

**Rio Linda /Elverta Community Water District
2005 Urban Water Management Plan**

Appendix F

**Summary of Comments and Responses to the
Draft 2005 Urban Water Management Plan**

The City of Roseville provided updated terminology for “recycled water” rather than treated or reclaimed wastewater. Their comments have been incorporated in the UWMP.

California Department of Water Resources staff reviewed the draft document for completeness and provided the following comments:

State of California

Department of Water Resources
The Resources Agency

MEMO

TO: Charlie Pike
2005

DATE: 15 16 December

FROM: Kim Rosmaier
CWD Draft
CA Dept of Water Resources
3251 S Street
Sacramento CA 95816

SUBJECT: Rio Linda Elverta
2005 UWMP

10631(a) Demographics – may want to include a short paragraph on the CII in the area.

10631(c) Supply reliability for single-dry and multiple-dry years scenarios (Table 8) I don’t see this in Chapter 4 (reliability section) and I cannot determine what base years are used to develop these volumes.

10631(d) Is the volume of Sac Suburban water reported in the water supply section the same as the volume reported in the transfer/exchange section or is this additional water. If same water, do not report in one area as this will lead to double counting the volume.

10631(e) Need to report number of accounts by all sectors identified in law. (Table 12).
Can you estimate volumes for non-revenue for years 2000 and 2005? I’m not sure if Dave Todd will require this info.

10631(h) I am not able to provide hydrologic scenarios for the regional pipeline project.

**Rio Linda /Elverta Community Water District
2005 Urban Water Management Plan**

10631(k) Because agency projects receiving wholesale water from Sac Suburban, you will need to provide information for Tables 19-22.

10633(a) Need quantification and projections of non-recycled water discharged by SRCSD and available projections for recycled water used for landscape irrigation. And level of treatment.

10633(g) Since no recycled plan exists and the potential is under study, suggest you state this. I can't guarantee it will satisfy DWR requirements, but it's a try.

10643 Review the implementation of 2000 plan.

DMM INFORMATION

All DMMs require some information. See pink highlights.

BMP 8 The link for Mr. Leaky's House is no longer available. Should remove this statement from the text.

BMP11 The information provided is the rate by meter size. Suggest you include a paragraph indicating the typical meter size for the type of customer.

BMP 14 Need to include multi-family ULFT replacements. If not multi-family connections, need to state this.

RLECWD has responded to the CDWR comments.