

**Colvin, Judith**

---

**From:** mweo-bounces@water.ca.gov on behalf of Ramona Music Fest [ramonamusicfest@cox.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 06, 2008 8:10 AM  
**To:** mweo@water.ca.gov  
**Subject:** [MWE0] FW: model water ordinance suggestion

See below fwd.

Greg Chick

Ramona Music Festival and Barbecue  
[ramonamusicfest@cox.net](mailto:ramonamusicfest@cox.net)

---

**From:** Ramona Music Fest [mailto:ramonamusicfest@cox.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 06, 2008 7:58 AM  
**To:** 'mweo@water.cagov.'  
**Subject:** model water ordinance suggestion

I started as a Ca. Landscape contractor in 1976 on. In Az. I was pool/lands. Irrigation. And as well a Plumbing contractor (Lic.#315036). I am a member of A.S.P.E & I.A.P.M.O. As a third Generation Plumber and as a "subject matter expert " to the C.S.L.B. I have an observation to share.

When "street pressure " is above 100 psi. and it often is, the code required pressure regulator is at the bldg. leaving irrigation water to tee in before this reducer. Usually without separate P.O.C. valve or pressure regulation. This allows system to operate at pressures causing misting, head blowoff, valve leaking , water velocity's above 8 fps. Waterhammer, pipe breakage noise at night when operating . All of this is very common, and wasteful. A pressure regulator at POC. For having high flow capacity and low falloff , and 400 psi inlet capacity, adjustable from 15 to 150 psi. would solve these problems. I know the cost of "not the usual" regulator and not the usual location is a lot to ask but ! I know it would save water and this requirement would be consistent with intent of all codes.

Greg Chick

Ramona Music Festival and Barbecue  
[ramonamusicfest@cox.net](mailto:ramonamusicfest@cox.net)