

Questions and Comments
Public Comment Workshop
May 16, 2016
Riverside, CA

Comments:

- With Cal Enviro Screen 2.0, the DAC definition is not fair because some regions are low income but don't have issues with pollution, don't have low birth rates, don't have birth defects, etc. This calculation should be reevaluated. It should just be monetary and not include environmental factors. For example Victorville, LA which is all red. Nicholas Schneider – Mojave Water District
- Cal Enviro Screen 2.0: Doesn't truly display DACs. Nicholas Schneider – Mojave Water District
- We are obligated to offer a program throughout our service area equally so we cannot qualify as a DAC. Kim Ohara – LADWP
- The list of measures is too narrow. More types of equipment replacement should be added. There are even hot water devices that are missing. Kim Ohara – LADWP
- The program is not fair for a State water contractor. It needs to include embedded GHG reductions by sending water down the aqueduct. Nicholas Schneider – Mojave Water District
- Calculating and translating water savings into GHG reduction is not fair for State water contractors. John Leung - SGVMWD
- Cooling towers should be added. Kim Ohara – LADWP

Questions:

- Regarding the schedule, is there another step towards finalization such as State Board approval or another public workshop or hearing? Kim Ohara - LADWP
- Eligible measures: Why are toilet replacements not included (institutional/commercial)? Kim Ohara - LADWP
- For wholesalers who want to do water treatment upgrades, should we explain the change in power usage with new technologies before and after to show energy savings? Is this type of project allowed? For example dishwasher for restaurants/hospitals, Is this just a conventional subsidy program? John Leung - SGVMWD
- Are agricultural projects included? Breweries? Wineries? Rice Farms? John Leung – SGVMWD
- Institutional customers: Upfront labor work eligible/only looking at funding to replace the devices? Strictly based on energy and water savings? Kim Ohara - LADWP
- If an institution/business doesn't have data for their existing appliances, can grant funding be applied to collecting that data? Kim Ohara - LADWP
- Will you consider other benefits besides water/energy savings? Kim Ohara - LADWP
- If we request too much grant funding, will our request be rejected or reduced? Nicholas Schneider – Mojave Water District
- In funding Priority 4, do we just get whatever is left? If 1, 2, or 3 do we get everything? Nicholas Schneider– Mojave Water District
- Do the water reduction and energy gas benefits need to occur in parallel (in the same project)? Ben Lindermeier – BAYWA R.E.
- Regarding DAC benefits, does job training qualify as a benefit? John Leung - SGVMWD
- How do you define the size of a DAC area? Census? John Leung - SGVMWD
- What percentage value are you using for scoring for DAC areas? Ben Lindermeier – BAYWA R.E.
- Are water loss audits included in this grant? Kim Ohara – LADWP
- Can projects for apartment buildings apply for this grant?
- How much of a DAC area must be included to qualify as a DAC project Nicholas Schneider – Mojave Water District

Questions and Comments
Public Comment Workshop
May 16, 2016
Riverside, CA

- Do addition measures (say, water only savings) give some additional credit/score? Kim Ohara – LADWP
- Can an applicant have multiple proposals? John Leung - SGVMWD
- Can an applicant be a JPA? Public-private partnership? City? Mutual? Indian tribe? Non-Profit? John Leung – SGVMWD
- JPA: State institutional partner? Kim Ohara – LADWP
- For a rebate program, is estimate a problem is the spending amount would be speculative? Kim Ohara – LADWP
- Measures: Estimate all 8 with X amount of money is what you want? Grand total? Nicholas Schneider – Mojave Water District
- For a rebate program, do we just provide types of products, amount per product, and number of products? Kim Ohara – LADWP
- For a rebate program, what if we have changes in the number of rebates? Kim Ohara – LADWP