Yes
- a. If yes, check all that apply. Consultant
7. Participant tracking and follow-up. Telephone  
Site Visit
8. Based on your program experience, please rank on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the least frequent cause and 5 being the most frequent cause, the following reasons why customers refused to participate in the program.
- |                                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| a. Disruption to business           | 1 |
| b. Inadequate payback               | 3 |
| c. Inadequate ULFT performance      | 2 |
| d. Lack of funding                  | 5 |
| e. American's with Disabilities Act | 0 |
| f. Permitting                       | 0 |
| g. Other. Please describe in B. 9.  |   |
9. Please describe general program acceptance/resistance by customers, obstacles to implementation, and other issues affecting program implementation or effectiveness.
- Customers are generally more willing to participate in the program if the cost of the retrofit is in balance with the amount of the rebate, and the projected water savings is significant. Resistance occurs if the out-of-pocket expense for the retrofit is too costly and the rebate amount is too low.
10. Please provide a general assessment of the program for this reporting year. Did your program achieve its objectives? Were your targeting and marketing approaches effective? Were program costs in line with expectations and budgeting?

**C. Conservation Program Expenditures for CII ULFT**

1. CII ULFT Program: Annual Budget & Expenditure Data

	<b>Budgeted</b>	<b>Actual Expenditure</b>
a. Labor	0	0
b. Materials	0	0
c. Marketing & Advertising	0	0

d. Administration & Overhead	0	0
e. Outside Services	0	0
f. Total	0	0

2. CII ULFT Program: Annual Cost Sharing

a. Wholesale agency contribution		2800
b. State agency contribution		0
c. Federal agency contribution		0
d. Other contribution		0
e. Total		2800

**D. Comments**

Reported as of 10/2

**BMP 11: Conservation Pricing**

Reporting Unit:

**Los Angeles County Waterworks  
District 29 - Malibu & Marina del Rey**

BMP Form

Status:

**100% Complete**

Year:

**2004****A. Implementation****Rate Structure Data Volumetric Rates for Water Service by Customer Class****1. Residential**

a. Water Rate Structure	Uniform
b. Sewer Rate Structure	Service Not Provided
c. Total Revenue from Volumetric Rates	\$11627632
d. Total Revenue from Non-Volumetric Charges, Fees and other Revenue Sources	\$3603674

**2. Commercial**

a. Water Rate Structure	Uniform
b. Sewer Rate Structure	Service Not Provided
c. Total Revenue from Volumetric Rates	\$517767
d. Total Revenue from Non-Volumetric Charges, Fees and other Revenue Sources	\$29088

**3. Industrial**

a. Water Rate Structure	Uniform
b. Sewer Rate Structure	Service Not Provided
c. Total Revenue from Volumetric Rates	\$0
d. Total Revenue from Non-Volumetric Charges, Fees and other Revenue Sources	\$0

**4. Institutional / Government**

a. Water Rate Structure	Uniform
b. Sewer Rate Structure	Service Not Provided
c. Total Revenue from Volumetric Rates	\$931205
d. Total Revenue from Non-Volumetric Charges, Fees and other Revenue Sources	\$61899

**5. Irrigation**

a. Water Rate Structure	Uniform
b. Sewer Rate Structure	Service Not Provided
c. Total Revenue from Volumetric Rates	\$236505
d. Total Revenue from Non-Volumetric Charges, Fees and other Revenue Sources	\$23342

**6. Other**

a. Water Rate Structure	Uniform
-------------------------	---------

b. Sewer Rate Structure	Service Not Provided
c. Total Revenue from Volumetric Rates	\$198225
d. Total Revenue from Non-Volumetric Charges, Fees and other Revenue Sources	\$265805

### **B. Conservation Pricing Program Expenditures**

	<b>This Year</b>	<b>Next Year</b>
1. Budgeted Expenditures	0	0
2. Actual Expenditures	0	

### **C. "At Least As Effective As"**

1. Is your AGENCY implementing an "at least as effective as" variant of this BMP? yes

a. If YES, please explain in detail how your implementation of this BMP differs from Exhibit 1 and why you consider it to be "at least as effective as."

The District charges its customers a monthly service charge and a uniform volumetric charge.

### **D. Comments**

Reported as of 10/2

**BMP 12: Conservation Coordinator**

Reporting Unit:

**Los Angeles County Waterworks  
District 29 - Malibu & Marina del Rey**

BMP Form

Status:  
**100% Complete**

Year:

**2004****A. Implementation**

1. Does your Agency have a conservation coordinator? yes
2. Is this a full-time position? yes
3. If no, is the coordinator supplied by another agency with which you cooperate in a regional conservation program ?
4. Partner agency's name:
5. If your agency supplies the conservation coordinator:
  - a. What percent is this conservation coordinator's position? 20%
  - b. Coordinator's Name David Rydman
  - c. Coordinator's Title Associate Civil Engineer
  - d. Coordinator's Experience and Number of Years 4 years
  - e. Date Coordinator's position was created (mm/dd/yyyy) 05/01/1998
6. Number of conservation staff, including Conservation Coordinator. 3

**B. Conservation Staff Program Expenditures**

	<b>This Year</b>	<b>Next Year</b>
1. Budgeted Expenditures	29000	29000
2. Actual Expenditures	29000	

**C. "At Least As Effective As"**

1. Is your AGENCY implementing an "at least as effective as" variant of this BMP? no
  - a. If YES, please explain in detail how your implementation of this BMP differs from Exhibit 1 and why you consider it to be "at least as effective as."

**D. Comments**

Reported as of 10/2

**BMP 13: Water Waste Prohibition**

Reporting Unit:

**Los Angeles County Waterworks**  
**District 29 - Malibu & Marina del**  
**Rey**

BMP Form Status: **Year:**  
**100% Complete** **2004**

**A. Requirements for Documenting BMP Implementation**

1. Is a water waste prohibition ordinance in effect in your service area? no

a. If YES, describe the ordinance:

2. Is a copy of the most current ordinance(s) on file with CUWCC? no

a. List local jurisdictions in your service area in the first text box and water waste ordinance citations in each jurisdiction in the second text box:

**B. Implementation**

1. Indicate which of the water uses listed below are prohibited by your agency or service area.

a. Gutter flooding no

b. Single-pass cooling systems for new connections no

c. Non-recirculating systems in all new conveyor or car wash systems no

d. Non-recirculating systems in all new commercial laundry systems no

e. Non-recirculating systems in all new decorative fountains no

f. Other, please name  
 No Water Wasting Ordinance yes

2. Describe measures that prohibit water uses listed above:

On March 21, 1991, the County Board of Supervisors adopted Ordinance No. 91-0046U that called for "No Water Wasting" in only unincorporated areas of the County. They include the following measures: \* Washing down paved surfaces is prohibited unless required for health or safety \* Landscape watering is prohibited between 10:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. \* Excessive landscape watering that results in runoff into adjoining streets, parking lots or alleys is prohibited \* Plumbing leaks must be repaired as soon as practical \* Washing of vehicles is prohibited excepted at a commercial carwash or with a hand-held bucket or hose equipped with an automatic shutoff nozzle \* Serving drinking water at public eating places is prohibited unless requested by customers \* Water used in decorative fountains must flow through a recycling system Failure to comply with these measures could have resulted in fines up to \$500. However, this Ordinance was active from March 1991 to January 1993. Currently, there is no water wasting ordinance in effect in the District. Two cities within our service have a similar ordinance implemented the same year.

**Water Softeners:**

3. Indicate which of the following measures your agency has supported in developing state law:

a. Allow the sale of more efficient, demand-initiated no

regenerating DIR models.

b. Develop minimum appliance efficiency standards that:

i.) Increase the regeneration efficiency standard to at least 3,350 grains of hardness removed per pound of common salt used. no

ii.) Implement an identified maximum number of gallons discharged per gallon of soft water produced. no

c. Allow local agencies, including municipalities and special districts, to set more stringent standards and/or to ban on-site regeneration of water softeners if it is demonstrated and found by the agency governing board that there is an adverse effect on the reclaimed water or groundwater supply. no

4. Does your agency include water softener checks in home water audit programs? no

5. Does your agency include information about DIR and exchange-type water softeners in educational efforts to encourage replacement of less efficient timer models? no

**C. Water Waste Prohibition Program Expenditures**

	This Year	Next Year
1. Budgeted Expenditures	0	0
2. Actual Expenditures	0	

**D. "At Least As Effective As"**

1. Is your AGENCY implementing an "at least as effective as" variant of this BMP? yes

a. If YES, please explain in detail how your implementation of this BMP differs from Exhibit 1 and why you consider it to be "at least as effective as."

See B2

**E. Comments**

Reported as of 10/2

### BMP 14: Residential ULFT Replacement Programs

Reporting Unit:

**Los Angeles County Waterworks**      **BMP Form Status:**      **Year:**  
**District 29 - Malibu & Marina del Rey**      **100% Complete**      **2004**

#### A. Implementation

	Single-Family Accounts	Multi-Family Units
1. Does your Agency have program(s) for replacing high-water-using toilets with ultra-low flush toilets?	yes	yes
<b>Number of Toilets Replaced by Agency Program During Report Year</b>		
Replacement Method	SF Accounts	MF Units
2. Rebate	0	0
3. Direct Install	0	0
4. CBO Distribution	0	0
5. Other	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

6. Describe your agency's ULFT program for single-family residences.

District 29's wholesaler, West Basin MWD has increased the effort to change existing property owners toilets with ULF models through incentive programs. Some of the programs in past have included rebates of \$50 for each toilet replaced with a ULF model. From 1991 to 1995 West Basin MWD rebate program replaced 493 single-family and 3,568 multi-family toilets. Over the next 20 years West Basin MWD is planning in replacing approximately 30,000 toilets in their service area.

7. Describe your agency's ULFT program for multi-family residences.

See Question 6

8. Is a toilet retrofit on resale ordinance in effect for your service area?      no

9. List local jurisdictions in your service area in the left box and ordinance citations in each jurisdiction in the right box:

City of Malibu

#### B. Residential ULFT Program Expenditures

	This Year	Next Year
1. Budgeted Expenditures	0	1200
2. Actual Expenditures	0	

#### C. "At Least As Effective As"

1. Is your AGENCY implementing an "at least as effective as" variant of this BMP?      yes

a. If YES, please explain in detail how your implementation of this BMP differs from Exhibit 1 and why you consider it to be "at least as effective"

as."

In 1991, the County Board of Supervisors adopted Ordinance No. 91-0097U, which required the installation of ultra low flush toilets and urinals in all new buildings within the service area of District 29 and Marina Del Rey.

#### **D. Comments**

We plan to implement a residential ULFT program next year. The cost of each rebate has not yet been determined. Next Year's expenditure estimate assumed \$30 per rebate at 200/year plus processing costs.