

MWEO

From: Nicole Parson [consultantfordesign@roadrunner.com]
Sent: Sunday, December 28, 2008 9:48 AM
To: MWEO
Subject: cc:associated water reviews
Attachments: DECEMBER 29 TH. WATER EMAIL_1.pdf

to:
Attention: Judy Colvin
Department of Water Resources
Office of Water Use Efficiency and Transfers
P.O. Box 942836
Sacramento, CA 94236-0001
or e-mailed to: mweo@water.ca.gov

from:
Nicole Parson
Recycled Development Firm
45528 sixth st.
Lancaster Ca., 93535
e-mail to consultantfordesign@roadrunner.com

AUTHORITY AND REFERENCE

The Department of Water Resources is proposing this action pursuant to the authority vested by Section 65595 of the Government Code, and to implement, interpret or make specific sections 65591, 65592, 65593, 65594, 65595, 65596 of the Government Code, the Department of Water Resources is considering changes to Division 2 of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations.

INFORMATIVE DIGEST/POLICY STATEMENT OVERVIEW

The "Water Conservation in Landscaping Act" resulted in the State of California Department of Water Resources' adoption of the Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance (Model Ordinance) in June 1992.

And recycled water pilot model Under No alternative/ or

Presentation of a Superior Alternative

However the agricultural phase is good in theory

But does lack serious backbone to exist as a stand alone pilot

But at the same time Phase two of the proposed use to time and after benefit is not justified

Which depletes Depletes both phases on individual merit, payoff.

Further **Cost or savings in federal funding to the State: None.**

To date however future suits due to negligence in full investment of institute and welfare.

or

Considered

that has otherwise

been identified and brought to the attention of the Department would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed or would be

as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action. As to Know Lets talk !

I am an interested person and well known stakeholder in water conservation quality for these current state water standards (yr.2010-2045).

Would like to present the argument that limiting landscape is a deprivation form to the people it is meant to regulate.

Some residence example, "As part of their California-America dream".

Grass this far has been a regular reality
And part of a obtainable life goal.

The stance can be validated if all facts like water does not use the 70% waste estimated. Because lawns are seasonal able. Plus the proven fact that lawns can survive on 1-2 waters a week and it's landscape 1-3.

Combined

- a) with the presented true statement of 70%
- b) The Department of water resource lacks its provisional duties in providing current technology available
- c) Or design allocation to a design assessment
- d) The proposed action would be less affective than the Recycled Development Firm design.
- e) Health risk would be of zero mitigation possibility and future uncertainty. By choice of the superior design and system proposal alternative.
- f) A head and a foot cannot lead a body that does not exist. (argues another)

the purpose for which the action is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action.

I can be reach by name w/tin the pilot cities. I am **not** an employer of the city hall inc.(s) Administration secretaries refer alt. phone number

Small businesses are striving to adopt these technologies irrespective of the adoption of the ordinance.

But large business like full time employers that use grass area to relax break smoke nap catch a breeze and or usually unwind. Parks and where daycare and use and considered for children are left uncertain.

- 1) No one has registered uncertainty and burden of landscape and grass
2. Although methods like drip irrigations, zeroscaping voluntary customer reduction of nu science runoff-and over watering are nice.
3. Adoption of this Model Ordinance will probably have a positive economic effect on small landscape businesses, such as nurseries, creating additional opportunities for landscape professionals

Significant, statewide adverse economic impact directly effecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states: No

Except these conservation ordinances where modeled after Recycled developments benefit assessment.

And quality in named designs beneficial humane and sound.

However Waterworks district 40 seriously effecting my ability to provide for my future and family after much hard work and attempts to finish THE here within economic research Firm NF_Profit financial information technology transfer.