

SCValleyWater 8 12 10.txt

From: Chorneau, Charlotte [cchorneau@ccp.csus.edu]  
Sent: Thursday, August 12, 2010 8:08 AM  
To: Water Use Efficiency; Brostrom, Peter N.; Alami, Manucher  
Subject: FW: U5 Process Water Workgroup Agenda for August 3rd

See below.

From: Jerry De La Piedra [mailto:GDeLaPiedra@valleywater.org]  
Sent: Thursday, August 12, 2010 8:07 AM  
To: Chorneau, Charlotte  
Subject: RE: U5 Process Water Workgroup Agenda for August 3rd

Charlotte, below are my comments on the latest draft...thanks

Methodology 2 – second bullet on page 17: states that sites on a private well “should” be excluded.  
Does this mean they have the option to include them? I have a retailer that is making significant investments in recycled water for sites that are on private wells and they want to get credit for this in their calculations. There is a conservation/water supply reliability benefit in that the city’s wells are now more reliable because they’ve reduced demand on the groundwater basin (it’s not an adjudicated basin).

Methodology 5 – if the indoor residential standard is adjusted significantly in 2016 thereby affecting whether or not an agency is meeting or is on track to meet its targets an agency should have the opportunity to switch to a different compliance methodology. If this is already the case, add language to clarify this.

Methodology 6 – pages 33, 34 and 37: state that the calculations need to be done at the parcel level, however if an agency has measurements based on remote sensing it’s more efficient, and probably more accurate, to do the calculation at the agency level. Consider adding language that would allow this.

Methodology 9 – page 43: states that service area populations of below 100 gpcd water suppliers may not exceed 20% of alliance’s total population. Not sure of the basis for this. As it’s written now, an agency that has 98 gpcd cannot combine with an agency that has 105 gpcd even if they’re contiguous and in the same hydrologic region.

Methodology 9 – page 44: states that each individual alliance member must report their local target, the regional target, and all supporting data. Recommend adding language that if the regional entity submits an UWMP that all participating agencies can just refer to that instead of having to include all the data in each individual agency’s UWMP. This will be more efficient for the participating agencies as well as for DWR.

Methodology 9 – page 44: states that each agency can only join one regional group. Consider allowing

an agency to join multiple groups.

From: Chorneau, Charlotte [mailto:cchorneau@ccp.csus.edu]  
Sent: Thursday, July 29, 2010 12:38 PM  
Cc: Alemi, Manucher; Brostrom, Peter N.; Frame, Kent; Ceppos, David M; Chris Brown; Elizabeth Betancourt  
Subject: FW: U5 Process Water Workgroup Agenda for August 3rd

Dear USC Members,  
Thank you all for your participation in yesterday's USC Meeting – it was great to see everyone in-person and with no microphone difficulties :). For those interested please see my note below regarding the U5 Process Water Subcommittee and the attached agenda and draft discussion paper.

Thank you! Charlotte

From: Chorneau, Charlotte

To: 'jkreusc2@its.nj.com'; 'temesgen@water.ca.gov'; 'enrique.silva@adwp.com'; 'scott.hawley@bp.com'; 'fpicking@biocom.org'; 'lgeneroso@sandiego.gov'; 'gbealkow@its.nj.com'; 'steve.toth@bp.com'; 'rharries@ebmud.com'; 'nnoe@its.nj.com'; 'eclatfelter@mwdoc.com'; 'jgpatters@cox.net'; 'joritetgenprobe.com'; 'mdion@riiconwater.org'; 'rcardwell@ccwater.com'; 'da@sandiegobusiness.org'; 'bclauss@its.nj.com'; 'disaacson@waterwise-consulting.com'; 'ekriz@roseville.ca.us'; 'emily@agcouncil.org'; 'fpicking@biocokm.org'; 'iea@ea.sdcoxml.com'; 'mcnulty@irwd.com'; 'dchen@water.ca.gov'; 'cbolier@nalco.com'; 'kolson@nalco.com'; 'trudi@clfp.com'; 'jhawks\_cwa@comcast.net'; 'nmeza@central-san.org'; 'amilman@nrdc.org'; 'smcneil@srcity.org'; 'jwoodling@rwah2o.org'; 'wgranger@otaywater.gov'; 'jberg@mwdoc.com'; 'rharries@ebmud.com'; 'Chris Brown'  
Cc: 'Frame, Kent'; 'Alemi, Manucher'; 'Brostrom, Peter N.'; 'Chris Brown'; 'Elizabeth Betancourt'; Ceppos, David M; 'Chu, Joanne'  
Subject: U5 Process Water Workgroup Agenda for August 3rd

Hi all,  
We were so pleased to have you all at the last U5 Process Water Subcommittee, your participation is greatly appreciated and necessary to move this process forward. We will have the second meeting of the U5 Process Water Workgroup, as previously mentioned, on Tuesday, August 3rd from 9:30am-12:30pm. The meeting will be in person at the same location as last time the Center for Collaborative Policy 815 S Street, First Floor Sacramento, CA 95811 and on webinar (registration at the following link) <https://www2.gotomeeting.com/register/687327546>. Attached please find the proposed agenda and the first draft determination and deduction methodology of process water from the gross water use calculation (version 7-26-10) for discussion by all of you. We will send supporting documents such as the meeting minutes to you all later this afternoon for our

SCValleyWater 8 12 10.txt

review.

Thank you all very much and as always please let me know if you have any questions or concerns! We hope to see you either in person or online for Tuesday's meeting.

---

Charlotte Chorneau  
Assistant Facilitator  
Sacramento State University,  
Center for Collaborative Policy  
Main: 916.445.2079 | Fax: 2087